

Atomic Energy Control Board standard is less stringent than the provincial standard, the company claims to be under federal jurisdiction. Where the provincial standard is less stringent, then the company claims to come under provincial jurisdiction.

At the moment a case is at arbitration. Workers, exercising their rights under the Ontario bill 139, walked off the job because of conditions in the uranium mines. The company is disputing that jurisdiction, claiming they were contravening the federal act, not a provincial act. The case has gone to arbitration because the workers feel strongly about getting the tightest possible control over working conditions. That is why I raised the question with the minister. There is a need to place the uranium workers—whether they work in processing plants, refineries or mines—firmly and squarely under the jurisdiction which is the most stringent. This whole jungle of federal-provincial jurisdiction must be eliminated. The minister agreed there is a problem and that this is the direction we should move in to provide safety and control over the workplace for those most affected, the workers.

I am not naive, Mr. Speaker. I say again to the minister that I recognize in Part IV this could not become effective because of the fact that the AECB comes under the Financial Administration Act. I hope the minister can convince his colleagues that we need an order in council which would put those workers under the umbrella of this particular bill. I plead with the minister to do that because that is what I intend to tell to the workers in my area. As a matter of fact, I am speaking in Blind River on Saturday afternoon at the nominating convention of the person whom I hope will be the next member of parliament for Algoma.

**An hon. Member:** Dreamer.

● (1512)

**Mr. Rodriguez:** Well, I think the New Democratic candidate will be the next member of parliament for Algoma. When I speak at this convention I will deal with this matter. I will not be so naive as to say that we have nirvana. The minister still has to convince his colleagues that this sort of change must be made. I wish the minister the best of luck with that task. He knows where I stand on this issue and he has my support. I hope he will proceed onward and upward.

**Mr. Munro (Hamilton East):** I understand.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier):** Order, please. Is the House ready for the question?

**Some hon. Members:** Question.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier):** Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion (Mr. Rodriguez) agreed to.

**Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Labour):** Mr. Speaker, I am referring to page 28 of Bill C-8. I am moving an addition

### Canada Labour Code

which will be a new clause. I move, seconded by my colleague the Minister of Employment and Immigration (Mr. Cullen):

That Bill C-8 be amended in Clause 43 by striking out lines 35 to 37 on page 28 and substituting the following therefor:

"44. Sections 122 and 123 of the said Act are repealed and the following substituted therefor:

"122. (1) Subject to this Part, every order or decision of the Board is final and shall not be questioned or reviewed in any court, except in accordance with subsection 28(1)(a) of the Federal Court Act.

(2) Except as permitted by subsection (1), no order, decision or proceeding of the Board made or carried on under or purporting to be made or carried on under this Part shall be

(a) questioned, reviewed, prohibited or restrained, or

(b) made the subject of any proceedings in or any process of any court, whether by way or injunction, *certiorari*, prohibition, *quo warranto* or otherwise,

on any ground, including the ground that the order, decision or proceeding is beyond the jurisdiction of the Board to make or carry on or that, in the course of any proceeding, the Board for any reason exceeded or lost its jurisdiction.

123. (1) The Board shall, on the"

That is the amendment. I am sure hon. members are aware that this is designed to overcome frivolous delays by applications to the Federal Court during proceedings before the board which delay the decision, to the frustration of both parties involved. It is similar to proposals in other provincial legislation.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier):** Order, please. Before presenting this amendment, the Chair would ask unanimous consent of the House to have motion No. 1, which was on the order paper in the name of the hon. member for Nickel Belt (Mr. Rodriguez) withdrawn. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion No. 1 (Mr. Rodriguez) withdrawn.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier):** The House has heard the terms of the motion of the Minister of Labour (Mr. Munro). Is the House ready for the question?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier):** Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion (Mr. Munro, Hamilton East) agreed to.

**Hon. John C. Munro (Minister of Labour):** I would now like to draw hon. members' attention to page 35 of Bill C-8, referring to Clause 62. This appears at the bottom of the page on the left-hand side. Section 171.1 is deleted. I move, seconded by my colleague the Minister of Employment and Immigration (Mr. Cullen):

That Bill C-8 be amended by striking out lines 31 to 39 on page 35 and lines 1 to 7 on page 36 and substituting therefor the following:

"171.1 (1) Where an employer or a bargaining agent is required, by notice given under Section 146 after December 31, 1975, to commence collective bargaining for the purpose of entering into the first collective agreement between the parties with respect to the bargaining unit for which the bargaining agent has been certified and the requirements of paragraphs 180(1) (a) to