Marti we change to Γ'Ξ'), or else read y = will come to light; the latter would give a proper equivalent to ἀνατελεῖ and "apparebit," and a parallel might be found in Ps. 12, 6, where a similar change is necessary (cf. Baethgen ad loc.). The γ before

may be omitted, the clause being circumstantial.

4. This verse contains the inscription, but it is unfortunate that the text is so corrupt. The meaning of 4b is clear. difficulty centres in 4°. It is evident that the clauses are in antithetic parallelism and antitheses must, therefore, be found for עפלה and also for יחיה but בארים, but neither furnishes the one nor בלא ישרה נפשו בן the other. The LXX. which reads έαν ύποστείληται, οὐκ εὐδοκεῖ ή ψυχή μου έν αὐτῷ is not relevant to the context and renders no assistance. Aq. reads verzehevouevou, and Bredenkamp, following this, suggests הבעלה, which does not give the required antithesis to יחיה. For יחיה the Targum had רשעים and Syr. 'awla, either of which would be antithetic to סיוש. Some word which will meet the requirements of the context must be substituted for שרה, which may be rejected for the reason mentioned above. [25] and 13 are both dittography, the former from 5° and the latter from 3d. The following reading would give a reasonable parallel to 4b and also satisfy the demands of the metre: הנה רשע לא רושע, the last word having been distorted into השרה.

Another division of the prophecy begins with v. 5, which contains one of a series of woes pronounced upon the oppressor.

E. D. APTED, PRINTER, 7 AND 9 LEADER LANE, TORONTO.