

CATHOLIC HRONICLE.

### VOL. I.

# DISCOURSES

### TO MIXED CONGREGATIONS. BY JOHN HENRY NEWMAN,

PRIEST OF THE ORATORY OF ST. PHILIP NERI.

#### DISCOURSE XVI.

MENTAL SUFFERINGS OF OUR LORD IN HIS PASSION.

Every passage in the history of our Lord and Saviour is of unfathomable depth, and affords inexhaustible matter of contemplation. All that concerns Him is infinite, and what we first discern is but the surface of that which begins and ends in eternity. It would be presumptuous for any one short of Saints and Doctors to attempt to comment on His words and deeds, except in the way of meditation; but meditation and mental prayer are so much a duty in all who wish to cherish true faith and love towards Him, that it may be allowed us, my brethren, under the guidance of holy men who have gone before us, to dwell and enlarge upon what otherwise would more fitly be adored than scrutinized. And certain times of the year, this especially,\* call upon us to consider, as closely and as minutely as we can, even the more sacred portions of the Gospel history. I would blood that follows. rather be thought feeble or officious in my treatment of them, than wanting to the season; and so I now proceed, because the religious usage of the Church requires it, and though any individual preacher may well shrink from it, to direct your thoughts to a subject, especially suitable now, and about which many of us perhaps think very little, the sufferings which our Lord endured in His innocent and sinless soul.

You know, my brethren, that our Lord and Saviour, though He was God, was also perfect man; and hence He had, not only a body, but a soul likewise, such as ours, though pure from all stain of evil. He did not take a body without a soul, God forbid ! for that would not have been to become man. How would He have sanctified our nature if He had taken a nature which was not ours? Man without a soul is on a level with the beasts of the field; but our Lord came to save a race capable of praising and obeying Him, possessed of immortality, yet dispossessed of their hope of an immortality of bliss. Man was created in the image of God, and that image is in his soul; when then his Maker, by an unspeakable con-descension, came in his nature, He took on Himself a soul in order to take on Him a body; He took on Him a soul as the means of His union with a body; He took on Him in the first place the soul, then the body of man, both at once, but in this order, the soul and the body; He Himself created the soul which He took on Himself, He took His body from the flesh of the Blessed Virgin, His Mother; thus He became perfect man with body and soul, and, as He took on Him a body of flesh and nerves, which admitted of wounds and death, and had the organs of sensation, so did He take a soul too which could receive those sensations and could feel those wounds, and which, besides these bodily sufferings, was capable of the pain and sorrow which are proper to a human noul; and, as His atoning passion was undergone in the body, so was it undergone in the soul also. As the solemn days proceed, we shall be especially called on, my brethren, to consider His sufferings in the body, His scizure, His forced journeyings to and fro, His blows and wounds, His scourging, the crown of thorns, the nails, the Cross. They are all summed up in the Crucifix itself, as it meets our eyes; they are represented all at once on His sacred flesh, as it hangs up before us,-and meditation is made easy by the spectacle. It is otherwise with the sufferings of His soul, they cannot be painted for us, nor can they even be duly investigated; they are beyond both sense and thought; and yet they anticipated His bodily sufferings. The agony, a pain of the soul, not of the body, was the first act of His tremendous sacrifice ; " My soul is sorrowful even unto death," He said; nay, if He suffered in the body, it really was in the soul, for the body did but convey the infliction on to that which was the true recipient and seat of the anguish. This it is very much to the purpose to insist upon; I say, it was not the body that suffered, but the soul in the body; it was the soul and not the body which was the seat of the suffering of the Eternal Word. Consider, then, there is no real pain, though there may be apparent suffering, when there is no kind of inward sensibility or spirit to be the seat of it. A tree, for instance, has life, organs, growth and decay; it may be wounded and injured; it droops and is killed; but it does not suffer, because it has no mind or sensible principle within it. But wherever this gift of an immaterial principle is found, there pain is possible, and greater pain according to the quality of the gift. Had we no spirit of any kind, we should

## MONTREAL, FRIDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1850.

should not feel pain more acutely than a brute feels but those who have souls can feel it.

Living beings, I say, feel more or less according to the spirit which is in them; brutes feel far less than man, because they cannot think of what they feel; they have no advertence or direct consciousness of their sufferings. This it is that makes pain so trying, viz., that we cannot help thinking of it, while we suffer it. It is before us, it possesses the mind, it keeps our thoughts fixed upon it. Whatever draws the mind off the thought of it lessens it; hence friends try to amuse us when we are in pain, for amusement is a diversion. If the pain is slight, they sometimes succeed with us; and then we are, so to say, without pain, even while we suffer. And hence it continually happens that in violent exercise or labor men meet with blows or cuts, so considerable and so durable in their effects, as to bear witness to the suffering which must have attended their infliction, of which nevertheless they recollect nothing. And in quarrels and battles wounds are received, which, from the excitement of the moment, are brought home to the consciousness of the combatant, not by the pain at the time of receiving them, but by the loss of

I will show you presently, my brethren, how I mean to apply what I have said to the consideration of our Lord's sufferings; first, I will make another remark. Consider, then, that hardly any one stroke of pain is intolerable; it is intolerable when it continues. You cry out perhaps that you cannot bear more; patients feel as if they could stop the surgcon's hand, simply beause he continues to pain them. Their feeling is that they have borne as much as they can bear; as if the continuance and not the intenseness was what made it too much for them. What does this mean, but that the memory of the foregoing moments of pain acts upon and (as it were) edges the pain that succeeds? If the third or fourth or twentieth moment of pain could be taken by itself, if the succession of the moments that preceded it could be forgotten, it would be no more than the first moment, as bearable as the first; but what makes it unbearable is, that it is the twentieth; that the first, the second, the third, on to the nineteenth moment of pain, are all concentrated in the twentieth; so that every additional moment of pain has all the weight, the ever-increasing weight, of all that have preceded it. Hence, I repeat, it is that brute animals would seem to feel so little pain, because, that is, they have not the power of reflection or of consciousness. They do not know they exist; they do not contemplate themselves, they do not look backwards or forwards; every moment, as it succeeds, is their all; they warder over the face of the earth, and see this thing and that, and feel pleasure and pain, but still they take every thing as it comes, and then let it go again, as men do in dreams. They have memory, but not the memory of an intellectual being; they put together nothing, they make nothing one and individual to themselves out of the particular sensations which they believe ; nothing is to them a reality or has a substance beyond those sensations; they are but sensible of a number of successive impressions. And hence, as their other feelings, so their feeling of pain is but faint and dull, in spite of their outward manifestations of it. It is the intellectual comprehension of pain, as a whole, diffused through successive moments, which gives it its special power and keenness, and it is the soul only, which a brute has not, which is capable of that comprehension. Now apply this to the sufferings of our Lord :do you recollect their offering Him wine mingled with myrrh, when he was on the point of being crucified? He would not drink of it; why? because such a potion would have stupified his mind, and He was bent on bearing the pain in all its bitterness. You see from this, my brethren, the character of His sufferings; He would have fain escaped them, had that been His Father's will; "If it be possible," He said, "let this chalice pass from Me;" but since it was not, He says calmly and decidedly to the Apostle who would have rescued Him from suffering, "The chalice which My Father hath given Me, shall I not drink it ?" If He was to suffer, He gave Himself to suffering; He did not come to suffer as little as He could; He did not turn away His face from the suffering; He confronted it, or, as I may, He breasted it, that every particular portion of it might make its due impression on Him. And as men are superior to brute animals, and are affected by pain more than they, by reason of the mind within them, which gives a substance to pain, such as it cannot have in the instance of brutes; so, in like manner, our Lord felt pain of the body, with an advertence and a conscious-

feel as little as a tree feels; had we no soul, we absolutely in His own power, so simply free from the know well that even in our own case, sinners as we influence of distractions, so fully directed upon the are, on the consciousness of innocence or of guilt it; but, being men, we feel pain in a way in which none | pain, so utterly surrendered, so simply subjected to the suffered the whole of His passion in every moment of of our Lord, did the sense of inward sanctity

Recollect that our Blessed Lord was in this respect different from us, that, though He was perfect His sufferings would be short, and that their issue man, yet there was a power in Him greater than His soul, which ruled His soul, for He was God. The soul of other men is subjected to the wishes, feelings, impulses, passions, perturbations of itself; His soul was subjected simply to His Eternal and Divine Person. Nothing happened to His soul by chance, expressly tells us, that "for the joy set before Him," or on a sudden: He never was taken by surprise; our Lord "despised the shame." And certainly nothing affected Him without His willing beforehand that it should affect Him. Never did He sorrow, or fear, or desire, or rejoice in spirit, but He first willed to be sorrowful, or afraid, or desirous, or joyful. When we suffer, it is because outward agents, and the incontrollable emotions of our minds bring suffering upon us. We are brought under the discipline of pain involuntarily, we suffer more or less acutely according to accidental circumstances, we find our patience more or less tried by it according to our state of mind, and we do our best to provide alleviations or remedies of it. We cannot anticipate beforehand how much of it will come upon us, or how far we shall be able to sustain it; nor can we say afterwards why we have felt just what we have felt, or why we did not bear the suffering better. It was otherwise with our Lord. His Divine Person was not subject, could not be exposed, to the influence of His own human affections and feelings, except so far as He chose. I repeat, when He chose to fear, He feared; when He chose to be angry, He was angry; when He chose to grieve, He grieved. He was not open to impulse, but He opened upon Himself volun-tarily the influence by which he was impelled.— of sleep;" so He said, "Now I will begin to suffer," Consequently, when He determined to suffer the pain of His vicarious passion, whatever He did, He did, as the Wise Man says, instanter, " earnestly," with His might; He did not do it by halves; He did not turn away His mind from the suffering, as we do ;- (how should He, who came to suffer, who could not have suffered but of His own act?) no, He did not say, and unsay, do and undo; He said and He did; He said, ' Lo, I come to do Thy will, O God ; sacrifice and offering Thou wouldst not, but a body hast Thou prepared for Me." He took a body in order that He might suffer; He became man, that He might suffer as man; and when His hour came, that hour of Satan and of darkness, the hour when sin was to pour its full malignity upon Him, it followed that He offered Himself wholly, a holocaust, a whole burntoffering ;---as the whole of His body stretched out upon the Cross, so the whole of His soul, His whole with as definite an action as if it were some bodily advertence, His whole consciousness, a mind awake, torture, the fire or the wheel. sense acute, a living co-operation, a present, abso lute intention, not a virtual permission, not a heartless submission, this did He present to his tormentors. His passion was an action; He lived most energetically, while He lay languishing, fainting, and dying. Nor did He die, except by an act of the will; for He bowed His head, in command as well as in resignation, and said, "Father, into Thy hands I commend My Spirit ;" He gave the word, He surrendered His soul, He did not lose it. Thus you see, my brethren, had our Lord only suffered in the body, and in it not so much as other men, still, as regards the pain, He would have really suffered indefinitely more, because pain is measured by the power of realising it. God was the sufferer; God suffered in His human nature ; the sufferings belonged to God, and were drank up, were drained out to the bottom of the chalice, because God drank them; not tasted, sipped, flavored, disguised by human medicaments, as man disposes of the cup of anguish. And what I have now said will further serve to answer an objection, which I shall proceed to notice, and which perhaps is latently in the minds of many, and leads them to overlook the part which our Lord's soul had in His gracious satisfaction. Our Lord said, when His agony was commencing, My soul is sorrowful unto death;" now you may ask, my brethren, whether He had not certain consolations, peculiar to Himself, impossible in any other, which diminished or impeded the distress of His soul, and caused Him to feel, not more, but less than an ordinary man. For instance, He had a sense of innocence which, except His blessed Mother, no other sufferer could have: even His persecutors, even the false apostle who betrayed Him, the judge who sentenced Him, and the soldiers who conducted the execution, testified His innocence. "I have condemned the innocent blood," said Judas ; "I am clear from the blood of this just Person," said Pilate; ness, and therefore with a keenness and intensity, "Truly this was a just Man," cried the centurion. possibly fathom or compass, because His soul was so lessness, how much more did His own soul ! and we | and as the All-holy drives it from His presence inta

mainly turns our power of enduring opposition and suffering. And thus He may truly be said to have calumny; how much more, you will say, in the case compensate for the suffering and annihilate the shame! Again, you may say, that He knew that would be joyful, whereas uncertainty of the future is the keenest element of distress; but He could not have anxiety, for He was not in suspense, nor despondency or despair, for He never was deserted .---And in confirmation you may refer to St. Paul, who our Lord "despised the shame." And certainly there is a marvellous calm and self-possession in all He does: consider His warning to the Apostles, "Watch and pray, lest ye enter into temptation; the spirit indeed is willing, but the flesh is weak," or His words to Judas, "Friend, wherefore art thou come?" and "Judas, betrayest thou the Son of Man with a kiss?" or to Peter, "All that take the sword, shall perish with the sword;" or to the man who struck Him, "If I have spoken evil, bear witness of the evil; but if well, why smitest thou Me?" or to His Mother, "Woman, behold thy Son."

NO. 17

All this is true and much to be insisted on; but it quite agrees with, or rather illustrates, what I have been saying. My brethren, you have only said, (to use, a human phrase,) that He was always Himself. Ilis mind was its own centre, and was never in the slightest degree thrown off its heavenly and most perfect balance. What He suffered; He suffered because He put Himself under suffering, and that deliberately and He did begin. His composure is but the proof how entirely He governed His own mind. He drew back, at the proper moment, the bolts and fastenings, and opened the gates, and the floods fell right upon His soul in all their fulness. This is what St. Mark tells us of Him; and he is said to have written it from the very mouth of St. Peter, who was one of three witnesses present at the time. "They came," he says, "to the place which is called Gethsemani; and He saith to His disciples, Sit you here, while I pray. And He taketh with Him Peter and James and John, and He begans to be frightened and to be very heavy." You see how deliberately He acts; He comes to a certain spot ; and then, giving the word of command, and withdrawing the support of the Godhead from His soul, distress, terror, and dejection at once rush in upon it. Thus He walks forth into a mental agony This being the case, you will see at once, my brethren, that it is nothing to the purpose to say that He would be supported under His trial by the consciousness of innocence and the anticipation of triumph; for His trial consisted in the withdrawal, as of other causes of consolation, so of that very consciousness and anticipation. The same act of the will which admitted the influence upon His soul of any distress at all, admitted all distresses at once. It was not the contest between antagonist impulses and views, coming from without, but the operation of an inward resolution. As men of self-command can turn from one thought to another at their will, so, much more, did He deliberately deny Himself the comfort, and satiate sent burden which was upon Him, and which He had come upon earth to sustain. And now, my brethren, what was it He had to bear, when He thus opened upon His soul the torrent of this predestinated pain? Alas! He had to bear what is well known to us, what is familiar to us, but what to which is so easy a thing to us, so natural, so welcome, that we cannot conceive of it as of a great endurance, death ;-He had, my dear brethren, to bear the weight of sin; He had to bear your sins; He had to bear the sins of the whole world. Sin is an easy thing to us; we think little of it; we do not undernot bring our imagination to believe that it deserves retribution, and, when even in this world punishments follow upon it, we explain them away or turn our minds from them. But consider what it is in itself;

Himself with the woe. In that moment His soul thought not of the future, He thought only of the pre-Him was woe unutterable. He had to bear, that but which to Him had the scent and the poison of stand how the Creator can think much of it; we canit is rebellion against God; it is a traitor's act who aims at the overthrow and death of his sovereign; it is that, if I may use a strong expression, which, could the Divine Governor of the world ccase to be, would be sufficient to bring it about. It is the mortal enemy and with a unity of perception, which none of us can And if even they, sinners, bore witness to His sin- of the All-holy, so that He and it cannot be together ;

\*Passion-tido.