families and members in the Tabular Statement No. 2 in the Appendix, we get as the increase for the year, 237. families and 3266 members. This, of course, includes the numbers which were allowed for non-reporting congregations.

It was the intention of the writer to have entered somewhat fully into the consideration of some things in connection with the Statistics and Finances of the Church, of importance to all who desire to see the Canada Presbyterian Church continuing to make genuine progress and hold with a firm grasp the position which God has given her in this land. Instead, however, of entering into these matters at present, it was thought more good might be done by preparing a table which would show at a glance what each Presbytery is contributing per member, first to all the Schemes of the Church, and in the second place to each Scheme separately. About this time, many Presbyteries make their arrangements for holding missionary meetings, and during the winter, congregations make their contributions to the Schemes of the Church. The following Table has been carefully prepared, so that Presbyteries and congregations may see how much or how little has been the measure of liberality in their several localities. On looking at the table, nothing is more striking than the different measure of giving which seems to prevail in some Presbyteries as compared with others. This is very marked in the average contribution to what may be called the Great Funds of the Church-the College Fund, and Home and Foreign Mission Funds. The facts revealed by the Table are well worth the attentive consideration of all who take a lively interest in the prosperity of the Church.

The following Table is based upon the returns from Presbyteries as reported to 31st March.

AVERAGE	CONTRIBUTION	OF	EVCH	PRESBYTERY,	PER	MEMBER,	FOR	THE
SCHE	MES OF THE CH	ICR	CH.					

Presbytery.	For all the Schemes of the Church.	College Fund.	Home Mission Fund.	Foreign Mission Pund.	Widows' and Or- phans' Fund.	Synod Fund.	French Canadian Mission.	Kankakee Mission.
1. Montreal	1.32	•71	•33	·07 ·09	·06ł	·05ł	•07	·04
2. Ottawa	•69	•191	•16	•09	·07 š	•05	.07	.05
3. Brockville	•50	·191 ·07	•20	•05	•05	·041	·06	.01 [§]
4. Kingston	1.35	•41	·20 ·521 ·27 ·24	·05 ·21 \ ·08 ·14 ·17 \ ·13 ·10 \ ·08	•09	·05 [~]	·04	·03 ~
5. Cobourg	·61 ·80	.12	·27	·08 ⁻	•04	•03	•06	·013
6. Cntario	·80	·16 ·36	•24	•14	.11	•06	•05	·03
7. Toronto	1·22 ·84	•36	$-37 \\ -31$	•173	·13]	•07	·07	·04
8. Hamilton	·84		$\cdot 31$	·13	·031	·043	·07§	•03
9. Paris	•70	·20	$-15\frac{1}{2}$	·101	·05Į	.05	.07	·05ł
10. Guelph	•58	•16	·14	•08	·04§	$03\frac{1}{2}$	·071	·043
11. London	•72	•141	•20	•11	•06	·061	·06 [~]	•07
12. Stratford	•62	.12	·15	·11 ·081	•04	.041	·081	.08î
13. Huron	·70 ·58 ·72 ·62 ·63 ·26 ·64	12	•10	•05	.033	•04	·02	·08 <u>1</u> ·27
14. Grey	•26	•05	•07	·03Î	.031	·024	·01Į	•03
15. Simcoe	•64	•09	•30	-10	·05	·03}	·031	·04
	1	L			1			

D. W.

34

1

ł

É2

17

:

.;