

in the cry ; and some Presbyterian friends have been led to believe the false charge. The writer of the *Review* article expresses great anxiety to prevent the squeezing out of a Presbyterian Warden, though it should be at the expense of squeezing out a Presbyterian Premier. The Presbyterian Warden was in no danger of being squeezed out, but the Conservative party hope that, with the assistance of Conservative writers in the *Presbyterian Review*, there may be a chance of squeezing out the Presbyterian Premier.

EVENTS SUBSEQUENT TO COMMISSION.

The result of the investigation before the Commissioners was, to exonerate the Warden in regard to all that was serious in the charges against him ; and from that time to this there has been no pressure on the Government, and no proposal or suggestion to the Government, from the Archbishop or any other quarter, that the Warden should be removed, either by being transferred to another office or in any other way. Whatever has led to a contrary supposition on the part of anyone, the fact is as I have stated.

The *Review* writer, in one of his articles, announced "on good authority," that "success is about to crown the efforts of the devout men who are so anxious that our public institutions shall be managed in their interest. The Government, it is said, has been convinced that 'Massie must go.' Of course they will give him an appointment somewhere else." This story was as unfounded as most others. The Government was never convinced that "Massie must go," and never contemplated removing him from the Wardenship or giving him an appointment somewhere else. No such suggestion was ever talked of amongst us, and I have the best reasons which the matter admits of for saying that no such suggestion was ever made otherwise to or by any of my colleagues. The whole story is the creation of some one's fancy or invention. The tone in which it is told by this writer has a wonderful similarity to party attacks on the Government. But the same article takes credit for the spirit in which it is written. "We are acting in no spirit of enmity to the Government. If we were enemies to it we should say nothing until the thing contemplated was done." Yet no such thing was contemplated, and if the writer had said nothing until the thing said to be in contemplation was done, we should have been spared all his articles. Enemies, according to his own showing, would have done better for us than the professed non-enemy, who, for the last three months has been doing what he could to destroy the good opinion entertained of us by our friends of the *Review* and by its Presbyterian readers.