

**THE SILK FOR SPRING**

Many silk hats are made—many are sold—few are worth buying at any price.

A silk should absolutely be of proper build and of good material.

Your only safeguard is the name of a big maker on the inside band.

Such hats we sell, for we are Dunlap's and Heath's sole Canadian agents, besides being distributing agents for nearly every other maker of repute.

**Silk Hats \$5 to \$8**

"If It's New We Have It."

**W. & D. DINEEN CO.**  
(Limited).  
Cor. Yonge and Temperance Streets.

**GAMEY'S SPIRITED REPARTEE**

Continued From Page 2.

Gamey's letter: "I have a change but some timber lands here if I can raise that money, was not that a lie?"

Q: In what way did you expect any illegitimate answer to be given to a letter of this kind? A: I don't know, but I would have been in answer to it if it had been spoken for itself when it came.

Q: You conducted this as part of your scheme? A: Yes, sir.

Q: What kind of an answer did you expect to come back to this letter? A: I could not tell what answer Mr. Stratton might write.

Q: It might be of no use, and it might be? A: It turned out that he did not answer.

Q: And you were willing to be liberally under the scheme? A: Yes, personally and confidentially.

Q: And you did not know what you were going to get? A: Yes, I was willing to submit that letter, and see what I could get in answer.

**Just Part of Scheme.**

Mr. Johnston (reading): "An option for one week in the land—that was also absolutely false? A: Yes, just a part of the scheme." A: I was willing to let a man into committing a crime? A: Yes, if it was necessary, to get evidence.

Q: And that is the keynote of your whole conduct? A: I told you before you were not trying me at all; the country will justify me, or not.

The Chancellor: He has answered that several times.

Witness: Yes, he is bound to get that in his own smart way.

Mr. Johnston: Q: Well, then, in the same letter you recommended the appointment of J. W. Swanson? A: I might just show me the letter, and I will tell you. (Reads the letter.) Yes, sir, that was your letter.

Q: Was that a part of the scheme? A: I might have just thought of that appointment, and wished to see what had happened about it.

Q: That in the only reason you can give me. Now, did you intend at the time you wrote this letter, if he sent you a cheque for \$2000, to give your notes for it? A: I cannot tell you what I intended to do at all. I intended to act according to the letter that I had come from Stratton. I have told you that three or four times, but you insist on asking the same question over and over again.

Mr. Johnston: Are you there?

Witness: Yes.

Q: When you wrote this letter, had you any idea in your mind, if you were sent the money, you would send notes for it in the spring? A: According to the letter I got from him.

Q: You had no idea of sending the notes? A: I would have acted according to the letter I got from him.

Q: Now, you never even got an answer from him to that letter? A: I got a letter from Mr. Myers, and I know that you had held up for \$2000? A: Yes.

Q: And you know, as far as the past history was concerned, that all you had to do was to go and demand \$2000 from him, and he would have paid it to you, or you would tell? A: Well, I thought, in writing that letter, that perhaps it would have that effect. That would be better evidence than

getting \$2000 posted from one hand to the other.

**DOLLARS EASY TO GET.**

Much Cash Passing, But Secretary Made No Reference to Letter.

Q: And, coming back to the original part, you say the only written evidence of the \$3000 that you ever had, you destroyed months before? A: Yes.

Q: Then, was the letter about the borrowing of the \$2000 ever mentioned between you and Mr. Stratton? A: I don't think it was. I do not think I did it. I do not see either in a long while after that.

Q: Then, after the 4th of November, you were not in Toronto until December? A: I do not think so.

Q: Did you see Stratton at that time? A: In December? No, sir, I do not think I did.

Q: You were pretending, of course, to him, at any rate—truthfully, or otherwise—you were pretending to him that you were going to give the government an independent support? A: Yes, sir.

Q: I mean I may take that as your general statement to him? A: Yes, sir.

Q: And when the letter of the 10th of September, and the amended letter of the 27th of October, were sent up, they represented, truthfully, what you had been pretending to Stratton? A: Yes, sir.

Q: And so, at these interviews he had been pretending to Stratton? A: Yes, sir.

Q: At some of those interviews? A: He might have; I do not remember.

**Objected to the Speech.**

Q: And he objected to your speaking for the Conservatives in North Perth? A: That was later than this. I know it was he who objected to it.

Q: Now, was his objection to your speaking in North Perth at the first interview, that of the 22nd or the 24th of October? A: I do not think it was.

Q: So, that, from the 24th of October, or thereabout, up to the 15th of January, you had been pretending to Stratton? A: I think not.

Q: And, on the 13th of January, you had reached a point after the elections in Perth, Grey and Norfolk? A: Yes.

Q: You came to Toronto from Perth? A: Yes.

Q: Fresh from the elections? A: Yes, sir.

Q: And you saw Stratton at his office about that time? A: About the 13th.

Q: Tuesday morning you say—at about what hour? A: I think I had an early appointment with him, but I do not know, but he was not in just then.

Q: Then when you were there you were called in? A: Yes, you were called in that yesterday.

Q: Who was present at that interview with Stratton on the 13th of January? A: I do not think anybody.

Q: You were alone? A: No, one was present at that interview? A: Mr. Myers may have been in and out while the interview was going on.

Q: Nobody else? A: On the 13th? A: Yes.

Q: I will swear that at the time there was nobody there, and you will swear that on the 13th two people were not in that office substantially the whole time that you were there? A: They might have been hiding; they were not there that I could see.

**Were Not Hiding.**

Q: They were not hiding? A: I do not think they were there.

Q: You were not hiding? A: I do not think they were there.

Q: I will swear to the best of my knowledge they were not. Mr. Myers was in and out of the room at different times.

Q: During the whole interview, except the introduction, a shaking of hands, two other men were in the room in your presence? Will you swear that is not so? A: I will swear that the Chamberlain was in the room a little while. He came in at the call of Mr. Stratton, and went out.

Q: I will swear that you pinned down the two men? A: I will swear that there were not two men in there the whole time.

Q: Don't get away from my question? A: It is altogether a question of fact, and I will bring in the men that were there. I won't let you get away from me.

Q: I will put the question again, excepting for a moment of introduction the first minute or two of that interview, will you swear that two men were not present, and remained there during the whole time you were there with Stratton? A: Not to my knowledge, they were not there.

Q: I am speaking about the whole interview? A: There might have been some other clerk come in and out.

**Witness Was Positive.**

Q: That is not my question at all. My question is that when you were there on the 13th of January, with the exception of a minute or two at the opening, two men were there during the whole interview until you went out? A: They were not sitting there; I am positive about that.

Q: On the 13th of January you profess to have got an unsigned letter from Frank Sullivan, according to your statement? A: There was an unsigned letter about that date.

Q: Why did you sign "F. J. Sullivan" to that letter when the witness had not his signature? A: On what? A: In your statement? A: I did not sign the newspaper at all.

Q: Now, you saw your statement published in the papers, signed "F. J. Sullivan," and you believe it was from Sullivan, and believe it was yet, "I received a letter from Sullivan, as follows?" A: I believe it was from him.

Q: You stated as a fact that you had received a letter from Sullivan, an unsigned letter.

Mr. Johnston: Mr. Chase here?

Mr. Charles H. Chase came forward, and stood near the witness box. Mr. Philip C. Meyers was also asked to stand up.

**Not Sure of Man.**

Mr. Johnston: Which of those two gentlemen did you see in the

smoking room? A: I do not recognize either of the men.

Q: You do not recognize this gentleman, Mr. Chase, at all? A: I do not know him at all.

Q: I do not mean Mr. Chase; do you know him as a man? A: I may have seen him before.

Q: Never saw him before the 10th of September? A: I may have seen him.

Q: Did you have to pass Chase's position at the head of the stairs in order to get to Stratton's room? A: Well, I might pass there without looking at Chase.

The Chancellor: You cannot tell whether either of those two men were some other man? A: I simply say Sullivan told me it was Chase. My recollection is that it was Mr. Meyers. These men know themselves which brought it in.

Mr. Johnston: They say it is false. If you want to know what they say, The Chancellor: You were asked about a certain date, and you said it was about the 25th or 26th of August? A: I could tell you if I had my book.

Q: When I asked if you had a diary which I put down the amounts I paid out each day. It would tell me if I was in a certain place at a certain time, that is what I meant. Where is that book? A: I have it here.

This closed the day's session at 4 o'clock.

**Canada's Political Horoscope Forecasts**

Continued From Page 1.

As to the interpretation. Then, again, about the representation of the Northwest Territories; should not population have something to do with the matter? In his opinion, the bill should be submitted to the Supreme Court, and the question of carrying it to the Privy Council, so that no awkward situation might arise in the future.

As a parting shot, to show that it was not clear, he instanced the fact that British Columbia had come into confederation on the stipulation that its representation could never be decreased, but there were other provinces that were going to have their representation decreased.

**Sir Wilfrid's Ailment Mysteriously.**

Sir Wilfrid treated Mr. Borden's objections in an airy manner. His chief argument for having parliament look after redistribution was that it was more in accordance with the public interpretation of popular government. He reiterated his promise to have the claims of New Brunswick presented to the Supreme Court for speedy decision. That decision should be got in a few weeks.

**Suggests Privy Council.**

W. B. Northrup (Hastings) took up the case touched lightly on by Mr. Borden, and made a telling speech. He is an admirable debater. He used as his text, Hon. Charles Fitzpatrick's memorandum, replying to the claims of New Brunswick. He pointed out that the time was an opportune one for pressing the bill. The proposed reference to the Supreme Court was not high enough for the point raised by New Brunswick. It should be sent to the Privy Council.

He then proceeded to show by exact phraseology of Mr. Fitzpatrick's memorandum, that the Minister of Justice did not state the truth with accuracy in certain sections of the British North America Act. The Minister was not clear as to the manner of creating the provinces.

**Valuable Advice to Mothers.**

If your child comes in from play coughing or showing evidence of an inflamed throat, or sickness of any kind, first get out your bottle of **Nervine**. Rub the chest and neck with **Nervine**, and give internal doses of ten drops of **Nervine** in sweetened water every two hours. This will prevent any serious trouble. **Nervine** is a pain reliever equal to Polio's **Nervine**, which is a necessity in every household. Large bottles, 50c. each.

It must stand as it did in 1867, except so far as British legislation has been passed. There was no original provision in the B.N.A. Act for a reference to the Privy Council of the question.

After the census it was obligatory on parliament to redistribute the seats. The British North America Act provided for the manner, and section 51 operated automatically, including the seven provinces of the Dominion "Canada," under this section of the act, comprised the territory from the Atlantic to the Pacific, excluding the Northwest Territories.

He said he was clear in his interpretation of this section, but in order to have to stand alone he stood alone and got the opinion of Christopher Robinson, K.C. of Toronto. Mr. Robinson said that under section 51, meant only the four original provinces.

**What Fairness Demands.**

Mr. Fitzpatrick elaborated his argument against the claims of New Brunswick, he said he would have to repeat it before the Supreme Court, if the matter had been sent there. In a word he claimed the fairness of the British North America Act provided for the present as the future.

**Higher Authority Necessary.**

S. Barker (Hamilton) added considerably to the discussion, declaring that the present was the first time since 1871 that the question under discussion had arisen.

He argued that a decision from the highest court in the realm should be obtained. But, was there some emergency at hand that impelled the government to get the bill thru? He quoted Sir Henry Strong as saying that the British North America Act was studied by means of external aids, and the history of the subjects treated of in this act argued that the disputed clause referred to the four old provinces.

A Lancaster (St. Catharines) maintained that the giving of a second reading to the bill would leave the House in a position where it would be sanctioning the principle in the bill, which he thought was contrary to the intention of the British North America Act. He argued that the act described what Canada was to be composed of, and that the disputed clause meant that it was to be repeated, and to change it by implication would be to change the act.

Hon. John Haggart (Lanark) reiterated the main arguments presented, and contended that the result of a bargain between the four original provinces. He quoted from a resolution of the Privy Council, passed by Sir John A. Macdonald, upholding the opinion of the Privy Council, that the act of the Privy Council was not to be taken into consideration.

**All in Test Case.**

In answer to a question from Mr. Haggart, Sir Wilfrid said that all the four original provinces would come within the purview of the test case before the Supreme Court.

Mr. A. A. Duff (P.E.I.) put in a strong and eloquent plea for his native land, claiming that it entered confederation on the stipulation that its representation would not be cut down. The action of the government was causing widespread dissatisfaction.

Mr. Spruille made a vigorous speech, asking what the Liberal M.P.'s from Ontario and Quebec were doing to prevent Ontario losing six members. Not a Liberal from Ontario had been present. He asked what was the Ontario government doing to prevent it? He argued that the bill should be referred to the Supreme Court, and that the constitutional right to make the proposed reductions, he moved that the bill be not read a second time, but that it be deferred till after a judicial decision was arrived at regarding the number of members to which the respective provinces were entitled.

Sir Wilfrid, replying, said the law prevents the government from accepting the reduction. He had to take place after a census. Circumstances might arise that would cause a change in the number of members. He said that the Ontario took every province should be properly represented. The case had been carried to the Supreme Court, and the principle of representation by population and laid down the unit of representation 25,367 with Quebec as basis of a guide for all provinces.

**Discussion Grows Warm.**

He read a document presenting Prince Edward Island's claims for no reduction.

Mr. Spruille: Read what the Province of Ontario has to say.

Sir Wilfrid replied that he thought Ontario would not agree to have 12 members because it was always a champion of representation by population. Ontario had agreed to follow the former order of provinces into the Supreme Court.

Mr. Borden turned the tables on Sir Wilfrid by declaring that he saw no reason for blaming the provinces, asking that the statute be properly interpreted. Assuming that no provinces had been cut down, he asked what would have happened? The provinces were asked to have the constitution carried to the Supreme Court, and he said that the matter was sub judice.

Mr. Borden's motion was carried, and the bill was given a second reading.

Mr. Borden announced that the Secretary of the committee on the bill, Mr. J. W. Swanson, had been appointed. The committee would consist of Mr. Borden, Mr. Haggart, Mr. Duff, Mr. Borden, Mr. Monk and Mr. Haggart (Cons.).

Mr. Borden asked that the members of the committee be publicly elected, politically, but Sir Wilfrid disagreed. Mr. Borden reserved his right to move that the future occasion to examine the bill in a public way. Sir Wilfrid thought it would not be necessary.

Greenwood.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**RAIN COATS AGAIN!**

Where were you yesterday without the comfort of a Rain Coat?—where are you likely to be any April day without one?—

Out in the wet we guess! Well, you needn't be—for we've a great line of them in fine imported goods—our own special patterns—made on our own models—the best money's worth you can buy anywhere—and we stake our reputation on the say-so.

**10.00 to 22.00**

Umbrellas \$1.00 up—

**J. W. T. FAIRWEATHER & CO.**  
518 YONGE STREET.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**MONEY TO LOAN**

If you want to borrow money on household goods, furniture, carriages, and so on, we will advance you any amount from \$50 up to \$5000, on any kind of security, at a low rate of interest, and get your terms. Phone—Main 4233.

**The Toronto Security Co.**  
Room 10, Lawler Building, 6 King St. W.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**RAIN COATS AGAIN!**

Where were you yesterday without the comfort of a Rain Coat?—where are you likely to be any April day without one?—

Out in the wet we guess! Well, you needn't be—for we've a great line of them in fine imported goods—our own special patterns—made on our own models—the best money's worth you can buy anywhere—and we stake our reputation on the say-so.

**10.00 to 22.00**

Umbrellas \$1.00 up—

**J. W. T. FAIRWEATHER & CO.**  
518 YONGE STREET.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**MONEY TO LOAN**

If you want to borrow money on household goods, furniture, carriages, and so on, we will advance you any amount from \$50 up to \$5000, on any kind of security, at a low rate of interest, and get your terms. Phone—Main 4233.

**The Toronto Security Co.**  
Room 10, Lawler Building, 6 King St. W.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.

**THE TORONTO WORLD**

**Province of Manitoba and the Admission of British Columbia and Prince Edward Island.** At least, his language did not state the truth with accuracy. If the Minister was not sure, where would he average man be?

**Technical Features of Case.**

Coming down to Sub-Sec. 4 of Sec. 51, he maintained that four original provinces alone were meant. If the seven provinces were meant, still the word "Canada" would not take in the Northwest Territories. The effect of this sub-section, if applied to the present census with the Northwest Territories excluded, would be to give no reduction in members to the four original provinces.

Further, this Sec. 51 could not be applied to British Columbia, because British Columbia entered the confederation after specially stipulating that no reduction should be made in its representation, while the Northwest Territories could be given as many representatives as the government wished. If British Columbia and the Northwest Territories do not come under this section of the British North America Act, then the operation of the proposed redistribution bill could not affect the present representative in the House.

According to his reading of B.N.A. Act.