

Order Paper Questions

	1977 Number Planned to be hired	Planned Costs
(a) Reserve Training	4,000	\$6.029M
(b) Community	1,450	1.885M
(d) Cadet Training	1,053	2.998M
(c) KATIMAVIK	This program is not a DND program. The present pilot project is planned for 1,000 participants at an initial cost of \$7.9M	

2. No.

NATIONAL DEFENCE—SALARIES OF SENIOR OFFICIALS OF DCL

Question No. 2,736—**Mr. Robinson:**

What is the salary of the (a) Executive (b) Director (c) Chairman (d) Vice-Chairman (e) board members of Defence Construction (1951) Ltd.?

Hon. Barney Danson (Minister of National Defence):

(a) Executive	Title	Salary Range
	President and General Manager	\$31,500 to \$41,500
	Vice President	
	Treasurer	
	Secretary	\$27,500 to \$36,500
(b), (c), (d) and (e). The Chairman is the President and General Manager of Defence Construction (1951) Ltd. There is no Vice-Chairman. The Board members of Defence Construction (1951) Ltd. are all directors and they are senior officials from other government departments and they receive no remuneration from Defence Construction (1951) Ltd.		

NATIONAL DEFENCE—PERSONNEL IN MARITIME PROVINCES

Question No. 2,753—**Mr. Fairweather:**

As of April 1, 1977, how many members of the Canadian Armed Forces and civilian support staff are located in (a) Halifax-Dartmouth (b) Moncton (c) Fredericton (d) Saint John?

Hon. Barney Danson (Minister of National Defence): (a), (b), (c) and (d).

Location	Military	Civilian	Total
Halifax-Dartmouth	8,240	5,004	13,244
Moncton	150	459	609
Fredericton	14	18	32
(Gagetown)	2,920	1,081	4,001
Saint John	65	18	83
Totals	11,389	6,580	17,969

[Mr. Danson.]

[English]

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. Ralph E. Goodale (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, if question No. 2326 could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

[Text]

DISPLAY CARS IN CENTENNIAL TRAIN

Question No. 2,326—**Mr. Benjamin:**

1. What was the (a) cost (b) schedule (c) disposition of the display cars in the Centennial Train which toured Canada during 1967?
2. What were the design plans for such cars?
3. Who was responsible for organizing the display cars and who worked on them?

Return tabled.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. McCleave: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. Following the ancient customs of the House, I do it at the first opportunity, in this case about ten seconds after the Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council (Mr. Goodale) resumed his seat. A number of us have been struck by the fact that the order paper seems to grow larger and larger and the amount of information provided by way of answers to questions on the order paper smaller and smaller. Accordingly, I took it upon myself this afternoon to look at the first part of the order paper devoted to questions and I found that 31 questions, all set down on the same date, have not been answered. I refer to that list of questions beginning with No. 1 and ending with No. 121, all in the name of the hon. member for Leeds (Mr. Cossitt) none of which has been answered. In other words, 31 of the first 121 questions on the order paper still remain unanswered.

The next question listed is No. 138, in the name of the hon. member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow). It is apparent that the questions of one hon. member have received a singular lack of attention from the government. That has provoked my question of privilege and I intend to found a motion upon it. Certainly, in this House we confront a most serious situation.

Sir, I know that some of the questions which have been asked in recent years can be pretty annoying and pretty stinging, but in the first 30 questions set down in the order paper by the hon. member for Leeds the strongest language I can find, to which not even the most exceptional person could take exception, is contained in a phrase found in both questions 29 and 30 which reads:

...included attendance at Liberal party functions or political business of any kind, directly or indirectly?

I do not think there is enough sting in that to merit the stonewall thrust up before the hon. member for Leeds.