

different conclusion, and held that the husband had acquired a legal title to the fund and that the society having acquired that title without notice and having obtained a stop order were entitled to priority over the parties claiming under the Ketubah. This point, however, was not taken before Byrne, J.

Correspondence.

To the Editor, CANADA LAW JOURNAL:—

SIR,—I take the liberty of disagreeing with that august authority, the Lord Chief Justice of England, in his condemnation of that word "practically." "Practically all" means so nearly all that what is left is too little and insignificant to be considered and appreciated; and the old legal maxim *de minimis non curat lex* makes it a peculiarly apposite and expressive legal phrase, and it cannot be construed to mean "not all" in any fair legal sense.

A. L. Y.

[His Lordship would not probably quarrel with the above. He was referring, doubtless, to the use of the word in other senses, such as suggested in the note referred to. ED. C. L. J.]

To the Editor, CANADA LAW JOURNAL:—

SIR,—The writer and possibly others among your many readers would be interested in some expression of opinion as to the discreditable state of affairs connected with election trials and the practice of "sawing off" petitions. There should be some legislation to put an end to this abuse of the process of the Courts.

SUBSCRIBER.

[We publish in our editorial columns an article on the above subject which makes some valuable suggestions. It is from the pen of one who being an independent politician, as also a lawyer, is well qualified to deal with such matters. ED. C. L. J.]