REMARKS.

١.

FROM the preceding statement, vouched for as being, in every respect, a plain and unvarnished narrative of facts, it must be evident that Mr. J. B. Laporte, the individual whose claims upon Government have been delayed in the singular and extraordinary manner as just represented, originating as it did from the scheming acts and discreditable efforts of his adversaries, in attempting to deprive him of certain property to which they had no right or title whatsoever, must, necessarily, have greatly embarassed him in his circumstances—nay more, would most assuredly have reduced him to a state of poverty. if not utter ruin, had not a friendly hand, with a due regard to his peculiar and destitute situation, assisted and relieved him in his difficulties.

The Ursuline Nuns never did possess, at any time, any title-deeds or other documents of a description constituting them proprietors even of the land above the cliffs : although the Messrs. Frasers, (as representing these Religious Ladies,) in virtue of their rights laid claim to the beach lot as *Riparian proprietors*.

These ladies, as a religious community, in conjunction with others of the same order in this country, being civilly dead, could only possess and hold property in virtue of, what is termed in the French language, *lettres d'amortissement*, or letters patent from the Crown; the whole of the property owned by them, in that section of the Province in which they are domiciliated, being acquired in virtue of legal titles by purchase, exchange, or otherwise, and these confirmed to them by Royal authority or letters patent, issued by the Kings of France long previous to British dominion being existent in the country. Yet, the Ursuline Nuns never had titles to such land; nor, although cases of emergency required them to do so, did they ever produce them. This therefore purely imaginary right of *Riparian* proprietorship, in virtue of which claim was laid to the beach, was consequently exercised by the Messrs. F ing a show of view of defeat carrying out a ment towards in question to

But why at ject?—have n officers of the the claims of t line Nuns) to ded and unjust *feasible* rights of Courts of Ju country,) alreat itrely vosted in their pretended Have not nu

Councils of the respective administration decided, and given their claims counbeing duly plect and right—first, and then the very

The line of c to themselves, in the Court of Ki almost incredible at least for supp Ladies fully inte Crown as to the tion, satisfied, a these proceeding interests. But th rights (droits liti purchase, culpab vention to the la eyes of all courts of sordid avarice this property sho