Correspondence.

To the Editor of the CANADIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCE.

SIR,-In the report of a " Case of (so-called) Tropical Abscess of Liver," published in your last issue, it is stated that a subsequent examination of the patient was made in the "presence of Dr. Canniff," &c. Your readers would naturally infer that I was present as a friend of the family, or from curiosity. I am not in the habit of trying to advertise myself by inviting professional or non-professional friends to see extraordinary cases I may have under my care, nor of being "present" at examinations or operations, unless in a professional capacity. In this case I was asked by the family of Mr. B. to meet Dr. Aikins in consultation, who had called in Dr. H. H. Wright. I was in consultation for four days, and was equally responsible with those gentlemen in making a diagnosis, and in determining the course of treatment to be pursued.

Respectfully yours, WM. CANNIFF. Toronto, 13th June, 1882.

[We are sorry that Dr. Canniff has suggested such an interpretation, as Dr. Cameron wrote out the report, and the possibility of this miscontruction would never have otherwise occurred to him.—ED.]

To the Editor of the CANADIAN JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCE.

SIR,-During the last year I have read a great deal in your valuable JOURNAL about Dr. Bray's "inexpensive" method of examining a certain Dr. (?) John Hall, Homeopath, of Toronto. What does it all mean? I have never seen anything in the act which provides for "inexpensive," or any other method of examination before the Medical Council than the one specified therein. If I am correct, (and I think I am) the expense for "final examination including registration" is \$30.00, I, therefore, cannot see where the "inexpensive" comes in, when the said Dr. (?) Hall's father paid \$20.00 each, to at least five members of the Council, after the said examination was over, which was really only a nominal one, and not a test of professional qualification, which I suppose an examination to be intended for. Was this

\$20.00 (each) transaction, an understood thing before the "examination"? I have my information from one of the \$20.00 recipients himself, a Homæpath, consequently it is very likely true, and if the council requires his name for the *purpose* of investigating the matter I will give it. Did each and every member of the council, who voted for Dr. Bray's "inexpensive" motion and against Dr. Wright's protective one, receive the same amount? If so, I would consider it a very expensive method. Again, how did Dr. Bratton, late of London, Ontario, become registered a few years ago? It is well known in London that he did not comply with the requirements of the law. Is there much of this kind of work going on in the council? If so, it is very *unjust* to the profession generally, and not very creditable to the Medical Council of Ontario, while, at the same time we condemn the acts of the no 'orious Buchanan of United States' fame and his very learned "graduates" (?). Is anything ever to be done with these Buchanan "gentlemen"? They are each and every one of them guilty of violating the law by securing "registration" by misrepresentation, (in act or word) or in very plain terms, fraud, for they know how they obtained these high (?) degrees and just how much they are worth (professionally and financially), professionally, nothing, financially, a great deal. Can it be possible, Mr. Editor, that money can purchase medical registration in Have the members of the our Ontario? Council "sold their birthright for a mess of pottage ?" I sincerely hope not. Being only a country practitioner, and living a long way from the great medical centre, I (of course) am ignorant of many council and other matters professional, but having been a very long time "in harness," and taking a great interest in every thing that concerns my profession, and more especially its honor and integrity, I desire to learn and know all I can regarding its affairs. I, therefore, write you for information. Hoping you will give me all the information which I have asked for, and that you will excuse the length of this letter.

I am yours truly, C. W. FLOCK, M.D. LEAMINGTON, June 9th, 1882.