ot desire that'y years, after frequent executions for high treason, a new coaport him lition was formed, and one to which the people of England owe their scharges civil and religious liberties. That great coalition effected the Revolution of 1688, which eventually brought about the change of h he had aynasty, which substituted the House of Hanover for the House of ch as the Stuart. Will it be denied that there were then three parties? The nd Interackenzie high Tories, who adhered to King James the II.; the more patriotic t errone-Tories, who joined the third party of Whigs in inviting the Prince of Orange to deliver England from despotism. But was party extintion. He guished? Far from it. Never was there greater party virulence e present than during the next sixty years, during which two formidable there be If there rebellions occurred, to say nothing of plots to assassinate King William, and to effect another restoration of the doomed race wed that Hincks) which had been dethroned. The next coalition to which he y of our would refer, was that memorable one of Lord North and Mr. Fox, which was very generally condemned at the time, though the sary and student of the history of the reign of King George the Third may om their followed easily find excuses for a coalition, intended chiefly to check the undue influence of the Crown. But how was that coalition brought ring the about? On Lord North's resignation, owing to the disastrous the truth results of the American revolutionary war, a Whig Government pposition had been formed by Lord Rockingham, in which Mr. Fox and the ernment, Earl of Shelburne were Secretaries of State. Lord Rockingham al years died suddenly, and shortly after the formation of the Government, Coalition and Mr. Fox became the recognized chief of the Whig party, and ng three expected the premiership. The king sent for Lord Shelburne, with any one whom Mr. Fox refused to act, and the ministerial party became rernment Then was formed a coalition between the Tories, y leaders ecially to under Lord North, and the Whigs under Mr. Fox, to oppose Lord Shelburne's Government, which coalition was generally form a denounced as a factious one on the part of the Whigs. s having was not more factious however, than Mr. Brown's combination with l, which the Conservative opposition in 1854, to defeat the Government of e result that day. The regular opposition is justified in availing itself of een preany support it can obtain on such ocassions, but the minority of a of open party which acts from factious motives generally comes to grief, as ey were did Mr. Fox and Mr. Brown. The two parties, led respectively by benefits Lord North and Mr. Fox, succeeded in defeating Lord Shelburne's rhich he rmed of Government, and in forming a coalition, which was soon replaced by Mr. Pitt's Government, which lasted many years, and which, after d which a short interval obtained the support of the Tory followers of Lord ng King The next coalition to which he would refer was arty was one in our own time. The Conservative party was split uccessor. asunder by the adoption of Free Trade by Sir Robert Peel and

ess than