

COMMITMENT TO ADOPT POLICIES AND MEASURES WITHIN NATIONAL PROGRAMMES BUT BASED UPON THREE CATEGORIES OF COMMITMENTS: 1) MANDATORY CATEGORY FOR COMMITMENTS TO BE INCLUDED IN ALL NATIONAL PROGRAMMES; 2) SECOND CATEGORY, OF POLICIES AND MEASURES APPROPRIATE FOR INTERNATIONAL ACTION AND FOR WHICH PRIORITY CONSIDERATION IS MANDATORY; AND 3) THIRD CATEGORY OF OPTIONAL POLICIES AND MEASURES THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED IN LIGHT OF NATIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES. POLICY OBLIGATIONS OUTLINED ABOVE WOULD BE LINKED TO QUANTIFIED LIMITATION AND REDUCTION OBJECTIVES.

20. PARTIES WERE INVITED TO MAKE PRELIMINARY SUBMISSIONS BY JANUARY 15, 1996 TO SECRETARIAT REGARDING ADDITIONAL IDEAS ON POSSIBLE FEATURES OF PROTOCOL OR OTHER LEGAL INSTRUMENT.

21. CANADA EXPRESSED ITS SUPPORT IN INVOLVING AS MANY PARTIES AS POSSIBLE IN THE DELIBERATIONS OF THE FCCC AND ITS SUBSIDIARY BODIES AND THE NEED FOR CONTINUED CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE TRUST FUND FOR PARTICIPANTS IN THE UNFCCC TO ALLOW FOR THE MAXIMUM PARTICIPATION AT CONVENTION MEETINGS. IN THAT RESPECT, CANADA INDICATED THAT IT RECENTLY HAD MADE A CONTRIBUTION OF \$65,000 CDN TO THE FUND.

22. AD HOC GROUP ON ARTICLE 13 CONSULTATIVE MECHANISM ON IMPLEMENTATION:

PATRICK SZELL, RESPECTED INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL LAWYER WAS CONFIRMED AS CHAIRMAN. ISSUE OF RAPporteur AND VICE-CHAIR LEFT TO NEXT SESSION. IT QUICKLY BECAME APPARENT THAT MOST DELEGATES HELD ONLY PRELIMINARY VIEWS ON SUBJECT OF APPROPRIATE CONSULTATIVE MECHANISM AND THAT, GIVEN NEED FOR FURTHER DETAILED EXAMINATION OF ISSUES AND DESIGN, WORK ON ARTICLE 13 PROCESS WOULD NOT BE COMPLETED BY COP2.

23. SEVERAL DELEGATIONS WERE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF IDEA OF MECHANISM (CHINA AND KOREA) AND MANY ADVOCATED CAUTIOUS APPROACH. EU POSITION WAS FOR NON-COMPLIANCE REGIME. AUSTRALIA SHARED CDN VIEWS ON MOST ISSUES (IE, NON-CONFRONTATIONAL, TRANSPARENT, PROBLEM SOLVING APPROACH.) IN LIGHT OF LACK OF CONSIDERED THOUGHT ON SUBJECT, REFLECTED EVEN IN JUSCANZ MEETINGS, AND GIVEN NUMBER OF CONCERNS BEING RAISED IN THE SESSION, CANADA DID NOT TABLE REVISED PAPER ON ART13.

24. HOWEVER, WE SPOKE ABOUT CDN PROPOSAL AND DESCRIBED ITS KEY ELEMENTS IN PLENARY. PAPER WAS SHARED WITH JUSCANZ DELEGATIONS. CDN PROPOSAL WILL BE FURTHER MODIFIED TO REFLECT SOME OF COMMENTS MADE BY DELEGATES AND WILL BE SUBMITTED, IN MODIFIED FORM, AT MORE STRATEGIC TIME. JUSCANZ REACTION WAS GENERALLY SUPPORTIVE, BUT SOME DELS NOTED THAT IT MAY BE TOO SPECIFIC FOR THIS EARLY STAGE OF NEGOTIATION. CANADA MADE INTERVENTION STRESSING NEED FOR ART13 CONSULTATIVE PROCESS AND OUTLINED PRINCIPLES WE VIEW AS ESSENTIAL TO SUCH PROCESS (TRANSPARENT, OPEN, EXPERT, NON-BINDING RESULT, NON-CONFRONTATIONAL).

25. DISCUSSION FOCUSED ON NUMBER OF QUESTIONS PREPARED BY SECRETARIAT, INCLUDING 1) NATURE OF MULTILATERAL PROCESS AND QUESTIONS THAT COULD BE RAISED; 2) LINKAGES WITH OTHER ARTICLES OF THE CONVENTION, IN PARTICULAR, REPORTING AND REVIEW AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION; AND 3) TIMING CONSIDERATIONS. NUMBER OF OTHER ISSUES