Applications are now being accepted for the position of

editor-in-chief of the

Gateway

for the 1974-75 term



Application forms are available from

Allyn Cadogan

or

Satya Das

in room 282 SUB



The other day I was told: the 4th floor Chem cafeteria hounge is for faculty and staffers: the lady that runs it, owns it and that: If you don't intend to buy something don't go there. I urge every interested student to go there and see for themselves and don't hesitate to shout back at the bitch that runs that place and if you've been fired from your part-time job lately, had a car accident, or been refused a loan, go ahead and punch her teeth in.

Repo Constantinople



Just the other day, myself and three friends visited that nice secluded little cafeteria and lounge on the 4th floor of the new Chem wing. It was quite empty, maybe 10% capacity and we seated ourselves in the lounge area, pulled out our lunches and ate. Before swallowing two bites out of my sandwich, the lone woman who sells the goodies up there came over to our table and told us first: this was HER cafeteria and second: the lounge area is reserved for faculty and third: if we didn't buy anything we couldn't stay. So someone bought something and we moved out to the cafeteria area. She wasn't satisfied with this and proceeded to harrass us further. I didn't really care because I was thinking about Bacon and tomato sandwiches and George Foreman beating the shit out of Frazier. It finally dawned on me that we were being told to leave by the bitchy goody seller. I told her that I's like to nail her in a her that I's like to nail her in a box and send her off to China and she even got madder! May be she was mad because I'd swiped one of her terrible egg salad sandwiches but she never mentioned it. I wonder if she knew. Anyhow, while waiting for an elevator down, we warned people going in there about what

to expect and to make sure to buy something. The only thing left to do was to find an empty table. talk drifted to a number of topics. I was dreaming of nailing that little old lady in a box with some carrots and lipstick and shipping her off, sea freight, to somewhere where they would punish her. If she ever bugs me again I swear I'll do it. Worse still, I'll tie her down in the Arts Quad, cover her naked body with Roger's Golden Syrup and unleash 700,000 ravenous horse

Lincoln Continental

A message

A Law of The Wild.

'An eye for an eye, an ear for an ear.' It is known as a Law of the Wild, contemptuous of by a lot, but is and will exist as a law in even the most highly civilized society. Just as Newton's Third Law of Motion 'Action equals reaction', parallel to our Law of the Wild, always true as a Law in Physics.

Christianity has been well established to deal with the forgiveness of sins kind of business. However, no one can be sure if there is a god for one thing, while doubts whether that god will forgive our sins so that we shalt forgive others' sins against us for another. So, to believe that thou shalt forgive thou enemies' sins against thee is a self-satisfied, half-lying, hypocritic kind of blind faith. I have never forgotten that Jesus had told us to let thy foe slap thou other side of thou face after he has slapped one side already. However, it is an ideal too high for reality which is full of unfairness and inequality.

'To grudge and not be grudged' will not keep people from grudging at people but will encourage a lot of born-to-be bullies and predators who are fond of grudging at people while cowards and scapegoats who are ready to be crucified will become abundant. Only grudge not expecting grudging back will remind the grudgers not to grudge by to pain. There are three ways to face a persecution to run away to be persecuted or to fight back. Men are born to struggle in this world, and to struggle means to fight back. His Law of the Wild will be the only one to keep some decent peace in this wild world. To be grudged and not to grudge back is only to hide thou head in the sand, pretend not to see thou enemy and suppose to be saved - THOU SHALT BE PREDATED!

College

In the Gateway's edition of January 10, 1974 an article dealing with College - Universitaire - St - Jean, was published. Unfortunately the article was biased, incoherent, illogical and contradictory. The little truth that was presented was so distorted with emotion and lack of adequate research that the reader was given but a slanderous opinion of college rather than an objective illumination of its existence. Consequently clarification as well as rebuttal of the article is necessary.

College - Universitaire - St. Jean is the official bilingual faculty of the U. of A. as such it presents the opportunity for frenchspeaking students to continue their studies in their mother tongue while gradually adapting to the english environment of the west. However its more important function in the role of bilingual faculty is to offer english speaking students the possibility of learning the french language or of amiliorating their limited knowledge of it.

The article points out that in the hallways the usage of english is predominant. This in indeed true and rather unfortunate as it arrests the possibility of having an entirely french ambiance. Never the less we must bear in mind that are in attendance at College more english speaking students than french.

Further more the leaning of another language is often a difficult and embarrassing task Therefore the struggle is reserved basically for the classroom. Had the article been written from the viewpoint of the classroom rather than of the hallways this would have been obvious.

As the article develops it suggests that the administration of College deliberately attempts to deceive prospective students with ludicrous claims such as having a student professor ratio of one-to-one. Accusations such as that are entirely unfounded. For each year the College undertakes an extensive recruiting campaign during which it presents a total overview of what it has to offer.

In addition to it's other moronic claims the article asserts that students majoring in education and science have no choice but to leave College after their first year if they wish to complete their bachelor's degree, This is apparently due to a shortage of the necessary courses. While it is true that only a limited number of courses can be offered at College they are in sufficient number so as to allow

for the completion of a degree.

Along with its other evonious statements the article maintains that the professor's at College slip into oblivion when their classes are over. Well in the opinion of the majority of College students the professors are seen as extremely dedicated. it is not infrequent for students needing special assistance to take up hours of the professor's own time which is freely and willingly made available to students.

The article tumbles further into fallaciousness when it insinuates that student demands and socials are overlooked and restrained. Students at College have priority over all other organizations however wealthy or influential they may be when recreational space for socials is necessary. As well students at College have parody on all, decision making bodies at

It is interesting to note with regard to the article's accusation of overwelming apathy amongst the students at College that a student from College is the only student from College is the only student representative on the Educational council of the U of A. A council which recognizes 79 seats for the student population of the U of A.

Hopefully the quidity, if you will, of College Universitate the control of the U of A.

has been somewhat clarified. It is unfortunate that an article such as the one perpotraited by M. Piaumier has the power to influence opinion. Never the less I'm sure the readers of Gateway took it for what it was and will remain open minded toward College until as doubting St. Thomas they see for themselves. Stephen H. Lindop President

Students Union College, Universitaire St. Jean

In the year 1972-73, I attended CUSJ as a 3rd year arts student. My reasons for going to the College instead of to the regular campus were mainly two fold. I wanted to study in an "ambiance" which would be conducive to the development and retention of my french language and also, I wanted to study in a more humane environment. I was unfortunately deceived, not so much by the system, but by the students. The majority of the students there came, as I did, from french-canadian homes. Whatever their personal reasons, (if they had any) for going to the CUSJ, they stated that they wanted to better their knowledge of french and their ability to communicate in the said language.

The reality, as I experienced it, and colored by my emotions and my prejudices was as follows: the students who made

