every inch of perpendicular from 1 to 24 , and for a length of from an to $200^{\circ}$. A cops of this table is submitted, and a little practice will enable anyone to use it without risk, not only for the territory envered ly the table itself, but for parts or multiples of the same.

We helinve that technieal accuracy may sometimes be disregarded in the intere:ts of practieal adrantage, and the principle is sometimes applied in describing propurties wherc existing boundaries are intended to govern, no mintter how irregular. Take, for instance, the division line between two parties owning a pair of semi-detached dwelling homses. It frequently happens that the party wall is not parallel to the other side boundary, nor does the fence line between the rear premises run on a conrse similar to either of the other eourses. At the same time, the purehaser and vendor expect the lent line to bceome the boundary. Shonld n fire oceur and the existing buundaries be entirely swept away. it is usually in the interest of the owners of both parts that the line for the new bmilding should be a straight one, and therefore we lelieve that in som. cases a deseription following along the eentre line of partition wall and along the existing fence between the rear premises without indieating courses, is admissable so long as the front and rear extremities of the divivion line are carefully located with regard to lot lines. This view would doubtless be considered rank heres. by theorists. but the practieal surveyor and practiend owner nisuilly agree that it is bettor for all eonecrned to provide for contingeneies in that way.

The question as to party walls has been so thoroughly dealt with in previons papers before this association that slight reference only will serve at this time. A very usual form of deseription follows the centre line of partition wall and thus eonveys the fee up to that fixed line. but in only rare instanees is any provision mads that the owner of each of the adjoining loonses is entitled to the undisturbed use of that part of the pirtition wall not ineluded within his property.

- More or less' is a much discussed expression and the average conveyaneer abuses it. while even careful surveyors are sometimes guilty of sins of omission and commission in respect of it. The courts have settled that distances to fixed visible boundaries or limits that can with aceuracy be determined beeome 'more or less' even without the use of the actual words. This being the case, the writer frequently omits the words when he is satisfied ns to the aetual distance, as a deseription presents to the layman a much more definite appearane and is not weakened by such omission.

