in the plans for studies and educational activity, and in the advocacy of political action. And probably enough time — if we stop occasionally and listen for the tolling of that distant bell.

At the entrance to the council room in the Palais des Nations in Geneva, where the Committee on Disarmament holds its sessions, there is engraved on the wall a statement by Lord Robert Cecil in the days of the League of Nations: "The nations of the world must disarm - or perish".

About the same time in 1929, as Prime Minister Trudeau recalled to the delegates at the special session, Salvador de Madariaga, representative of Republican Spain and an eloquent advocate of disarmament, was saying disarmament was "really a problem of the organization of the world community". Mr Trudeau added: "In the larger sense of the word, history has proved him right."

Carter at mid-term

in a

pons

ian a

. But

and

ticed

ief in

ırity.

owers

ere is

oared o be el of

n as of the

ntual tional

docury to would

ed: a l purations

res to hened beacelacing s; and

order

social

is the

sures

tional

1 — as

le the

book :

cy E.

on in-

f "law

world

 \mathbf{h} the

tional

of se-

e than

, men

f new

ls and

tically

ion, in me on

isarm-

litical,

s that

ng an

er law.

es, the

ıd but

of the

field of

ınce in

Action,

North American *détente*—but the hard issues remain

By Stephen Handelman

Probably nothing is so infuriating to Canadian nationalists as the present state of relations between the United States and Canada. As President Jimmy Carter reaches his mid-term mark, a comfortable feeling of good will has submerged the fractious quarrelling of recent years. "Maturity" is the latest code-word diplomats use to describe the relation. But, more than anything else, the word seems to reflect a decision not to tackle too much at once on the bargaining table while each side wrestles with its own domestic problems.

Like flowers opening in the warmth of the sun, Canadians have responded to the friendly Carter Administration with a relaxed approach to bilateral problems. The resulting breathing space has created an apparent détente in North America. In a period that has seen recurring economic troubles and a relapse into Cold War jitters, the two nations appear to have drawn closer together for comfort against the storm.

Is the calm misleading? The \$60 billion in two-way trade continues to make each country the other's largest trading partner. But Canada's lagging economy continues to be plagued by a mounting balance-of-payments deficit with its neighbour to the south. Both countries have reaffirmed their common defence of principles, ranging from freer world trade

to the strengthening of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. Yet the quiet backroom bargaining in international forums, ranging from the Geneva Multilateral Trade Negotiations to law-of-the-sea talks, has been intense — and occasionally bitter.

There has been no lack of effort to keep things running smoothly. High-level visitors to Canada in the last 12 months have included Vice-President Walter Mondale (who can boast of having ancestors in Ontario, and recently concluded: "Right now, I feel good about relations with Canada"), U.S. Treasury Secretary Michael Blumenthal and Health Education and Welfare Secretary Joseph Califano. The U.S. Embassy in Ottawa, once a diplomatic backwater, is now filled with purposeful, upward-striving diplomats.

The External Affairs Department has responded in kind. A separate Bureau of United States Affairs, with a staff of 14, has been created out of the former Western Hemisphere Division. A \$15,000

Mr Handelman is a reporter with the Ottawa Bureau of the Toronto Star. A native of New York City, he joined the Star in 1974 and has written extensively on international affairs, specializing in Latin America and U.S.-Canadian issues. The views expressed here are those of Mr Handelman.