

This shews why the title "Son," is frequently applied to that complex personage, even when the passages in which it stands, refer to the divine nature; and on the other hand, it shews why the titles which necessarily and inevitably imply Deity, are also applied to that person, even when the passages in which they are found, pointedly refer to the human nature. We have then, no more right to suppose that the title "Son," is *strictly applicable* to the divine nature, than we have for supposing, that the *titles* which properly belong to Deity, are *strictly applicable* to the human nature.

In concluding, I would observe, that long as this Epistle is, I have been obliged to circumscribe my thoughts considerably on various passages in debate.

I hope that you will not be led to suppose, by any of the foregoing observations, that I have had a design to satirize or ridicule the Gentleman on whose performance I have animadverted. Satire and ridicule have been the bane of controversy, but sober discussion will always display truth to the greatest advantage.

I am, dear Sir,

Your's sincerely,

A. McCAMBRIDGE.

Quebec, 21st Decr. 1819.