

THE CUBAN REBELLION.

Insurgents Burn Buildings and Destroy Cane on Plantations.

A Massachusetts Man Who Joined the Rebels Gives His Experience.

Havana, April 28.—The gunboats Pizarro and Alvarado, while cruising in the vicinity of Port Maraví, province of Santiago de Cuba, near Baracoa, intending to land some troops near that port, were received with live discharges of musketry from the shore. The gunboats immediately opened fire on the insurgents, the troops were landed and the enemy was compelled to retreat with numerous loss.

The commander of the Pizarro, Lieut. Juan Cervero, and three marines were seriously wounded, four marines were slightly wounded, the officer and four guerrillas were seriously wounded; seven guerrillas were slightly wounded and one guerrilla was killed.

Despatches from Centuoguo, province of Matanzas, report several additional skirmishes with the insurgents, during which seven of the latter were killed and five Spanish soldiers were wounded.

The insurgents have burned the machinery and buildings of the plantation of Santa Barbara and have destroyed two thousand two hundred tons of cane on the plantation of Recreo, province of Matanzas.

Springfield, Mass., April 23.—Walter S. Whitcomb of this city, who enlisted with the Cuban insurgents and made his escape from the famous Morro Castle, just outside Havana, by means of a rope, has returned to his home, and tells an interesting story of his experiences while in the army of the Cubans. He was walking in the streets of Jacksonville, Fla., when his attention was attracted by a squad of Cuban patriots, each carrying a white silk banner, designating that they were in search of men to enlist for the war. His adventurous spirit seized him and he joined the cause, receiving \$100 at the outset in American currency. Six other recruits enlisted with him. They took sail in the tug P. D. Jackson, and landed at Del Rio, where they were met by twenty men from the insurgent camp, under the command of Antonio Maceo. The party went at once to the rebel camp. Whitcomb remained in the rebel camp five weeks. This camp was ninety miles from Havana and contained about 12,000 insurgents. The patriots were well grounded, Whitcomb says, in the simpler military tactics and voted much of their time to drill work.

While in camp Whitcomb suffered an attack of fever, and upon his consciousness was given a pass through the line to Havana. When within twenty miles of that city he fell into a camp of Spanish soldiers. They captured him and tied his hands and feet to a tree. There were several Cubans captured with him and they were tied in like manner. He spent the night thus bound. In the morning the Spaniards were gone; killed, the Spaniards were gone; killed, the Spaniards were taken to Morro castle in company with other prisoners. At night he was confined in the great dingy tower, but during the day time was permitted the freedom of the yard.

There were about sixty prisoners in the castle, including twelve Americans. Whitcomb had \$15 with him when imprisoned, which he had succeeded in concealing in his shoe. He bribed the guard, assigned to watch his cell, paying him \$50 for forty feet of rope with which to escape from the tower, which was fastened to a stone, and swung out into the Gulf of Mexico. A cat-boat belonging to one of the officials was anchored near by. He swam to it and escaped from the tower at night, drifted out into the gulf. He was picked up by the Adrian bound for the Florida coast. The cat-boat was taken in tow and upon reaching Florida, Whitcomb sold it for \$50.

Whitcomb found employment in the Royal Ponceana hotel, where he remained until his departure for this city. Whitcomb says that he encountered 500 men from Oklohoma who came in answer to an advertisement that men were wanted there to engage in duck-shooting.

Havana, April 29.—The insurgents have destroyed with dynamite the railway bridge at Gelpi, between Matanzas and Guanabana.

An officer who belonged to Antonio Maceo's escort of insurgents, who has surrendered to the authorities after having remained hidden for seven days in the woods near Pinar del Rio, stated that the insurgent leader's forces are in a very bad condition, without ammunition and suffering from lack of provisions. He expresses the opinion that they will eventually surrender. The report that Maximino Gomez is near Villa Clara has been confirmed.

Col. Pavio, at Cayo Romana, province of Matanzas, has had a skirmish with the insurgents, who lost five killed. In addition, in an ambush near Mariano the insurgents lost two killed.

A force of 300 insurgents has attacked Santo Cristo, province of Santiago de Cuba, and has been repulsed with a loss of three killed and three men captured by the Spanish troops.

The Barcelona battalion, in pursuing a force of 600 insurgents, attacked the latter's flank and rear in the woods near Serabanda, near Aguada, province of Matanzas, and captured the insurgent camp. The insurgents had five killed and the troops captured seven prisoners.

Among the latter was an insurgent captain named Diaz Amaro. In a skirmish between a band of insurgents and some Spanish soldiers near Chantufogue, in the province of Santa Clara, the enemy lost four killed. Basilio Lasea, an insurgent mulatto incendiary, was tried by court martial today.

Gen. Arolas, near the farm of Soldad, had attacked and dispersed a band of 300 of the enemy. Antonio Maceo, it is said, has issued orders to the insurgents to hang all persons who try to surrender themselves to the Spanish authorities.

SPORTING MATTERS.

An Effort to be Made to Send a Four Oared Crew to Halifax.

Muldoon's Pickaninny Proved Too Much for John Butler at Lynn.

The Sextet Bicycle to Have a Race Against the Empire State Express in May.

Chicago, Ill., April 27.—If the weather permits there will be a race, on or about May 15, between a sextet bicycle and the Empire State express, near Albany. Since the bicycle has conquered the horse on the track the friends of wheeling are anxious to prove even the steam engine inferior. The fast mode of the Empire State express made its debut in the goal of the bicyclists' ambition, and a trial at that record will be had. If this time is beaten it will prove the bicycle the fastest method of locomotion in season.

A track six miles long is now being prepared parallel to the New York Central's tracks, near Albany. The wheel has already been built, and is a sextet, geared to 100 miles. The men to ride it can turn their pedals three times to a second, as is hoped, the train record for a mile will be beaten two and two-fifths seconds. The veteran trainer of bicyclists, John West, has taken charge of the riders who are to make the attempt at the record.

The machine is built on the cantilever principle, and it is claimed the weight of its six riders will not deflect the frame in the least. There are four sprockets used in gearing, so that at each revolution of the pedals the bicycle moves ahead forty-two feet. The entire sextet weighs 137-1/2 pounds.

It is not stated definitely who the men to be placed on the wheel will be, but it is understood that the team will be made up from the following men: John Lund, or "Dave" Coburn, of St. Louis, as steersman; Fred Rau, winner of the Chicago road race in 1894; Fred Lough, the young Canadian champion; John Lawson, the "Terrible Swede"; Fred Weag, and W. S. Kenyon, of Chicago; F. W. Benkey of Mount Clemens, Mich.; H. E. Fredrickson, the Nebraska champion, and two or three others whose names are not yet given out.

About May 1, or possibly a week later, if the weather has not permitted steady training here, the entire team, with trawlers and substitutes, will go to Buffalo to put a few finishing touches on their work before the final test. A few days on the track itself, with a preparatory brush with some slow train, will be all, it is claimed, that will be necessary before the great race is run.

The L. A. W. Weekly Bulletin, Philadelphia, April 29.—Chairman Gideon's weekly L. A. W. bulletin contains the following orders: Sanctions granted—May 15, N. H. I. S. Athletic association, Rochester, N. Y.; May 20, W. J. Smith, Westfield, Mass.; July 4, Sanford Cycle club, Sanford, Me. Transferred to professional class—E. C. Bald, Syracuse, N. Y., clause C.

AQUATIC. A crew for Halifax Regatta. A number of gentlemen have decided to make an effort to get a four-oared crew to represent this city at the Halifax carnival regatta the latter end of July. To this end a circular has been issued to many citizens asking them to meet at the Sun office on Saturday evening at 8 o'clock, to take the matter into consideration. It is desirable that there should be no delay in coming to a definite decision. No doubt there are many interested in aquatic who have been overlooked in sending out the circular. Therefore all who are interested in the movement are invited to attend.

THE TURF. Robert J. and Joe Patchen. Portland, April 29.—The great pacers Robert J. and Joe Patchen have been entered for the September Rigby meet, and will race for a big purse, without fail. This will be an event of great importance to the turf, as it is the first time these two horses have met.

Muldoon's Pickaninny Too Much for Butler. Lynn, Mass., April 29.—Muldoon's "Pickaninny" won a decision over John Butler in the exciting match here tonight after nine rounds of furious fighting. Butler opened the first round by frequent leading, while the Pickaninny avoided most of them with good results. In the second Butler's leads missed their mark, and at the close he was nearly out from the Pickaninny's hot retreat.

Butler came up stronger for the third round, and by more careful leading gave the Pickaninny an even match till the ninth round. Both men were severely punished but answered the going for the ninth round in fair condition and equally strong.

The Pickaninny began to force matters at the opening of the ninth, and with a quick rush landed for Butler's jaw, sending him down. The judges awarded the decision to the Pickaninny.

INCORPORATION OF GRAND RAILLIS. The vote under the act of the local assembly for the incorporation of the town of Grand Falls, N. B., was taken at that place on the 28th ult., and resulted in the act being carried by a majority of 25 votes.

A mass meeting of the citizens was held on the previous evening, at which addresses were made by Alex. W. Baird, on behalf of the Grand Falls Water Power and Boom Co., who are interested in this incorporation, and Rev. Mr. Loed, in French, who evinced a warm interest in the progress of the people of the place. The results are highly satisfactory to this community.

BANQUET TO ALEX. DICK.

Was the Sentence Imposed on Scott and Green for Prize Fighting.

A Synopsis of Police Magistrate Ritchie's Remarks in Passing Sentence.

An Appeal Will be Taken to Court.—The Two Prisoners Admitted to Bail.—Pending Further Proceedings.

The police magistrate delivered judgment on April 28th, in the case of Green and Scott, indicted under the criminal code of Canada for engaging as principals in a prize fight at the Atlantic athletic club room in this city on the night of April 15th.

His honor said that the words prize fight in the Canadian criminal code had a more extended significance than in the English laws, and he doubted very much if any English statute went as far as this code did. Section 92 defined it as including an "encounter" or "fight with fists or hands between two persons who had met for such purpose by previous arrangement made by or for them."

Other sections of the code threw considerable light on this section. Section 97 provided that if, after hearing evidence of the circumstances connected with the origin of the fight, the person before whom the complaint is made is satisfied that such fight was bona fide the result or consequence of a quarrel or dispute between the principals, and that it was not an encounter or fight for a prize or money consideration, such person might in his discretion discharge the accused or impose upon him a penalty not exceeding fifty dollars.

He thought the prisoners could not be brought within that section. They had no quarrel or dispute that led in any way to this encounter or fight. Section 92 threw some light as to what constituted an encounter or fight. It might be within fists or hands, and consequently included acts by which the English law might not be deemed prize fights. Mr. Mullin, the prisoners' counsel, had made a strong contention as to the meaning and intent of the words "hands or fists" in the section, but with that contention he could not agree.

The difficulty he felt was that he could not impose a fine, or send the men to prison for less than three months, but the legislature must have intended to define what a prize fight was, and it was his duty to administer the law as he found it. Were the legislature circumventing or extending the scope of the term prize fight? They had defined a prize fight to be an encounter with fists or hands, taking place in public for a prize, and a prize fighter to be a man taking part in it as a principal. He felt the legislature had extended the scope of the word.

Under English usage this affair would hardly be classed as a prize fight, as according to the evidence it was a tame affair, but that would not justify him in saying it was not a prize fight within the meaning of the statute. These two persons met, one coming from a distance; the winner was to get \$40, and it was to be a ten round bout, but it might have ended before the ten rounds were fought. Under this evidence it was in the discretion of the police to have interfered earlier than they did, but possibly they waited till they had fully satisfied themselves that they had good ground for interfering.

Under the English authorities cited by Mr. Mullin it was perfectly clear that an unlawful act might be committed with gloves and that an encounter which began lawfully might end unlawfully. Under our Canadian statute the men were guilty. He felt sorry for the principals, and recognized that the affair was less brutal than others of the kind that had taken place in this city, he regretted that he had not authority under the statute to fine instead of imprisonment. At the same time he thought it possible that he could discharge them, but as he examined the law more closely he had to abandon that view and was compelled, somewhat reluctantly, to condemn them. Having no doubt in his mind that the men had violated the act, he had no other course open to him. At first he thought that he could let them under section 97, but now he thought that the evidence showed that Scott and Green had met in St. John; that they had an encounter, though it was true no great damage was done; that they met in a public hall; that an entrance fee was charged; and that consequently for the purposes of this case the Atlantic athletic club room could not be looked upon as a private room (the English authorities clearly distinguished between the two); that the gathering was not an orderly one, and that was a point on which the English judges laid more or less stress.

Was it an exhibition of skill asked the magistrate. The man who came from Fredericton knew nothing about the skill of the other man; he came, as stated, to see the evidence, on condition that the winner was to get \$40 and the loser \$20. The contest was therefore for money, and so far from being an exhibition of science, the crowd was disappointed at the lack of it.

In reading the whole act through, he gathered that all these so-called boxing exhibitions on their face came within its meaning, even if nobody is knocked out or killed. It might be that ten ounces or four ounces gloves precluded a blow given in a vital part from fatal results, but that had nothing to do with this case. The legislature clearly had in view such exhibitions when they enacted this part of the criminal code. As judge and jury he had to pass on the law and the evidence, and however strong his sympathy for the accused might be, he had to discharge that duty.

He adjudged the prisoners guilty and sentenced them to imprisonment in the county jail for three months. Mr. Mullin said the importance of the case justified an appeal under the statute, to the county court. This appeal would be to the July sittings,

THREE MONTHS IN JAIL.

Was the Sentence Imposed on Scott and Green for Prize Fighting.

A Synopsis of Police Magistrate Ritchie's Remarks in Passing Sentence.

An Appeal Will be Taken to Court.—The Two Prisoners Admitted to Bail.—Pending Further Proceedings.

The police magistrate delivered judgment on April 28th, in the case of Green and Scott, indicted under the criminal code of Canada for engaging as principals in a prize fight at the Atlantic athletic club room in this city on the night of April 15th.

His honor said that the words prize fight in the Canadian criminal code had a more extended significance than in the English laws, and he doubted very much if any English statute went as far as this code did. Section 92 defined it as including an "encounter" or "fight with fists or hands between two persons who had met for such purpose by previous arrangement made by or for them."

Other sections of the code threw considerable light on this section. Section 97 provided that if, after hearing evidence of the circumstances connected with the origin of the fight, the person before whom the complaint is made is satisfied that such fight was bona fide the result or consequence of a quarrel or dispute between the principals, and that it was not an encounter or fight for a prize or money consideration, such person might in his discretion discharge the accused or impose upon him a penalty not exceeding fifty dollars.

He thought the prisoners could not be brought within that section. They had no quarrel or dispute that led in any way to this encounter or fight. Section 92 threw some light as to what constituted an encounter or fight. It might be within fists or hands, and consequently included acts by which the English law might not be deemed prize fights. Mr. Mullin, the prisoners' counsel, had made a strong contention as to the meaning and intent of the words "hands or fists" in the section, but with that contention he could not agree.

The difficulty he felt was that he could not impose a fine, or send the men to prison for less than three months, but the legislature must have intended to define what a prize fight was, and it was his duty to administer the law as he found it. Were the legislature circumventing or extending the scope of the term prize fight? They had defined a prize fight to be an encounter with fists or hands, taking place in public for a prize, and a prize fighter to be a man taking part in it as a principal. He felt the legislature had extended the scope of the word.

Under English usage this affair would hardly be classed as a prize fight, as according to the evidence it was a tame affair, but that would not justify him in saying it was not a prize fight within the meaning of the statute. These two persons met, one coming from a distance; the winner was to get \$40, and it was to be a ten round bout, but it might have ended before the ten rounds were fought. Under this evidence it was in the discretion of the police to have interfered earlier than they did, but possibly they waited till they had fully satisfied themselves that they had good ground for interfering.

Under the English authorities cited by Mr. Mullin it was perfectly clear that an unlawful act might be committed with gloves and that an encounter which began lawfully might end unlawfully. Under our Canadian statute the men were guilty. He felt sorry for the principals, and recognized that the affair was less brutal than others of the kind that had taken place in this city, he regretted that he had not authority under the statute to fine instead of imprisonment. At the same time he thought it possible that he could discharge them, but as he examined the law more closely he had to abandon that view and was compelled, somewhat reluctantly, to condemn them. Having no doubt in his mind that the men had violated the act, he had no other course open to him. At first he thought that he could let them under section 97, but now he thought that the evidence showed that Scott and Green had met in St. John; that they had an encounter, though it was true no great damage was done; that they met in a public hall; that an entrance fee was charged; and that consequently for the purposes of this case the Atlantic athletic club room could not be looked upon as a private room (the English authorities clearly distinguished between the two); that the gathering was not an orderly one, and that was a point on which the English judges laid more or less stress.

Was it an exhibition of skill asked the magistrate. The man who came from Fredericton knew nothing about the skill of the other man; he came, as stated, to see the evidence, on condition that the winner was to get \$40 and the loser \$20. The contest was therefore for money, and so far from being an exhibition of science, the crowd was disappointed at the lack of it.

In reading the whole act through, he gathered that all these so-called boxing exhibitions on their face came within its meaning, even if nobody is knocked out or killed. It might be that ten ounces or four ounces gloves precluded a blow given in a vital part from fatal results, but that had nothing to do with this case. The legislature clearly had in view such exhibitions when they enacted this part of the criminal code. As judge and jury he had to pass on the law and the evidence, and however strong his sympathy for the accused might be, he had to discharge that duty.

He adjudged the prisoners guilty and sentenced them to imprisonment in the county jail for three months. Mr. Mullin said the importance of the case justified an appeal under the statute, to the county court. This appeal would be to the July sittings,

BANQUET TO ALEX. DICK.

Was the Sentence Imposed on Scott and Green for Prize Fighting.

A Synopsis of Police Magistrate Ritchie's Remarks in Passing Sentence.

An Appeal Will be Taken to Court.—The Two Prisoners Admitted to Bail.—Pending Further Proceedings.

The police magistrate delivered judgment on April 28th, in the case of Green and Scott, indicted under the criminal code of Canada for engaging as principals in a prize fight at the Atlantic athletic club room in this city on the night of April 15th.

His honor said that the words prize fight in the Canadian criminal code had a more extended significance than in the English laws, and he doubted very much if any English statute went as far as this code did. Section 92 defined it as including an "encounter" or "fight with fists or hands between two persons who had met for such purpose by previous arrangement made by or for them."

Other sections of the code threw considerable light on this section. Section 97 provided that if, after hearing evidence of the circumstances connected with the origin of the fight, the person before whom the complaint is made is satisfied that such fight was bona fide the result or consequence of a quarrel or dispute between the principals, and that it was not an encounter or fight for a prize or money consideration, such person might in his discretion discharge the accused or impose upon him a penalty not exceeding fifty dollars.

He thought the prisoners could not be brought within that section. They had no quarrel or dispute that led in any way to this encounter or fight. Section 92 threw some light as to what constituted an encounter or fight. It might be within fists or hands, and consequently included acts by which the English law might not be deemed prize fights. Mr. Mullin, the prisoners' counsel, had made a strong contention as to the meaning and intent of the words "hands or fists" in the section, but with that contention he could not agree.

The difficulty he felt was that he could not impose a fine, or send the men to prison for less than three months, but the legislature must have intended to define what a prize fight was, and it was his duty to administer the law as he found it. Were the legislature circumventing or extending the scope of the term prize fight? They had defined a prize fight to be an encounter with fists or hands, taking place in public for a prize, and a prize fighter to be a man taking part in it as a principal. He felt the legislature had extended the scope of the word.

Under English usage this affair would hardly be classed as a prize fight, as according to the evidence it was a tame affair, but that would not justify him in saying it was not a prize fight within the meaning of the statute. These two persons met, one coming from a distance; the winner was to get \$40, and it was to be a ten round bout, but it might have ended before the ten rounds were fought. Under this evidence it was in the discretion of the police to have interfered earlier than they did, but possibly they waited till they had fully satisfied themselves that they had good ground for interfering.

Under the English authorities cited by Mr. Mullin it was perfectly clear that an unlawful act might be committed with gloves and that an encounter which began lawfully might end unlawfully. Under our Canadian statute the men were guilty. He felt sorry for the principals, and recognized that the affair was less brutal than others of the kind that had taken place in this city, he regretted that he had not authority under the statute to fine instead of imprisonment. At the same time he thought it possible that he could discharge them, but as he examined the law more closely he had to abandon that view and was compelled, somewhat reluctantly, to condemn them. Having no doubt in his mind that the men had violated the act, he had no other course open to him. At first he thought that he could let them under section 97, but now he thought that the evidence showed that Scott and Green had met in St. John; that they had an encounter, though it was true no great damage was done; that they met in a public hall; that an entrance fee was charged; and that consequently for the purposes of this case the Atlantic athletic club room could not be looked upon as a private room (the English authorities clearly distinguished between the two); that the gathering was not an orderly one, and that was a point on which the English judges laid more or less stress.

Was it an exhibition of skill asked the magistrate. The man who came from Fredericton knew nothing about the skill of the other man; he came, as stated, to see the evidence, on condition that the winner was to get \$40 and the loser \$20. The contest was therefore for money, and so far from being an exhibition of science, the crowd was disappointed at the lack of it.

In reading the whole act through, he gathered that all these so-called boxing exhibitions on their face came within its meaning, even if nobody is knocked out or killed. It might be that ten ounces or four ounces gloves precluded a blow given in a vital part from fatal results, but that had nothing to do with this case. The legislature clearly had in view such exhibitions when they enacted this part of the criminal code. As judge and jury he had to pass on the law and the evidence, and however strong his sympathy for the accused might be, he had to discharge that duty.

He adjudged the prisoners guilty and sentenced them to imprisonment in the county jail for three months. Mr. Mullin said the importance of the case justified an appeal under the statute, to the county court. This appeal would be to the July sittings,

CONDEMNED.

The Five Leasburg Reformatory.

The Announcement.

It is Believed that...

London, April 29.—The five Leasburg reformatory, announced by the Home Office, is a new institution for the reformation of young offenders, and is situated in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. It is a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. The reformatory is to be managed by a governor, and will be under the supervision of the Home Office. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

CONDEMNED.

The Five Leasburg Reformatory.

The Announcement.

It is Believed that...

London, April 29.—The five Leasburg reformatory, announced by the Home Office, is a new institution for the reformation of young offenders, and is situated in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. It is a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. The reformatory is to be managed by a governor, and will be under the supervision of the Home Office. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.

The Home Office has announced that it is proposed to build a new reformatory for young offenders in the parish of St. Giles, in the City of London. The reformatory is to be a large and commodious building, and is well adapted for the purpose. It is expected to be opened in the autumn of 1896.