

who have been made aware of such plans. Our intention is to locate this centre in an area of the city which is zoned for other than strictly residential purposes.

Investigations are now being carried out for such a location and there has been communication, and will continue to be, with the local authorities. In establishing this centre, as with all eleven such centres across Canada, we will seek the support of all levels of government and local citizens. The latter participate as members of the Citizen's Advisory Council. This centre, like the others, is designed to assist offenders, who are from the local community and are approaching the end of their sentence, to reintegrate into society.

ADULT EDUCATION ACT

Question No. 2,415—**Mr. Godin:**

As a help to students who must go through grade 7 to grade 12, is the government considering an amendment to the Adult Education Act to allow an extension of the courses presently limited to 52 weeks?

Mr. Mark MacGuigan (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): The Adult Occupational Training Act limits individual training courses to a maximum duration of 52 weeks. This does not preclude a trainee being given more than one course, either consecutively or interspersed with periods of work experience. Within the AOT Act it is a policy that trainees may receive a maximum of 104 consecutive weeks of training including: —up to 52 weeks of educational upgrading in one or more courses; —up to 52 weeks of skill training in a specific occupation. 52 weeks of educational upgrading are normally adequate to provide for entry to most skill courses, which in general require the equivalent of grade 10. Trainees with very low educational level or learning handicaps may require longer periods of educational upgrading, but these can be provided over an extended period by interspersing training and related work experience. It is the experience of the Department that such a recurrent pattern of training and work is better adapted to the needs of low-education clients than lengthy periods of continuous training. The needs of special groups are under active study and specific approaches will be developed if it should appear that such groups are not adequately served under existing policies.

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

NUMBER OF LIP PROJECTS APPROVED IN CONSTITUENCIES OF LANGELIER, MONTMORENCY AND PORTNEUF

Question No. 1,289—**Mr. Godin:**

1. How many local initiative projects were approved during the years 1972 and 1973 in the constituencies of (a) Langelier (b) Montmorency (c) Portneuf?
2. With regard to each project, what were (a) the title (b) the site of operation (c) those in charge (d) the number of jobs created (e) the amounts invested?

Return tabled.

Orders for Returns

GRANTS FOR HEALTH CARE RESEARCH

Question No. 1,855—**Mr. Paproski:**

1. Which government departments supply grant moneys for health care research?
2. What are the different types of grants available?
3. How much money has been spent on each one of these grants in the past three years?
4. What are the objectives of the grant philosophies?
5. Have any grants been used to carry out operations research into ways of reducing health care costs relating to hospitals?
6. Have any grants been used to investigate alternate types of service in hospitals to reduce costs and/or provide better care?

Return tabled.

APPOINTMENT OF LIBERAL CANDIDATES

Question No. 1,898—**Mr. Cossitt:**

1. How many persons who were candidates for the Liberal Party in the election of October 30, 1972, have been appointed, as of this date, to any positions whatsoever by the government?
2. What are their names, what are the positions and what are the annual salaries in dollars in each case?

Return tabled.

NUMBER OF LIP PROJECTS APPROVED IN CONSTITUENCY OF PORTNEUF

Question No. 1,942—**Mr. Godin:**

1. How many projects were submitted under the Local Initiative Program for the winter 1972-73 in the constituency of Portneuf?
2. For each project, what was (a) the title (b) the name and address of the person in charge (c) the estimated cost?

Return tabled.

STATISTICAL SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION ON CORPORATIONS AND LABOUR UNIONS

Question No. 2,053—**Mr. Hellyer:**

1. Is the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce required to table in Parliament a report containing a statistical summary and analysis of information on corporations and labour unions and, if so (a) under what authority (b) on what date?
2. On what dates were the annual reports under the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act tabled in Parliament for each of the years 1962 to 1972 (a) in respect of Corporations (Part I) (b) in respect of Labour Unions (Part II)?
3. As of March 15, 1973, for what years has the Minister not tabled an annual report on Corporations and Labour Unions as required by law, and when does he plan to discharge his responsibility in this regard?
4. For each of the years 1962 to 1972, how many corporations have filed returns as and when required by Part I of the Corporations and Labour Unions Returns Act?
5. For the same years, how many corporations have failed to file returns as and when required by the Act and in what asset-size groups?
6. How many corporations have been prosecuted for non-compliance with the reporting provisions of the Act, what are the names of the corporations convicted, and what was the amount of the fine in each case?
7. How does the Minister reconcile the long history of default in administering the legislation with the intent of Parliament that this Act should constitute a fact-finding instrument for assessing