

DIARY FOR JANUARY.

1. Thur... New Year's day.
2. Frid... Christmas Vacation in Court of Appeal ends.
4. Sun... Second Sunday after Christmas.
5. Mon... Heir and Dev. Sitt. and County Court Term begin. Municipal Elections held.
6. Tues... Toronto and Hamilton Assizes. Christmas Vacation in Chancery ends.
8. Thur... Christmas Vacation in Exchequer Court ends.
10. Sat... Christmas Vacation in Supreme Court ends. County Court Terms end.
11. Sun... First Sunday after Epiphany.
12. Mon... Sir Chas. Bagot, Gov.-Gen., 1842.
13. Tues... Court of Appeal sittings begin.
18. Sun... Second Sunday after Epiphany.
19. Mon... First meeting Municipal Councils, exclusive County Councils.
20. Tues... Heir and Dev. Sittings end. First meeting County Councils. |
25. Sun... Septuagesima Sunday.

CONTENTS.

EDITORIALS :	PAGE
Refusal of Barrister to defend put over.....	21
Practice Reports- Correction.....	21
Professional Ethics.....	21
New Law Book.....	22
Revival of Classic Dialogues.....	22
New Judicature Act for Ontario.....	22
Leap year.....	22
Sir Edward Coke.....	24
SELECTIONS :	
Architects' Fees.....	27
NOTES OF CASES :	
Court of Appeal.....	28
Queen's Bench.....	28
Common Pleas.....	31
Chancery.....	35
CANADA REPORTS :	
NOVA SCOTIA.	
Ames et al v. Ginty, <i>Statute of Frauds</i> ...	36
LAW STUDENTS' DEPARTMENT :	
Examination Papers.....	38
CORRESPONDENCE :	
Appointment of Deputy Judge for Hamilton..	39
FLOTSAM AND JETSAM.....	39
TO CORRESPONDENTS.....	39
LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA.....	39

Canada Law Journal.

Toronto, January, 15, 1880.

The Supreme Court of California has lately imprisoned an attorney for contempt of Court, because he refused to defend a prisoner, without compensation, after being requested so to do by the Court.

With this number our readers will receive the Index for last year and the Sheet Almanac. The latter was kept back in the belief that a change was anticipated in the days for the Law Society Examinations in accordance with the notice of Mr. Hodgins. No change has, however, as yet been made.

"Not" is a very important word, as the Courtiers of Charles II. rightly thought when they proposed to strike it out of the Seventh Commandment. It is a very awkward word, however, when it is inserted where it should not appear. This is the case in the head-note to *Re Ford*: 7 Pr. R. 457 where it is said that the surviving executor could *not* make a good title, whereas the Vice-Chancellor came to the opposite conclusion. We are informed that this was the printer's error in the first place, and will be noted by the reporter in the subsequent number.

In our October number we called attention to a gross breach of professional ethics on the part of an attorney in London. We have not yet heard of any enquiry having been made, or any action taken by the Benchers of the Law Society. If we understand the feeling of the profession aright, that body, now elected by their brethren at large, is looked to to express some opinion on the subject. We are satisfied