

to learn how it could be negotiated, though not made payable to order,—proving that he was contemplating negotiating the note in violation of what he himself pretends was the agreement as to how it should be held, and indicating a corrupt intention to try and obtain a sum of \$5000 in addition to the \$200 which he had already received from Mrs. Kinnear: his voluntary surrender of this note when demanded by a man of strong will and determined purpose at a time when there was still ground to apprehend trouble: all constitute a chain of circumstances, so strongly impeaching his testimony as to cause it to be entirely thrown aside.

In his letter to Dr. Warden of January 8th (exhibit No. 19) Mr. Whitelaw says: "I regret that I am not in a position to explain matters. The whole matter is largely shrouded in mystery."

Rejecting his evidence, the shroud is removed, the mystery is solved.

I am, therefore, for these reasons, led to the conclusion that the letter mailed by Mr. Whitelaw at the Kinnear Mills Post Office on the 6th of December, addressed to the Rev. Dr. Warden, of Toronto, arrived in Toronto, and was delivered to Dr. Warden in the same condition as it was in when stamped and post marked at the Kinnear Mills Post Office.

The whole is respectfully submitted, and I have the honor to be

Your obedient servant,

WM. WHITE, J.S.C.,
Commissioner.