

Dr. HAMILTON thought the Committee had failed to show it was necessary that the Registrar should live in Toronto.

Dr. BERRYMAN considered that, as the Registrar's business was chiefly through the Post Office, it mattered but little where he resided.

Dr. COVERNTON explained that Dr. Aikins had frequently to come to Hamilton to do business with the Registrar. It was, therefore, to enable them to consult and transact business with as little disadvantage to each other as possible that Toronto was named.

Dr. AIKINS cited instances where the difficulty was very great in consequence of the Registrar and Treasurer residing in different cities. He frequently, of necessity, had to go to Hamilton to transact business at a loss to himself, while if the Registrar lived in the same place as the Treasurer, these things would not be occurring. The two officers should reside in the same place, whether it be Hamilton, Toronto, or any other place.

Dr. HAMILTON advocated the fixing of the place of meeting in Toronto, and would be willing yet to aid in erecting a building for their own use. (Hear, hear.)

The clause in regard to changing the location of the Registrar to Toronto, was lost.

Dr. BERRYMAN moved, seconded by Dr. CAMPBELL, That Dr. Strange be re-appointed Registrar for the ensuing year. He spoke in the highest terms of the Registrar, remarked upon the neat condition of the books, his indefatigable exertions while present with the Examining Board at Kingston, called attention to that Board's recommendation in reference to him, and closed with a strong appeal to the Council to re-elect one who had so faithfully and efficiently performed his duties.

Dr. CAMPBELL said from the position he had previously held as President of the Homœopathic Board, and from being one of the examiners at Kingston, he was in a position to speak knowingly of the efficient discharge of the Registrar's duties, his uniform courtesy, and of his fitness for continuing in the position. He paid a high compliment to Dr. Strange for his untiring energy on behalf of the Council.

Dr. AGNEW thought the list prepared by the Committee should go to a vote, as it would give much greater satisfaction to the profession at large.

If the office of Registrar were to continue to become vacant annually, no doubt respectable members of the profession would be constantly applying, and they should receive courteous treatment from the Council. The recommendation of the Committee should be acted upon,—otherwise the election of Registrar is a farce.