

2. Due proof has been made before Your Committee of the publication of the said Notice, and of service of a copy thereof on the Respondent.  
All which is respectfully submitted.

JAS. ROBT. GOWAN,  
*Chairman.*

The Honourable Mr. Gowan moved, seconded by the Honourable Mr. Kaulbach,

That the said Report be adopted.

Which being objected to,

The question of concurrence being put thereon, the same was, on a division, resolved in the affirmative, and

Ordered accordingly.

The Honourable Mr. Gowan, from the Select Committee on Divorce, presented their Second Report.

Ordered, That it be received, and

The same was then read by the Clerk, and it is as follows:—

THE SENATE,  
COMMITTEE ROOM No. 17,  
SATURDAY, 19th March, 1892.

The Select Committee on Divorce, to whom was referred the Petition of James Wright, praying for the passing of an Act to dissolve his marriage with Sarah Ann Wright, formerly Sarah Ann McDougall, have, in obedience to Rule "J" of Your Honourable House respecting Bills of Divorce, examined the said Petition and the documents accompanying the same, and now beg leave to report as follows:—

1. Your Committee find the said Petition, the Notice thereof, and the proposed Bill regular and sufficient.

2. Due proof has been made before Your Committee that the Respondent has been personally served with a copy of the said Notice, in compliance with Rule "E."

3. Due proof has also been made before Your Committee that the said Notice was duly published in the "Canada Gazette" for the full period of six months required by Rule "D;" but Your Committee find that the said Notice has been duly published in the Manitoba "Free Press" and "Le Manitoba," two newspapers, publication in which is in compliance with Rule "D," for a period of five months only next preceding the presentation of the Petition. This omission has been satisfactorily proved to Your Committee to be due to no negligence of the Petitioner.

4. Inasmuch as the object of the Rule of Your Honourable House with respect to notices of applications for Divorce has been substantially attained; as the evidence shows that the Respondent was personally served with a copy of the Notice on the seventh of November, 1891; as the Respondent has not appeared before Your Committee to make any objection to the said Notice or to the service thereof; and as in the opinion of Your Committee neither the Respondent nor any other party can be prejudicially affected by the aforesaid omission, Your Committee recommend that the publication made be considered sufficient.

All which is respectfully submitted.

JAS. ROBT. GOWAN,  
*Chairman.*

On motion of the Honourable Mr. Gowan, seconded by the Honourable Mr. Kaulbach, it was

Ordered, That the said Report be taken into consideration by the House to-morrow.

The Honourable Mr. Gowan, from the Select Committee on Divorce, presented their Third Report.