EVIDENCE

TUESDAY, July 19, 1960. 9.30 a.m.

The CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, we have a quorum.

Although Mr. Hellyer is not here, he asked a question at our last meeting as to how much of the \$14,216,000, under vote 228, at page 323, provided for development expended on projects performed by industry.

The answer provided by the department is:

It is estimated that approximately \$9,500,000 of the \$14,216,000 provided for development will be expended on projects performed by industry. Some of the larger projects provided for are listed below:

Tri-Service	-	005 000
Electronic components development projects	\$	225,000
Navy		
Main gearing design	\$	65,000
Serviceability studies on various equipments	\$	40,000
Army		
Bobcat	\$	644,000
STOL (Short Take-Off & Landing) and VTOL (Vertical	4	100.000
Take-Off & Landing) studies	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100,000
Vehicular navigational aid	\$	170,000
Improved range of field radio sets	\$	50,000
RCAF		
CF 104 Operational Flight Trainer	\$1	,800,000
Argus Operational Flight & Tactical Trainer	\$2	,000,000
CC 106 Operational Flight Trainer	\$	950,000
The CHAIRMAN: Gentlemen, we had a subcommittee meeting.		

Your subcommittee considered the question of calling outside witnesses in connection with the committee's consideration of the estimates of the Department of National Defence.

Following debate, your subcommittee resolved, on division, to recommend to the main committee that no outside witnesses be called in connection with the consideration of the estimates of the Department of National Defence.

In attendance at that meeting were Mr. Hellyer; Mr. Lambert, who acted for Mr. Smith; Mr. Webster, Mr. Winch and myself.

Are there any comments, gentlemen?

Mr. WINCH: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say that I am one of those who opposed the recommendation.

There is not much I can say except that I believe it is unfair to the committee, and it is not a proper investigation when you can only hear one point of view and, basically, what might be called only one expert witness.

I feel keenly disappointed at the view the subcommittee has taken. As I registered my objection at the steering committee, I register it again here.

The CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Winch.