Order and Procedure-Continued.

Mr. MacKay pointed out that it is not competent for a committee or any member of a committee to resign without cause, except in certain cases which are specified, and quoted authorities in support of point of order. Mr. Kirchhoffer does not press resolution and allows it to drop, 354.

- Crisis, practices in cases of.—Mr. Scott in inquiring re rumoured Cabinet resignations observed that it is the usual practice in the British Parliament and our Parliament when any crisis arises, that both Houses are taken into the confidence of the govt., 639; Sir Mackenzie Bowell, stated hon. gentleman was quite correct as to the parliamentary and constitutional practices, but he was not in a position, to answer inquiry, 639.
- Debate, quoting speeches made in other Chamber.— Improper to quote or refer in one House to a debate in the other House (Mr. Power), 549; authority, 556.

See also Manitoba School Question (Mr. Masson), 670.

- Debate, premature discussion of ques.—On Mr. Kaulbach speaking on Lobster Fishery Amt. in Com. of the W., Mr. Lougheed remarked that the question was not yet reached. Mr. Kaulbach claimed the Premier had violated the rule by going into Mr. Power's remarks, 615.
- Debate, remarks not relevant.—Mr. Power holds Mr. Ferguson out of order in discussing the dairy question in connection with the matter under consideration (Railway Communication in Prince Edward Island), 560.
- Debate, speaking Twice.—Ques. of order (Mr. Kaulbach) again (Mr. McInnes, B. C.) speaking twice on Mr. Kirchhoffer M. for adoption of Com. report on Odell Divorce Case, 225.

Ques. of order (Sir Mackenzie Bowell) against (Mr. McInnes, B.C.) making second speech, 328.

- On 2nd R. Commercial Treaties B.—Mr. McCallum observed that he wanted to move against B. when it comes up, 639; Sir Mackenzie Bowell said can M. as well against it before going into Com. of the W., and it will be understood he does not affirm the principle of the B. by consenting to 2nd R. now, 639.
- Debate, Ques. of Order, nothing before the Chair.— Mr. Landry rose to ques. of order, there being nothing before the Chair. Mr. Clemow, nothing out of the way at all in the discussion. Discussion continued, 279.
- Divorce procedure.—See the debates on "Divorce cases" (in General Index); especially the "Divorce Com.," where constitutional points, such as divorces to Roman Catholics, were discussed at great length; and Divorce Courts for Canada advocated.
- Inquiry irregular.—Sir Mackenzie Bowell, in regard to Mr. Boulton's inqy. about starting point of Great Northern Ry., states it is not regular to put it on order paper as a question, 184.
- Inquiru, postponement.-See "Insurance on lives of Children," 232.
- Joint Committees, appointment of.—Mr. Power points out establishing of an objectionable precedent and departure from usual parliamentary procedure in appointing joint committee to consider amts. (Criminal Code, 1892), 271-2.
- Legislation, ratification of.—Mr. Lougheed stated that at the last session of the North-west Legislature an order was passed providing for irrigation; the Minister of Justice was doubtful whether that legislature was within the power of the legislative $58\frac{1}{2}$

Order and Procedure-Continued.

- assembly, and it was thought advisable to ratify the ordinance, 639.
- Legislation, state of.—Mr. Scott inquires if there is any more important legislation, especially with reference to the Manitoba School question. Sir Mackenzie Bowell replies, no new legislation of any importance; definite information on Monday regarding Manitoba Schools, 577.
- Legislation, Gort, backward state of, etc.—On M. (Mr. Vidal) for adoption of report of Com. on Railways, etc.; session called at irregular time of year and nothing on order paper (Mr. Almon), 113.
- Legislatives, local, right of.—See Insolvency B.; Manitoba School Question.
- Motion involving expenditure of money.—Sir Mackenzie Bowell remarked that it might not be strictly within the rules of M. (Mr. Boulton) re transportation on the Great Lakes involved an expenditure of money, and hoped M. would be withdrawn. M. withdrawn, 691.
- Motion for adjournment of House to read statement.— Mr. Miller m. adjournment of House for the purpose of reading statement answering charges made in report from certain members of Divorce Com., 355. Remarks : Messrs. Miller and Power, 355.
- Motions, incomplete.—Suggestion is made by Mr. Miller that Mr. McInnes (B.C.) should attach either inquiry or motion to first M. (British Columbia Penitentiary), 377; Mr. McInnes (B.C.) promises to amend M. before moving it, 377.
- Motion, withdrawal of.—Mr. Boulton stated that he would withdraw his M. on the ground that the question before the House is a matter of fact and therefore not debatable; Sir. Mackenzie Bowell, if not debatable should not be on the order shet, if it is can be voted on ; M. voted on, 294.
- Motives, attributing.—Mr. McInnes (B.C.) rose to ques. of order; hon. member no right to attribute motives to any other member of House. Sir Mackenzie Bowell withdrew statement if out of order or unparliamentary, 608.
- Motives, imputing.—Some discussion took place between Sir Mackenzie Bowell and Mr. Scott regarding insinuations reflecting on the govt., made by the latter. Former called the latter to order (Winnipeg Great Northern Ry. Co.'s B.), 776; after recess, both apologize, 782.
- Newspaper, letter.—Mr. McInnes called the attention of the House to a letter which appeared in Ottawa Citizen signed "J. G. Moylan," correcting report, and referring to Thomas MoInnea," his nephew," as a refugee in the U.S., in connection with irregularities in the penitentiary of British Columbia. Denied nephew's discharge, and alleges misconduct on the part of Mr. Moylan. Mr. Kaulbach asks whether on question of privilege hon. gentleman can make a speech reflecting on character of Mr. Moylan make a speech reflecting on character of Mr. Moylan simply on letter found in newspaper. Mr. Miller inquires whether the senator has a right, as a question of privilege, to defend nephew and attack newspaper writer, only has a right to defend himself. Mr. Macdonald (B.C.) supports Mr. McInnes, attacked through medium of nephew. Mr. Angers, not an attack. Mr. Kaulbach rises to question of privilege and appeals to the chair. Sir Mackenzie Bowell, does not approve of sentence connecting Mr. McInnes's name with nephew, explains Minister of Justice struck it out, but it got into report in unaccountable manner. 133-5.