strated a definite deposit of bone filling the space between the second and third lumbar vertebræ. In 1906 Dr. McCrae reported this case and another with bone changes in the spine,* and in the "System of Medicine" we edited together he gave an excellent analysis of the condition, and grouped the cases into three categories. First, those in which the hysterical features predominate. Secondly, cases with periostitis, or perispondylitis, with fever, pain, rigidity, and evidence of nerve root involvement. And thirdly, a group of cases with definite objective changes in the spine, as shown by the X-ray pictures, as well as by examination.

I confess freely to have taken too one-sided a view of the condition, but it was not without a strong basis of support. Such a prompt recovery, such as followed in several of the reported cases, seemed quite inconsistent with the existence of a spondylitis. In showing a case at the Johns Hopkins Medical Society, 1901, the following features were dwelt upon as indicating the functional character of the condition: First, a state of neurasthenia with vasomotor changes, and in not a few cases the definite stigmata of hysteria. Secondly, stiffness of the back, persisting for weeks and months, is associated with pain, sometimes of an agonizing character, on movement. Thirdly, pain on pressure over certain spinal processes. Fourthly, a negative local examination, with the absence of fever. And lastly, in many cases, prompt recovery, with the use of the Paquelin cautery, and measures directed to the neurotic condition.

This case of Sapper C. is a strong confirmation of this view. You saw him last Monday after the spinal jacket was removed —still very neurotic, the spine absolutely rigid; we could not induce him to sit up; he could just lift the legs off the bed with the same type of general clonic tremor. I know that some of you felt hopeless about him, and he had got hopeless about himself, but new surroundings, a new mind, and very skilfully applied methods did in ten minutes what we have failed to do in a year—put him on his feet. I saw him on the 3rd looking well, walking well, and very happy to be on his legs again.

The literature of typhoid spine to 1905 is fully analysed by Karl Fluss, *Centralblatt f.d. Grenzgebiete der Medizin und Chirurgie*, Bd. viii, and by Elkin and Halpenny in vol. i of the *British Journal of Surgery*, 1914. More than 100 cases have been reported, a large proportion in males. The onset is usually during convalescence, but has been weeks after, and has followed a sudden jar or twist or a blow. Constitutional disturbances are present in all cases. Fever is usually absent, but a range of 100° to 100·5° F. is not uncommon. Paroxysms of fever have been described, and there may be marked leucocytosis. A change in the mental condition has been noted in the majority of instances. The patients are excitable, apprehensive, self-centred, with the features of neurasthenia, and very often positive hysteria. In Sapper C.'s case this has been a striking phenomenon throughout. He was like a shell-shock subject, and at the first examination had an emotional storm with profuse sweating, goose skin, and then a vasomotor hyperæmia spread over the entire trunk. I have not seen a case without neurotic manifestations in some degree, even when signs of local disease were present.

Perhaps the most interesting case on record is the study by Dr. Leonard Ely, of New York, of his own attack (*Medical Record*, September 20, 1902). One hesitates to suggest the existence of hysteria in a professional brother, but one may say, at any rate, that the condition simulated it, and he confesses to have been "considered hysterical by his nurses." The professional baseball pitcher, whose protracted case is reported by Carnett; the cases of Lovett and Withington and Taylor's case had hysterical features combined with organic changes.

Of the local features, pain in the back, particularly on movement, is the most constant, and it may be of extraordinary severity, so that the patient screams on the slightest movement. It comes on in paroxysms, and is aggravated by the slightest jar or at any attempt to move. Patients have have had to be chloroformed when they use the bed pan, and the threat of suicide has been recorded in several instances. The pain may be of a definite nerve-root character, extending round one or both sides, or it may pass down one or both legs.

Tenderness on pressure is present over the spinal processes of varying numbers, sometimes limited in the lower dorsal and lumbar regions. Rigidity of the back is a constant feature; the patients are unable to stoop, and have a difficulty in raising themselves to the sitting posture. One patient came into the hospital supported by two friends almost bowed double, and it was only with the greatest difficulty that the back was straightened.

If, as some orthopædic surgeons hold, a rigid back indicates organic disease, all of these patients had it, and no case I have seen has been more marked than in Sapper C. Clonic contraction of the muscles has been present in a number of instances. It may be nothing more than the fine tremor on attempting moving of the legs; but there is one type of muscular contraction in these cases that is of great importance, as to my mind it is an unerring stigma of hysteria. I refer to the rhythmic contraction of the abdominal muscles, noted by Ely in his own case, and present in two of Carnett's cases. In a patient admitted in October, 1902, with pain in the back and the ordinary features of typhoid spine, the abdominal muscles were contracting at the rate of 75 to the minute, which gave a very remarkable appearance to the flanks, which were moved in and out like a pulsation.

Inability to use the legs is present in severe cases, but there is no actual paralysis, no wasting, and the features are quite unlike post-typhoid paraplegia from myelitis or from neuritis. Reflexes are increased, but not changed in type. Disturbances of sensation in the form of hyperæsthesia are common, particularly in the back. Anæsthesia may be present, and it is interesting that Dr. Yealland, in Sapper C.'s case, found a stocking anæsthesia, which certainly was not present on any occasion on which I or others examined him.

The last and important point is the evidence which exists in some cases for disease of the spine. This is of two forms: Kyphosis has been present, and of a type that could only occur from positive disease of the bone. Swelling of the soft parts on either side of the spine has been described and was present, as I have stated, in the patient seen by Dr. Reinhardt, the only one of the ten or twelve cases I have seen in which on physical examination changes were present. Of ordinary scoliosis and of associated atrophy of the lumbar muscles one cannot be so certain, as they are common enough in hysteria.

The X-ray picture has been studied now in a large number of cases. Osteoporosis, absorption of the intervertebral discs, and local bone proliferation have been described. It is extraordinary how few satisfactory skiagrams of the condition exist. I have looked in vain for one through the special journals, and some that have been published elsewhere are in the highest degree unsatisfactory. It is not fair to criticize a print without the plate, but figs. 2 and 6 illustrating Dr. Carnett's paper have had an extraordinary resemblance to the first plate taken of Sapper C., but subsequent study showed them to be artefacts, and the spine and adjacent bones show no trace of disease.

Upon one remarkable feature all writers dwell. Unlike ordinary typhoid periostitis the spondylitis rarely (if ever) goes on to suppuration. When present the lesion must differ essentially from that which we see in the long bones and the ribs. Typhoid bacilli have been frequently found in the bone marrow of the vertebræ, and there is no inherent reason why similar inflammatory changes should not be produced as in other bones. We know, indeed, from the presence of the kyphosis and from the X-ray picture that such changes do occur. Why they are not seen more often is, I believe, that they are not always present, and that we must recognize functional variety, which has its counterpart in certain forms of hysterical and railway spine.

---

* *Amer. Journ. Med. Science*, 1906, ii, p. 140.

ON THE NATURE OF "SHELL-SHOCK."

By F. DILLON, M.B., Ch.B.Edin., Temp. Capt., R.A.M.C.
Late Officer-in-Charge "Shell-Shock" Centre, — Army,
No. 3 Canadian Stationary Hospital.

IT is an interesting and instructive fact that, after the experience of three years and a half of war, there still should be so much diversity of opinion on the subject of the nature of "shell-shock."