

# EDUCATIONAL JOURNAL

OF WESTERN CANADA.

---

VOL. III.

WINNIPEG, OCTOBER, 1901.

No. 6.

---

## Contributions.

The JOURNAL is not responsible for opinions of contributors.  
Replies to contributions will be welcome.

---

### SCHOLAR OR GENTLEMAN ?

By W. A. McIntyre, Principal Normal School.

He was one of the best known and most prosperous business men in the west, and I leave it to you to judge whether he spoke as a wise man or as a fool. He was talking about the education of his boys—you know men are sometimes really interested in the education of their boys—and after an exchange of opinions regarding their teacher, he remarked: "I don't understand how teachers so often overlook the fact that the most important thing in teaching a boy, is to give him the instincts and manners of a gentleman. When I went to High School twenty years ago we had six or eight teachers, and it was said to be the best school in the district, yet my recollection of it to-day is this: The principal, who taught classics, and who should have been a man of refinement, was nothing but a cold-blooded registering machine. He seemed to be made of metal. He followed the progress of the boys in their studies with a lynx-eyed vigilance; if a boy was shaping well for examination, that was enough; no matter what merits he had outside of that they were disregarded. I have seen boys come into that school who needed, above all things, a little talk as to dress and deportment—just a little word would have sufficed—but that word was never spoken. There were others who had offensive ways—they were slovenly and dirty—yet they were good students and they were in the favored lot. They left that school resembling "the learned hog," and they are probably after that pattern to-day. Our mathematical teacher was a quiet, patient man, who could solve anything in the shape of a problem, but we ran wild with him. His influence was altogether in the direction of producing "hoodlums." Our English master was one of the driest specimens imaginable. There was nothing he could not analyze, except a boy's nature. If he had been capable of doing that he would probably have discovered a boy's needs. He gave us words, words, words, but there was no inspiration, no life. The teacher of science, however, was a man and a gentleman. Whenever he came into the room we felt the presence of a lofty soul. He said nothing about manners, but most of us began to reverence him and copy him. His spirit was infectious. The other teachers gave us most of our schooling, he gave us most of our education. I shall love the memory of that man as long as I live. We had a man who taught us book-keeping and history. He was boorish, narrow, conceited. He was self-educated, I believe, and, never having been in the world of men, never really understood how ignorant and full of faults he was. He had dirty hands,