

THE EDMONTON BULLETIN

(SEMI-WEEKLY.)
DAILY—Delivered in City, \$4 per year. By mail, per year, \$5. By mail to United States per year \$6.
SEMI-WEEKLY—Subscriptions per year \$1. Subscribers in the United States \$2. All subscriptions strictly in advance.

BULLETIN CO., Ltd.,
DUNCAN MARSHALL,
Manager.

MONDAY, AUGUST 31, 1908.

MR. AMES' AND HIS LANTERN.

Mr. H. B. Ames, M.P., addressed a selected gathering in the Edmonton Opera House last evening, with the assistance of a magic lantern, a fishing pole and a bed sheet. Cards of invitation were issued, gratis it is understood, to those whose presence was desired, but when these were accommodated the public were allowed to occupy the remaining space.

It would be folly in an opponent to criticize harshly the methods of one who started out to evangelize the world by excluding the ungenerous and the unbelievers from his meetings, and had the managers of Mr. Ames stuck to their programme of hand-picking the audience the appearance of the honorable gentleman would be entitled only to the notice customarily given to entertainers who hold the boards in that and other playhouses. But as the public were warmly urged by the party press to become partakers in the repeat it may not be exceeding the privileges of hospitality to say a word about the vandy provided, the more so that the portion which fell to the public was the crumbs which remained after the faithful had been provided for.

The speech of Mr. Ames is pretty well known to readers of the Bulletin. It is the speech he delivered once or twice in the House last session; which he delivered later in the Province of Nova Scotia, and which he later called into service in the Provincial elections in Saskatchewan, with thorough satisfactory results—to his opponents. The speech is illustrated by magic lantern views of forest, lake, stream and prairie, varied by reproductions of letters and documents from the files of the Department of the Interior. The subject matter of the speech is an elaboration of Mr. Ames' suspicion that favoritism was shown by the Government in disposing of timber limits and land to certain parties. That favoritism was shown Mr. Ames scarcely says, though the secretary is sometimes difficult to discern. What he says is that he thinks it was shown, and the purpose of the speech and the scenery is to tell why he thinks so. In the short period allowed under the old regulations for advertising a limit, he proposes to see an advantage to one bidder over another in the fact that two or more cheques were used to make up the amount of a tender he professes to see signs of official collusion; and on this slender basis of his personal suspicion he invites his auditors to find a general conclusion that the public resources have been bestowed on friends of the Government for improvident prices and to the improper disadvantage of others. Drained of the flamboyant language which this species of reasoning engenders this appears to be the sum and substance of the magic lantern tour.

It hardly needs to be said that against this fabric of suspicion there stands a wall of evidence which Mr. Ames indeed did nothing to adduce, but which he has not attempted to invalidate. There is first the explicit, clear, cut, emphatic denial of Mr. J. G. Turfitt, M.P., that as Commissioner of Dominion lands when these transactions took place he ever in any manner or degree, shape, form, or fashion favored, or attempted to favor, any of the party or parties. Then there is the evidence of Mr. Turfitt's secretary that he was personally present when every tender was opened and that he knows nothing and suspects nothing to corroborate Mr. Ames' suspicions. Then there is the explicit denial of Mr. Theodore Burrows, M.P., on the floor of the House of Commons brought against him by Mr. Ames—denial which as a member of the House Mr. Ames accepted, but which he absolutely disregards when displaying his views to selected gatherings of the faithful. These are testimonies straight from the shoulder, given by the parties against whom Mr. Ames' suspicions are directed. If the suspicions were founded solely on evidence they were vulnerable to evidence they would never have been breathed after the testimonies were given. That Mr. Ames continues to parade them only advertises the fact that they originated in party spirit and are bolstered up by a very much exaggerated statement of one side of the case—the side on which the evidence is not found.

There are a few points, too, which Mr. Ames wisely omits from his lecture but which are necessary to a correct understanding of the subject. One is that the regulations under which timber was disposed of in the period under discussion were inherited from the Government of Mr. Ames' friends and allies. Another is that neither Mr. Ames nor any other man has said, suggested or intimated that a limit was ever sold to anyone but the highest bidder for it. Another is that the former Government gave away 23,000 square miles of timber for nothing and disposed altogether of twenty-nine thousand square miles at an average of 15 cents per square mile; the present Government has given away none and sold 6,000 square miles at an average of \$80 per square mile. By handing out the 29,000 square miles the former Government induced a threefold increase of lumber production in sixteen years. By selling the 6,000 square miles the present Government induced a fourfold increase in lumber production in twelve years. Nor should it be forgotten that long before Mr. Ames had evidenced a knowledge that Canada had any timber, the regulations inherited from his friends had been abandoned and superseded by the regulations of to-day, upon which neither he nor any other Opposition member has made an attack.

The manner of treatment of the timber sales is fairly illustrative of the general tone and temper of Mr. Ames' address. The Saskatchewan Valley Land sale, the Galtway ranch lease, the disposal of coal lands, the granting of fishing leases, the original documents, were all re-hashed, duly garnished with extracts from the vocabulary of innuendo and occasionally seasoned with a dash of invention that would not stand inspection. On the whole, Mr. Ames hardly does justice to his lantern and the accompanying paraphernalia. All that he says we have heard and read and seen fully refuted a score or so of times. Nor is his recital better than the common run, despite the advantages of the subdued light, the dark lantern and the wand. As a magician he has been over-estimated or over-advertised, and Liberals have no occasion to tremble at his efforts to conjure conviction out of his prejudiced suspicions.

Back of the lantern show lies the fact that Mr. Ames is a member of Parliament. The men against whom he seeks to incite suspicion are members of Parliament. Nothing could aid the party to which Mr. Ames belongs more than to drive Liberal members from Parliament under conviction of wrongdoing. There is a prescribed form of Parliamentary charge which Mr. Ames has in his power to move. A charge is launched and the form is investigated. Mr. Ames has been challenged by the very men he assails to reduce his imputations to the form of that charge. He has not dared to do so. On the face of it he cannot then have much faith in what he has to say. If he has faith in it, he is a coward for not reducing his imputations to charges; if he has not faith in it, he is a slanderer for promulgating what he believes to be falsehoods. Which Mr. Ames is depends on his faith in his own contentions. But he is the one or the other; and the circumstances seem to indicate that he is the latter.

It is to be regretted that Mr. Ames does not see fit to discuss a branch of his subject on which he could speak with definite knowledge and unquestioned authority. The burden of his song is that men have grown wealthy through Government favoritism at the public expense. Some men have done so, Mr. Ames is one of them. Mr. Ames is a wealthy man, a very wealthy man. Most of his wealth he inherited. That wealth came from the Ames-Holmes boot and shoe company. Like other concerns of the kind, has accumulated its money because of its position in the market. Mr. Ames is one of the high tariff party means that under that party's tariff they would be permitted to fleece the Canadian consumer more thoroughly than they can at present. This is a phase of the question on which Mr. Ames could employ his industry and his magic lantern with singular advantage. Few slides would draw him such large audiences or provoke such keen interest as a view of his genealogical tree, showing the successive generations fattening at the public trough. If Mr. Ames wants restitution he should first get it from the man with the goods. Will he hand them over?

WHAT THE OTHER FELLOW THINKS.

At Paris, Deputy Mydger, president of the non-ratification of the Franco-Canadian convention, explains that after the bill reached the Senate Committee he learned most surprisingly that the convention made almost no

concessions in favor of French snuff, broderies, silk and lace goods, also that Switzerland profited as much as France by the convention. The manufacture of agricultural machines, and a certain number of wine growers also complained, the latter pointing out that the concessions on exportable wines, those of 13 to 14 degrees, were very slight. Thereupon Melino, the protectionist ex-Minister, induced the committee to demand certain statistics necessary to complete the examination of the question. The Senate accordingly deferred the ratification until it had taken cognizance of those documents. The French Senate apparently differ from the Canadian Opposition on the point of whether Canada stands to gain by the treaty or not. The reluctance of the French Senate to ratify the treaty is the second strongest argument that could be adduced to prove its advantages to Canada. The only more convincing argument is supplied by the Senate's declaration as to why they are so reluctant.

There is danger that the "campaign of purity" will come to a premature end, not because it is a failure, but because it is too expensive. The campaign was (theoretically) launched last

HIGH-PRICED "PURITY."

There is danger that the "campaign of purity" will come to a premature end, not because it is a failure, but because it is too expensive. The campaign was (theoretically) launched last

year when Mr. John Stanfield was elected member for Colchester, N.S., in a bye-election. The campaign was opened by an agreement between the candidates to exert their influence for clean election methods. Mr. Stanfield won and his win was duly advertised and undified with the Opposition. Appended was the conclusion that if campaigns elsewhere were conducted on similar lines the results would be the same.

The Stipendiary at Truro, N.S., has been listening recently, however, to some echoes from the contest which they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100 in money and 96 bottles of rum. This was an average per man of \$16.66 in money and sixteen bottles of rum.

On the first day of the inquiry six witnesses who were examined, swore that they had received from Bayne, the universal provider, active friend and worker for Stanfield in the district of Five Islands, \$100