

THIS ISSUE OVER EAST COAST BOUNDARIES TO THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE IN THE HAGUE IS A FORM OF INTERMEDIATION. SO IS REFERRAL TO THE GATT OF DIFFERENCES OVER SOME ASPECTS OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF OUR FOREIGN INVESTMENT POLICY. PERHAPS WE CAN CONCLUDE THAT THERE IS A GROWING READINESS TO SEE DISPUTES TAKEN TO INTERNATIONAL FORA FOR RESOLUTION; BUT A RELUCTANCE TO USE INTERMEDIATION OTHERWISE, IN VIEW OF THE GROWING SENSE OF POLITICAL INTERESTS BEING ENGAGED ON RESPECTIVE SIDES OF THE ISSUES.

RULE 3: NO LINKAGE.

WELL, CONGRESS LINKS OR IS TRYING TO LINK ALL THE TIME. I THINK THAT WHILE THERE IS NO DISPOSITION ON THE PART OF THE RESPECTIVE GOVERNMENTS TO BEGIN TO DEVELOP TRADE-OFFS BETWEEN ADVANTAGES GAINED ON ONE SET OF ISSUES AGAINST DISADVANTAGES ON ANOTHER, SUCH AS BORDER BROADCASTING, OR A CONVENTION TAX, THERE IS A GROWING SENSE OF EACH SIDE MAINTAINING AN INVENTORY OF RESPECTIVE POSITIONS OF RELEVANCE TO THE OVERALL RELATIONSHIP.