

Canada must unite together to deliver themselves from the degradation and galling a yoke. The Lower Canadian take good care to protest against Upper Canadian interference in their local affairs, and Upper Canadian members from a sense of courtesy and propriety, in case of a split amongst their Eastern colleagues on such matters, as a general thing either refrain from voting, or vote according to the feelings of the majority. But with them the thing is reversed—they are hailed in by the Government to overbalance the Upper Canadian majority, just as a steamboat captain moves his weights from side to side to steady his vessel. Such a state of things cannot continue. We don't care how amiable or kind, or good a people they may be (we doubt not that they are all the *Mirror* describes them to be, and we are not quite so ignorant of their character as the *Mirror* seems to consider us), they ought not, as again repeat, be allowed to legislate on matters purely Upper Canadian, against the will of the Representatives of this section. As to their acting in Lord Metcalfe's stress, and for what he considers them entitled to so much praise, if he will read the *Pilot* of those days and the opinions therein recorded by the Hon. Mr. Hincks, then its Editor, the Hon. Mr. Carson his present colleague, why prominent among Canadian letters will be apt to change his mind. There was far, very far, from being the incorruptible fidelity displayed by those gentlemen which the *Mirror* claims to give them credit for; on the contrary, they were perfectly and unflinchingly loyal to the Government. Carson's letters show, to join Lord Metcalfe's "Tory Cabinet," if they could be permitted to do as they pleased in their own section of the Province, and let the Tories do as the *Mirror* and its friends would not consent to, and thus the matter ended. If the *Mirror* will turn to his files of the *Pilot* he will receive a great deal of valuable information of which he appears at present to stand much in need.—*Newfolk Messenger*.

THE PEOPLE BEGINNING TO SPEAK OUT.

The following handed us for insertion furnishes proof positive that Canadians are not the men to submit to the yoke of slavery without a struggle. They are beginning to speak, and when the period for action at the hustings arrives, they will surely act. At a meeting of the freeholders and householders of School Section No. 13 in the township of Bradford, called by the Trustees on Friday the third inst. at 5 P. M., for the purpose of considering the present School Act, and conducting other business connected with the School, Mr. Amos G. Batoon having been elected Chairman, and David Bapin, Secretary, the following resolutions concerning the school having been passed with the principal business of the Meeting was commenced. As some who were present had not had an opportunity of perusing the act thoroughly, the Secretary was called upon to read it, and after having been considered attentively, the following resolutions were put and carried unanimously.

Moved by George Smith, seconded by James Patterson, and
Resolved, That as the education received in common schools is the base of the future happiness or misery of the people of this country, it is the opinion of this meeting, that a law for the organization, government and discipline of such schools is infinitely more important than any other law of the people's undivided attention than any other law.

Moved by Nelson Batoon, seconded by John Connor, and
Resolved, That as parents are the natural tutors of their children, and possess the right to say who shall teach and what shall be taught them; the School law passed during the late session of parliament, transferring to a clique at Toronto the right to appoint to the teaching of Canada, who shall teach and what shall be taught their children, is in the opinion of this meeting, opposed to what is just and right, insulting to the good sense of the community, and humiliating to the feelings of free people.

Moved by Isaac B. Connor, seconded by William Fike, and
Resolved, That this meeting, whilst they consider the school law as a direct attempt to introduce a system of Trusteeship in the management of the common schools of Canada, and as a cunningly devised plan to effect the subversion of the liberties of the people, feel that the clause compelling the Trustees of each school section to cause a journal devoted to education in a hypocritical scheme to extend the circulation of a certain newspaper, and an insult which no patriot and freeman should tolerate for an instant.

Moved by Thos. Ronwick, seconded by J. Blythe, and
Resolved, That on due consideration of the beneficial effects which must ensue from the prolonged existence of the present act relative to common schools, this meeting pledge themselves to use all constitutional means to procure its immediate repeal, and call upon their fellow-subjects throughout the province to cooperate with them in procuring the abolition of a law so repugnant to the feelings of freemen.

Moved by James Patterson, seconded by Archibald Campbell, and
Resolved, That this meeting, whilst they sympathize with the teachers of Canada West in the attempt made by the framers of the school law to reduce them to the position and condition of mere tools in the hands of a Chief Superintendent, feel bound to call upon teachers generally throughout the province to unite themselves together in associations, not only for the purpose of asserting and maintaining their own rights, but also for discussing, in concert with the people in the various localities, the means necessary to be used, and steps to be taken to obtain a school law which would be agreeable to the wishes of the people.

Moved by John Connor, seconded by Wm. Morley, and
Resolved, That the Secretary of this meeting transmit a copy of the above resolutions to the Bradford Mechanics' Institute, and
DAVID BAPIN, Secretary.

An American paper says, "When you see a gentleman at midnight, sitting on the floor in front of his house, combing his hair with the door scraper, you may conclude he has been out at an evening party."
Mr. Lazard, in excavating beneath the great pyramid at Niaron, has penetrated a mass of masonry, within which he has discovered the tomb and statue of Sardanapalus, with full annals of that monarch's reign, engraved on the walls.

HURON SIGNAL.

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 17, 1850.

WHERE ARE WE?

There is a strange resemblance—an anxious wondering and awaiting—a sort of unqualified bearing of opinion visible throughout the whole reform party of Canada at present. It seems as if the platform on which its members had formerly met had been unexpectedly kicked from under their feet, and as if they were all puzzled and perplexed to discover something to stand upon. It is an awkward state of affairs, and the longer it is allowed to continue, the more difficult and perplexing will the difficulty become. Every thinking man has a theory or a hobby peculiarly his own, and he finds no difficulty in persuading himself that his hobby is just as good and as easily ridden as any other man's hobby. And unless some rational method can be adopted for the purpose of testing the real value of these various hobbies, and of ascertaining how many of them can consistently pull in one carriage where we could all jump in, it will turn out a very ludicrous hobby race, in which it is probable that even the foremost will be only second best. Or, in plain language, if every man of the party continues to rush forward his own peculiar panacea for the political evils of the Province, with as much confidence as if he were inspired, or as if it were the only man who was capable of advancing a rational opinion, then we think it is obvious that the result will be the discomfiture, and consequent defeat of the Reform party. For, it must be remembered that there are no conflicting opinions—no rival hobbies in the ranks of the Tories. They have but one hobby, and it is the common property of every man in the party—John Bull. To fill up the time, amuse himself and excite the curiosity of the credulous by propounding some very extravagant theory about Canadian Independence! William H. Boulton may gratify his fun-and-frolic propensities, by asseverating the green-horn with impracticable views of revolutionary changes; and William Cayley may endeavor to hammer capital out of an unpopular Provincial Tariff; or, out of the more important fact that the Provincial Expenditure is extravagant beyond all reasonable calculation, when compared with the very limited commercial and financial business of the country! But these men are all good, staunch Tories, and however far they may appear to depart from the good old beaten path of Toryism, there is "a method in their madness," and so soon as they succeed in splitting up the Reform party with the novelty of their monstrous propositions, they will be heard cordially bestirring the same old reform party hobby, called *Let us in!* This is the one object—the beginning, middle, and end of the policy of Toryism. Every man, whig, tory or radical, is aware that the Reform party has a policy which it is anxious to have carried out for the benefit of the country. He is aware that however far the leaders of the Reformers, however far a Reform Government or a Reform Legislature may equivocate, and shuffle, and shirk the cause which they promised to advocate and which they are paid for advocating—however, far or bitterly they may disappoint the thousands who have reposed confidence in them, yet, though they should become more Tory than the Tories; yet neither the leaders nor the followers, nor the Ministry, are the Reform party. And every man who says, is aware that the party has a policy—certain measures founded on the great principles of political justice, which Reformers are anxious to have carried out—which they cease not to advocate, and which they will ultimately cause to be carried into effect. When we speak of the Reform party, we wish to include every man who is intelligent enough to be free, and has an interest in cheap Government and equitable laws. In taking a careful survey of the two parties called Radical and Tory, the observer must be struck with the fact, that the first includes all the intelligent industry of the country. It includes, of course, many of what are called the better class of society, that is merchants and professional men, and men of wealth; but it also includes a large number of the working men of the country, who are not content with the ordinary course of nature, and not to be accounted for on any other principle than that of gross ignorance or lack of independence. We assume then that the Reform party embraces the intelligent industry of the country, and no reasonable man can doubt that such a party has a certain policy in view, nor doubt that that policy if carried into effect, would be for the general benefit of the country, because the party who contends for it may almost with propriety be called the country. But no man ever dreams of the Tories pursuing a policy for the benefit of the country. This again would be an anomaly. In fact, we are so aware that Toryism lays claim to saying that it can be called public policy. We have all heard of certain pet measures, such, for instance, as the endorsement of a particular sectarianism—the protection, by Legislative enactment, of certain interests, annuities or annuities, and the eternal perpetuation of a deep, impassable gulch between those who work and those who do not work. These and like measures we have all heard advocated by the party called Tory, but no man ever heard of a Tory policy for the benefit of the public. Such an idea involves a contradiction, and cannot therefore, mean anything. We regret to hear men whining and lamenting over political disappointments, and rashly insisting to abandon politics altogether on the absurd conclusion that Tories and Radicals are all alike bad—all alike faithless

and selfish—and all alike careless of the real interests of the people! This is not true, for, it is evident that the rights and liberties of the great multitude of mankind are as a much firmer basis—better known and more generally acknowledged—to-day than they were over a hundred years ago. The institutions of society are more liberal, and consequently more productive of good—and mankind generally speaking, are improved in the scale of existence, both physically, morally, and intellectually. And, who has the hardihood to doubt that these beneficial changes have resulted from Radical principles? The great political error into which many superficial thinkers are liable to fall, is, the foolish supposition that Radicalism is personified by this man, or represented by that other man, and if the man falls or dies, the Radicalism falls and dies also! This we say is the great pitfall of superficial thinkers, even among the Radicals, and into this the Tories at present are most anxious to conduct them. But the idea is a palpable fallacy. Radicalism is not a man, nor a party of men—it is an ever-living, ever-acting principle. It is the great principle of progress, of improvement, of change and renovation. It has brought man from the degraded state of the houseless, shivering and starved savage, to his present elevated position in science and civilization—and though this man and that man, though leader after leader, and demagogue after demagogue, should prove false to the people, and false to the principle of Radicalism, yet the principle can never prove false to itself. It is the principle established in nature for the government of the world, and however much it may be impeded and opposed, and ridiculed, it must continue active till progress itself shall cease, and that can only be with the final end of subsidiary existences.—Let no man despair or adopt the absurd notion that Radicalism is no better than Toryism—the one is the principle of gradual progression, the other is the barrier which it has to overcome. And though a thousand great men should profess Radicalism, and though they should all profess to be for the profession, their treachery merely proves the dishonesty of the men, but does not in the slightest degree, affect the equity or the power of the principle. On our next we shall offer a few remarks on the various propositions which are at present occupying the attention of the Reform party, and in the meantime we say to every lover of progress, *Hops on*, endeavor to forget men who have disappointed you with fair promises and faithless performances, and cling closer than ever to the great universal principle of progress and improvement.

WHAT NEXT?

We had been indulging in a kind of a dim belief that the surprising small sheet, the *Huron Signal*, had, like a thousand other ephemeral efforts of ignorance and presumption, slipped quietly and unobserved from the memory and brief oblivion of the public. But we unexpectedly became informed yesterday, that the little light is still glimmering and trying to live. A friend directed our attention to the following paragraph:

"The *Press*" was duly notified, and Mr. McQueen of the *Signal*, responded in a neat and appropriate speech. He noticed with satisfaction, the modification, at the present day, of that violent party spirit which had characterized the *Press* of Canada. [We wish he could have added, that as far as in him lay, there should be a cessation in the profession of the fourth estate, of that most unprofessional vice of personality.]

Now, it was exactly on the occasion of which the Editors of the *Loyalist* are here speaking, viz. the *Agricultural Dinner*, that we had come to the conclusion that the *Loyalist* was dead. [It was proposed by John Clark, Esq., Crown Lands Agent, in a very witty and pointed under the impression that on the payment of a sixpenny fee, any individual, man or woman, is entitled, or at least, can obtain from the Clerk of the County Court, not only the number of the Bills of Sale, but also the names of the parties to each and every one in the office. I mean, that the Clerk will let any person examine the book containing the number of Mortgages, and the names of all the parties concerned, and should any individual after having made this search, think proper to pay the *Signal* for publishing the information thus acquired, there is no law in the Statute Book that forbids the publication. It thus appears that the heinous crime of which "Our Correspondent" accuses the Clerk of the County Court, consists in communicating about three pence worth of those secrets which his bound to communicate in full to any old woman who may be curious enough to pay sixpence for the information! Now, only do think of a man calling himself an Editor, not only receiving and publishing such a communication as that to which I refer, but also writing an Editorial in commendation of the correct and penetrating editors of "Our Correspondent" O, crickety! but this, however, is exactly on a par with the vanity and presumption of the same "Editor" who after having written some half dozen little prosy articles, which any ordinary schoolboy could have written, sighs out a lamentation about "Occurring THAT SEAT OF THOUGHT, an EDITORIAL CHAIR," just as if his intellect had been fashioned and jaded out by plodding twenty years through the Editorial drudgery of the "Times"! Really, there is no limit to the impudence of some creatures!

As a satisfactory explanation of this wonderful communication which appears in the columns of the *Loyalist*, I will just mention that the writer is "Our Correspondent," glories in the name of "Ains," a bird. And I suppose, Mr. *Signal*, you will have no difficulty in thinking, with me that this rare bird of the *Loyalist*, must be either a great goose or a great gull!

Yours, truly,
A CONNOISSEUR.

In reference to the subject of the foregoing communication, we have merely to remark, that we think the Legislature is requiring that these Mortgages of chattel property should be filed, and recorded with the County Clerk, and not intended to give second-rate a greater facility in procuring their concealment, by burying the records of it in mystery or secrecy, but on the contrary, they intended to protect the public against secret fraud or clandestine assignments, by causing the document to be lodged in a public office, where the public might have an opportunity of investigating their validity and genuineness. The stipulation for inspection, is exclusively intended

to direct the attention of the Municipal authorities of the United Counties, to the dangerous condition of the Frame Bridge across the Little Bayfield River, near Jacob Wilson's Tavern, on the London Road.—One of the steepest pieces on the north end of the Bridge has fallen to the ground, and the planking for the whole length of the first span, is lying on its "broad ends," or at least, at an angle that renders the passage of horses, and particularly of loaded teams, very dangerous, and in some instances almost impracticable. We are informed that the delay in having it repaired, (for it has been for several months in this shameful state) has arisen from a doubt in the minds of the Township authorities of Hay and Tuckersmith, as to whether the repairs can be considered as Local Improvements, to be done at the expense of these Townships. We think it is no question for discussion. The Bridge is on one of the two leading roads of the County, and should certainly be kept in repair by the Counties' Municipality. Indeed, we think that under the present circumstances, the Wardens would be justified, without any order from the Council, in making arrangements for having the work let in due form without delay, as the tradesman who will engage to make a good, substantial job of it, for the lowest amount. We believe an entire new Bridge is required, and unless the construction of it is commenced immediately, the advanced season of the year will render its completion before winter, scarcely possible. And should it be allowed to remain in its present state throughout the winter, it is possible that serious accidents may occur, especially in the spring floods, that may subject the Counties to an amount of damages greater than would pay for the erection of the Bridge.

Communications.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest. There is a tremendous bar of right, and indignation against the Clerk of the County Court, for communicating to the Editor of the *Signal* certain secrets belonging to his office. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our Correspondent" in a somewhat circuitous manner, but intelligibly withal, has blabbed the secret that your late article on the "Bill of Sale" has rubbed the "raw," and that some of the "Gentlemen" are wincing and wincing under the castigation. You would really be surprised to see the wily manner in which the writer endeavored to hide his own individual chagrin and mortification, under a feigned regard for the public interest.

TO THE EDITOR OF THE HURON SIGNAL.
Notwithstanding your gigantic contempt for "small things," I think you are wrong in refusing to "exchange" with your Goodrich contemporary. You do occasionally miss some "small things" that, I know, would yield you at least a little gratification. For instance, in last week's *Loyalist*, there is a communication which you could not regard with indifference. "Our