r the Colonies to

s to procure such epresents to be a part in the insur-

le, that he was not er participation in aving been brought ero only so brought

e evinced a laudable ich he (Somcrville)

E. B. LYTTON.

finds in it such a

heard of any secret sh authority, until I hen believe that my

ssion; namely, that, ct confidence that I tence on the ground n heard of by them, nent. It was not for : I declined to do so. which are glanced at duced collateral proofs least had grounds for naterial whether they I felt it my duty, in whole life, to commuer, when Sir Edward or General of Canada known that the public tiated on the 28th of ssed through the quiet

aragraph of Australian

news—founded, as was said, on a private letter received at Boston—took the round of the American journals, to the effect that a public meeting, "largely attended and enthusiastic, had been held in favour of Australian independence."

Now, the collateral proof which I adduced in London on seeing, or faneying that I saw, the gravity of my information to be discredited, was this: that two years before, in May 1856 (I give the date only from memory), one or more adventurers from Boston had been landing fire-arms at Melbourne under such circumstances, though their importation was nominally legal, as led to their seizure by executive authority. Mr. Thomson, printer, of 4 Milne's Square, Edinburgh, who had been printing for the Stranger, will sustain me in saying, that, with the matters related thereto, he had set an account of the incident in type; that, with other concomitants, he feared to print the matter as it stood; that, on my going to his office, at the Stranger's written request sent from Aberdeen, I connselled Mr. Thomson not to run the hazard of printing that "additional matter" for the Stranger's pamphlet; but, in case of its being printed, I deleted some portions of it referring to some reviewer, whom I supposed to be myself. Here, in passing, I may observe, that the editor of the Aberdeen Free Press, with whom I never had any correspondence, directly or indirectly, will probably state, should any one inquire, what he thought and said about the Stranger.

For myself, I had written an opinion to the effect, that his personal narrative, as set forth in that pamphlet and related verbally to me, carried with it an air of veracity, and was "deeply interesting." The pamphlet was otherwise, as the Aberdeen *Free Press* had termed it, rubbish.

The Stranger's position as a mere individual was nothing; but he was the agent of the Australian secret societies, whose object was revolution. Those societies are largely impregnated with the American gold-digger element, and have their agencies in the United States.

The chief speaker at the public assemblage on the 28th of August 1858 at Melbourne, was the gentleman who has the strong personal grievance—as well as an old political antagonism to government—of seeing his son, believed by him to be innocent, working in the chained gang of felons on the public works. I saw the Stranger post letters at Edinburgh to that gentleman, and knew that they informed him that I, with others, was engaged to sail for Australia. He did not show me what else the letters contained; but he showed that much to give assurance that he was in earnest in contracting with me to go to Australia. Ships leaving Britain about the time when I and others and himself, and the "things"