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## PEACE OR WAR.

## ENGLaND AND TILE UNITED STATES.

.WAR with England! war betreen England and the United States 1 Do thoso who so glibly utter these rorde picture to themselves their terrible imnort and the ruinous results that would flow from such an erent? Wo think they do not, we hope thes do not, and that these threats in Thiech our neighbours so frequentls indulge, proceed from the excitereent of the recent contest with the South, and the exultation orer its sucecssful termination, mather than from any scrious wish or intention on the part of any one fit to lise out of a lunatic asslum, to attack Britain or ler possessions, at home or abroad. England has abundantly proved her determination to aroid, if possible, a scrious collision with her pugnacious oflspring; and re will not do the latier the injustice to euspect that her desirefor peace has had ansthing to do with tho denunciations daily launcled against her by the writers and orators of the Union. Surely our cousins have had plenty of fighting of late. A nation maj get a surfeit of glory as of other good things. We hare oursclecs no extremo rencration for laurels that are stecped in blood, especinlly in kindred blood; but tastes differ, and wo do not pretend to blame those who take a different riew of the matter. These then, may cajoy the cerfaminis gaudia, "tho rapture of the fight" to their heart's content; jet, as a familiar prorerb says, enough is as good ns a feast-cren of war and battle. What could the peopic of the United States gain in the may of glors, by fighting England, that they do not possessalicady? Thes insist that they thrashed her to rags in the war of 1812. If it will relicre their bursting bosoms, no one will object to their declaring that they frigbtened her into fits in 1865. As Lord Derby would say, it will please them, and do ber no harm; while fighting rould cortainly injure both.
Bat this is a grave subject, and ought to be scriously treated. War betreen tho United States and England woald, in the first place,
involve tho destruction of tho commercial marino of both nations, and tho trazsfer of their shipping to other countries. The war-rigks of insurance alone, independently of the risk of capture, would make-this a corthinty, if wo are to judge from the misclief dono to American commerce by one or tro Southern craisers, during the troubles with the South. With scores of Alabamas and Floridas scouring every sca, no merchantman of either of the belligerents could leare nort without the most imminent danger of ecizure beforo it had scarcely lost sight of land. No war on land could be so destractive of property as this would bc, without taking into consideration the other consequence we have alluded to, that, namely, of placing the carrying trade of the occan in the hands of those tho would be but too ready to take ndrantago of a chance of enriching themselvi: at the expense of the tro combatants madly bent on ruining cach otber. This circumstance of itself, without reference to many others, ought to mako war betreen England and the United States all but impossible, and cannot fail to influence erery reflecting man of the tro nations in continuing peacsful relations betreen them as being equally the interest of both. In fact, it is not mercly desinable that they should cultivatesuch relations; it is absolutely nccessary that they should do so, if they mould maintain their high position among tho great powers of the roord. The present age repudiates tho old doctrine, that injury to the commerce of one reople is beneficial to that of another peoplo which chooses to consider itself its rival. England and the United States have nothing to gain by war, but erergthing by peace. So confident are we that this is the light in which themajority in both countries will eventually regard tho matter, that we will venture to predict that intimate as the alliance is mhich now exists between England and France, there is greater danger of war betreen France and England, than between the United Slates and England.
We will not repeat the many fine things that have been said and sung in denunciation of quarrels among men of the same race, speaking the samo language, once liring onder the same lars and institutions, with much moral commorplace of the like kind. Weknow that such quarrels have been frequent in all ages, and we also know that tucy are bitter beyond angthing cxhibited in the disputes of communitics or indiriduals bearing no such affinity to cach other. The blood of Cain still forss in the reins of mankind. We shall therefore be neither moral nor scatimental on this occasion and subject. Let us he lard and practical instead. We sland supnose that Engiand and the United States are at mar. The Americans boast that they can conquer Canada and the sister Prorinecg in one campaign, and unat a short onc. Thes did not conquer them the last time they tried, in four years, bat Ict that pess. Well, these Provinecs are conquered, - What then? Is England a soldicr or a ship the reaker by this subjugation of an unwilling peopio? Are the Jnitcd States stronger by the acquisition? We suspect
that tho very contrary would be the fruth. Tho British Parliamentand Gorernment havedeclared, over and over again, that whenever tho people of the North American Provinces express a wish to dissolvo the existing connection with the mother country, no obstruction will be thrown in tho way of the morement. They have only to say the word; and no voice sball be raised, sare in kindness, to dissuade them from the course they have resolved to pursue; not a shot trould bo fircd by a British soldier to hold them in foreed subjection. They would be allorred tho full bencfit of State Rights, according to the Southern reading of that vexed doctrine; and sccession, though it might be a folly, rould not bo a crime, panisbed by the strord, or confiscation or the seaffold. It is a country so situated that the fiery spirits in tho United States rould fain wrench from England at the cost of a war in which colonial hands would neither be weak nor idle. But to resume: That would be tho next step in the war? There rould be endless contests at sea; and, as we hare already shown, the commerce of the two countries would be utterly ruincd: This could not bo allowed to last long, and the combatants must come to a death grapple on land. This it is not easy to effect, the vital parts of the respective countries being divided by some three thousand miles of mater, the one froin the other. Tho ocean can, indeed, bo crossed; but not casily with a numeroas army which would, if attacked in crowded ships, bo slaughtered liko sheep. But this is a point which Wo cannot discuss at length, and which cannot be crplained excent by widely digressing from the main subject of our remarks. These propositions in conncetion with it are, however, selferident. First, That in the present day, no single one of the great maritime powers can secure the caclusive command of the ocean. 2nd, That England could not invade the United States, nor the United States invade England, with any effect, cxeept with an immense army, which, in cither case, would have to cross the Athantic. 3rd, That if sent across in detachments, they would be cut off in detail, before the whole force could asscmblo; and if they crossed in a body, they would, if attacked by a naral force, cren inferio: to that which cscorted them, suffer serious if not fatal loss, from a determined cacmy nouring his shot into their ranks, cooped rithin the limitcd spaco of a ship's deck and hold. This is the great danger which inrading erpeditions by sca bave had to encounter or dread, which led to the orerthrow of Philip the Sccond's great Armada, and which deterred Napolcon from persisting in the invasion of England.

We shall conclude with a few hints offered in all friendincess to the fire-caters among oar neighbours, who tell us that they thirst for a war with England, as tho hart thirsts for the rater-brooks. They-imagino that the Nary they cxteroporised in the course of the late civil war is so numerons and powerfal that the English naty would bo wholly anablo to cope with it. They.are migtaken. England is the rorkshop of the world;

