

In consequence of the conversation between Mr. Roe and Mrs. Gibson, upon which the charges are founded, having taken place in the absence of any third party, and from our having discovered one inaccuracy in the document laid before us, we were at first disposed to dismiss the case for want of evidence to support the charge made against Mr. Roe.

Believing, however, that it would be satisfactory to all parties to have the matter investigated as far as possible, we examined the husband of Mrs. Gibson, and received a written statement from Mr. Roe (which we beg to enclose) in refutation of the charge made against him. This letter was read by us in the presence and hearing of Mr. Gibson, on hearing which he remarked that Mr. Roe's statement was incorrect in two particulars: first, that when on the Friday evening mentioned towards the conclusion of the letter, he had requested Mr. Roe to return and his wife, he did not wish him to do so in his character of a clergyman, but merely as a friend, and with the hope that by some explanation the misunderstanding existing between them might be removed; and second, that when Mr. Roe did return to his house on the evening of the 13th February, he was not specially requested to do so.

It will be observed that neither of these assertions, made by Mr. Gibson, is at all inconsistent with Mr. Roe's letter, and that the facts which he