Athletics.

HOCKEY.

LEAGUE STANDING.		
	Won	Lost.
McGill	 4.	2
Queen's	 4	2
Toronto	 . 4	2
Laval	 . 0	6

A THREE-CORNERED TIE.

The finish of the senior intercollegiate series for the season finds three teams, Queen's Toronto and McGill tied for the championship. This result developed through the unusual fact that each team suffered defeats on its home ice. Queen's went up on soft ice here against Toronto. McGill then followed suit by losing to Queen's in Montreal. McGill again sprung a surprise by winning from Queen's in Kingston. The culminating point of all this uncertainty came when Toronto went down before Queen's on their own ice. The question of supremacy has, therefore, still to be decided. Owing to the lateness of the season it is not at all probable that more than two games will be required to decide the winner. Queen's, owing to the fact that they are Intercollegiate champions at present, should have the last game, playing the winner—f the McGill-Toronto match. Queen's representative to the meeting of the Intercollegiate executive was instructed to urge this right. At the time of writing the arrangements for the breaking of the tic have not been announced. There can be little doubt, however, that one of the games in the play-off will take place at Kingston.

QUEEN'S 13, LAVAL 3.

On fine, keen ice, before a fairly large number of spectators, Queen's seniors, fresh from their victory in Toronto, won from Laval University seven on Friday evening, by the above-indicated score. In spots the game was good. The visitors opened fast and showed speed at times. But they did not keep their pace for any length of time. Had they shown better condition, there would have been work enough provided for the locals. Brais at cover-point, was probably their most effective man. De Noncourt, in goal, did his part well. During the practice before the game he had his nose broken by a hot shot from close quarters. He took his place in the nets, however, and though obviously suffering stuck to his work in a manner that brought credit to himself and his team-mates. Of the Laval forwards, Dalbec and La Traverse were the best. Laval's great weakness was an entire lack of combination. Queen's learned something from the game. The individual work of the forward line was good, but there was not enough combination to put up against a stiff defence. The defence showed improvement. There