

'promised to resign he must do so, but that he would advise him to get up a petition from some of his congregation to have him re-instated, and then he would be re-appointed, and all would be settled.'

"Mr. ——— told me that he had sent your letter to Dr. Hellmuth, and that Dr. H. had since written to him to say that he intended to publish the letter, without asking leave. At the same time he said that Dr. Hellmuth had acted in the way you enquired about, only that your Lordship's question had a slight verbal inaccuracy. It might give the idea that Dr. Hellmuth had advised Mr. ——— not to leave his mission at all. What Dr. Hellmuth did do was this. He advised Mr. ——— to leave his mission for some two or three months.

"Dr. Hellmuth has appealed to Mr. ——— in his 'Reply,' and this is his testimony since Dr. Hellmuth's letter has been published:—

"I may also say that some time last autumn Mr. ——— told me of this, and said that Dr. Hellmuth had been playing a double part. I am sure Mr. ——— would confirm this, and at any rate it is what he has stated before myself and others more than once.

"If Mr. ——— can tell you the answer that Dr. Hellmuth sent on hearing of your Lordship's question, it might serve to confirm the truth of this.

"Again hoping you will pardon the liberty I have taken,

"I remain, my Lord,

"Yours faithfully,

"JOHN R. CARTWRIGHT."

I accordingly wrote to the Rev. Mr. ———, who sent me the following answer:—

KINGSTON, 8th July, 1862.

"MY LORD BISHOP,—Your letter dated July 1st, only reached me last night. I cannot express to you my feelings. I did not answer your letter simply because I did not wish to injure Dr. Hellmuth. I therefore sent your letter to Quebec, that Dr. Hellmuth might answer the question, and give your Lordship the necessary information, never thinking that your Lordship's letter to me would be published.

"I can now only add that your question was 'substantially correct,' and

"I remain, my Lord Bishop,

"Very sincerely yours,

"———."

This is the testimony of the Missionary, to whom the Archdeacon himself refers me for proof of the truth of his own statement, and it contains the very contrary. I certainly should not have expressed my-