

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, I do not know the answer to that question. I do not know just what action the Secretary General has taken. Of course he is not under the control of the Canadian government, but I am sure he will be reporting to all of us in due course.

VIET NAM—EASTER SUNDAY PROTEST MARCH

Right Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker (Prince Albert): Mr. Speaker, I am directing a question to the Prime Minister in connection with what is entitled "Viet Nam International Protest March April 6 Easter Sunday". There are quite a number of sponsors, many of them connected with the N.D.P., and holding various positions. I was not able to be here last evening because of a prior engagement, and I should therefore like to ask the Prime Minister what he has to say to suggestions contained in this pamphlet to the effect that there is shocking new evidence of the Trudeau government's complicity in Viet Nam; that Canadian troops are being trained in guerilla warfare, and that there is a standing offer by Trudeau and Sharp to send forces to Viet Nam?

Some hon. Members: Shame, shame.

Mr. Diefenbaker: What does the Prime Minister think of this outrageous collection of so-called reasons for calling together a march on behalf of Canadians?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): If the propaganda is no more serious than that, I will tell the house that I do not plan to change my intention of not being in Ottawa on Easter Sunday.

Mr. Diefenbaker: Mr. Speaker, that answer was rather more frivolous than I would have thought appropriate. I ask this question in all seriousness. This demonstration is not going to take place in Ottawa. Unused as the Prime Minister is to travel, this is in Vancouver, and the salubrious atmosphere there might attract even him. Is there any foundation for the suggestions made in this statement that is being used as a propaganda means to convene a meeting, and a march against the stand of Canada regarding Viet Nam?

Mr. Trudeau: Mr. Speaker, I of course have not read this. I do not want to answer the question frivolously at all. If there is anything serious about the document, I would like to consider any suggestions. Everything

Inquiries of the Ministry

quoted by the right hon. member appears to me just pure fantasy.

An hon. Member: Just like the N.D.P. itself.

Mr. Trudeau: Yes, just like the N.D.P. itself.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The hon. member for Selkirk.

Mr. Ed Schreyer (Selkirk): Mr. Speaker, I wonder whether the Prime Minister could say if it was entirely fantasy when he referred to the Liberals as idiots.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Hees: The answer is no, of course!

[*Translation*]

THE CANADIAN ECONOMY

PARTICIPATION BY THE PUBLIC IN
PREPARATION OF LAWS

Mr. André Fortin (Lothbinière): Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question to the Prime Minister.

In view of the Prime Minister's recent statement, in Toronto, concerning the insufficient participation of the people in the law-making process, could he tell the house whether he is considering the use of concrete and practical methods to improve this situation and whether recommendations will be submitted to the house to this effect?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, most of the instruments or methods we are contemplating are related to the Liberal party organization. This great party has ramifications right across the country, but we want to make it a mass party and our projects are well underway.

Mr. Fortin: Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask a supplementary question.

In view of the fact that the Canadian people belong to the party of their choice, Liberal, Progressive Conservative, New Democratic or Cr ditiste, would the Prime Minister tell us whether he is seriously considering using some means whereby all the people, young and old, will participate in the law-making process?

Mr. Trudeau: Mr. Speaker, we have in fact introduced several reforms in the house. One of these is intended to enable parliament to