

Air Canada

member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands (Mr. Douglas):

That this House urges the Minister of Labour to give top priority to the settlement of the strike at Winnipeg of the finance department employees of Air Canada, and that he make himself personally available to assist in achieving a settlement between the parties without further delay.

Mr. Speaker: This motion requires unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity.

* * *

[Translation]

MANPOWER**RETRAINING ALLOWANCES—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION**

Mr. Roland Godin (Portneuf): Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to present a motion under Standing Order 43 on a very pressing matter.

Considering that under the Adult Occupational Training Act, persons who are taking vocational training are deprived of grants after a period of 52 weeks, considering further that some of these half trained find themselves without a job and must be satisfied with a meagre pittance from the social welfare department, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Abitibi (Mr. Laprise):

That this House give early consideration to the steps to be taken in order to amend Bills C-278 and C-195 so that students who need pre-secondary schooling to Secondary V may be able to do so without restrictions or loss of time.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the hon. member's motion. Under the terms of Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: As there is not unanimous consent, the motion of the hon. member cannot be put.

* * *

[English]

AIR CANADA**RETENTION OF FINANCE DEPARTMENT OFFICES IN WINNIPEG—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION**

Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, may I try again to get the consent of the House to present a motion under Standing Order 43. I move, seconded by the hon. member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands (Mr. Douglas):

That this House urges the Minister of Transport to request from Air Canada a written statement to the effect that the finance

[Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre).]

department offices of Air Canada will not be moved from Winnipeg, and that such a statement be tabled in or communicated to this House.

Mr. Speaker: This motion, again proposed under the terms of Standing Order 43, requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimity?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity.

Introduction of Bills, First Reading of Senate Public Bills.

Mr. Balfour: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order at this time to ask if it is the intention of the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources to ask the House to revert to motions this evening so that he can make a statement to which opposition spokesmen will have the right to reply?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I wonder whether that question could be asked during the question period. It is difficult for the Chair to recognize members on questions at this point. We are at the moment on the item, "First Reading of Senate Public Bills", and I wonder how a member can rise under that item, on a point of order, to ask whether we will revert to motions. Perhaps we might agree to revert to motions for the purpose of allowing the hon. member to ask whether the minister is going to make a statement.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale): Mr. Speaker, to save the hon. member putting the question again, if the House is generally agreeable an order might be made permitting the House to revert to motions at eight o'clock this evening so that I could make a statement, pursuant to the motions procedure, at that time and then table the document.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, I think we would be prepared to do that. However, having in mind the ruling Your Honour has just made we assume the minister's statement will deal with policy.

Mr. Speaker: I am not sure how the hon. member is interpreting the ruling. I have not interpreted it yet. My understanding is that there is an order of the House for the purpose of reverting to motions at eight o'clock.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.