whose skins are thicker than mine keep mum or do as they please.

W. J. Brown,

Pendleton Feb. 1904

61.

Friend Brown.-We are sorry you wrangle do not agree with us on the points ice that referred to above. How far you voice the sentiments of others is a uestion; while there were differences f opinion and argument upon d toset certain matters at the meeting reerred to, we think that on the whole n" He here was an excellent spirit shown, and the speakers maintained their oints well. Prof. C. C. James, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, who Deputy Minister of Agriculture, who ras present during the debate reerred to, said afterwards, in his "I am very glad indeed ddress, brough these discussions, which become more or less heated, the members do not forget themselves, but that more or less of what we call ave the ind them up. It is found to ind them up. It augurs well for an Association to have active, live, widemany

wake members, if you do not carry Editor your discussions to too great a length. be the am sure nothing but good will result attempt nom keen, close criticism of these Offices questions, the one we had up this Associate internoon and the foul brood question if they which is coming up to-night".

putsit We had no secrets to keep from ed once the readers of the C. B. J. or the he pat members of the Ontario Bee-Keepers Association, sufficient appeared entregarding a "threatening storm" earlier issues to warrent our stateot wis ould refer you to our editorial ith any mark in the December number, and Editor an the might also state, for you inforesteen ation, that on account of rsonalities indulged in we had to bold hit the publication of considerable io Be atter that came to us from members of the the Association and others v sent vious to the annual meeting; for othe same reason we have been

compelled to omit parts of your letter, trust you will excuse the liberty, and think the better of us for it, but must definately state that we do not intend to use the Journal for the purpose of airing personalities.

It is unfair of you to associate the Journal and its Editor with individuals and circumstances over which we have no control, nor have they any over us, the Journal stands on its own merits exclusively, we have endeavored to steer as straight a course as possible unbiased and unprejudiced. We consider it was within our right and privelege to make the comments we did in the January issue. How you can read into them "reflections upon the Officers of the Ontario Bee-Keepers Association" is not very plain to us, or why you should single yourself out as the object of such an imaginary attack. We certainly never intended it in this way.

We are gratefal to the Officers of the Ontario Bee-Keepers Association for their patronage and trust to have a continuance of the same. We are certain they will not admire the Canadian Bee Journal any the less for being honest and outspoken in its convictions. But you must surely be aware friend Brown if you will stop to think for a moment that the O. B. K. A. does not form the sole support of the magazine; we have a FEW other subscribers outside the As-"little sociation with which this journal issued once a month" is growing in favor as is evidenced by our monthly and annual increase. We would be pleased with the kindly and hearty co-operation of yourself, other Officers, through the Journal to induce these good people to join the Association and make it a stronger and even a more useful Organization than is has been. But we will never do this by quarreling.-Editor

1904 April

rs views : extent z, in the rs and to hear n beepointed ess men impres that the know a s about s being he says y of so would side and manner vith the

\*