

I have sold all my other varieties of poultry through my small ad in REVIEW. Sales amounted to \$72.00 which I think pretty good for a small ad, and the REVIEW is to blame for it. Wishing your paper every success, I remain, Yours truly,

Cornwall, Mar. 22, 98. W. ROBERTS.

I won first on both Redcap cock and hen at Peterborough show and not as you gave them.

Hoard. Mar. 20, 98. F. N. HAIG.

I thought I would drop you a line to say my first hen is off; she had 15 eggs, 8 black Minorcas; 7 buff Leghorns and every egg produced a good strong chick. I claim that having fed cut clover hay freely is responsible for such fertility of eggs and would strongly urge all fanciers to look to it this summer that they have a good supply of clover for next winter and they will get more eggs and hatch a larger percentage of chicks and will find that the cost is not more than half.

S. M. CLEMO

Welland, March 21, 98.

My stock is doing as well this spring as I have ever had it and trade has been very good for which I attribute a good share to REVIEW.

R. OKE.

London, March 21, 98.

At our winter show I won second on B. B. R. cock and did not get credit for it. Would you please correct.

J. HAWTHORN.

Brantford.

Would you kindly tell me if any Canadian poultry fancier has ever won the London (Eng.) Crystal Palace \$500 challenge cup, or whether a Canadian has ever won it three years in succession thus making it his own?

W. BURRELL.

St. Catharines, Feb. 28, 98.

(See March REVIEW.—ED.)

In your last issue you omitted to put in the prizes on Pheasants. It will be necessary to say that Dr. McGillivray of Hamilton exhibited eight different varieties of pheasants winning all the prizes in his

class as well as the prize for the handsomest bird on exhibition.

JOHN COLE, Secy.

Hamilton.

My little ad—the first one—has done me good and I send you another for April. The REVIEW is a good advertising medium. Yours,

W. PEMBERTON.

Delhi, March 22, 98.

A RABBIT SHOW IN GERMANY.

THE first opportunity I have had since coming to this country of attending any sort of an exhibition of animals occurred a few days since when the Leipsig Rabbit Breeders' Association held its seventh show in a building known as "Mariengarten." The price of admission should not have deterred anyone for it was only 7½ cents, while an excellent catalogue was on sale at 5 cents. A few words of explanation in regard to the catalogue and much will be clear as regards the show itself.

There was a preface to it stating the objects of the Association and pointing out that rabbit culture was not only a pleasant pastime but really in the interest of the people as a whole, as it tended to beget a love for the animal world in general. The last words of this preface are "The welfare of the people." This bit of idealism lends a nobility to a rabbit show it would not otherwise have and this expression is a key to the explanation of much in Germany. Next, the judges, three in number, are announced. Then follows certain notices requesting people in polite terms not to smoke, not to feed the rabbits, not to handle them, etc.

The classification was as follows: 1st, [Giant Belgian rabbits, or more literally translated Belgian Giant rabbits, which as in all classes were divided by sex, not shown in pairs, as should always be the case. The Editor and many readers of this journal will remember how persistently I have advocated such classification according to sex in Canada in the showing of all our animals. But of course that is not always possible without diminishing the value of the prizes. But is it not better in the interests of breeding to do