

be made so, wherever in Mr. Gregory's opinion they may be deficient, or not equal to the contract stipulations?—*Ans.* If Mr. Gregory reports that the works done are in perfect accordance with the intentions or provisions of the contract, of course the contractors have nothing more to do, if he reports otherwise, I take it the contractors will be called upon to make good all deficiencies, and that the contractors will do so.

*Ques.* 471. This inspection of Mr. Gregory's is therefore wholly independent of and unprovided for by the contract, is it not?—*Ans.* Mr. Gregory's inspection is undertaken on the suggestion made by the Canada Board, and carried out by the English Directors, and is independent of the provision of the contract but agreed to by the contractors.

*Ques.* 472. Does not this inspection of Mr. Gregory's, embrace all the locomotives, rolling stock, &c., and every thing stipulated for by the contracts entered into, both by the English and Canadian contractors, and ought not his decision when pronounced, to be satisfactory to the shareholders generally, and to the people of Canada, as that of an independent, able, and experienced railway engineer?—*Ans.* Mr. Gregory's inspection in addition to the road, embraces an inspection of rolling stock, &c., &c., indeed every thing connected with the work and the contract, and I do not doubt that his decision will be satisfactory, as that of an independent, experienced, and able engineer.

*Ques.* 473. If the contractors both English and Canadian, had not honestly desired to perform their several contracts with the Company, in good faith, would they have consented to go out of the contract and abide by the inspection of Mr. Gregory?—*Ans.* The contractors were not obliged to assent to the appointment of Mr. Gregory as the inspector of the work; their having done so is evidence of their desire to fulfil their contracts.

*Ques.* 474. You were asked by the Chairman to refer to extracts in the appendix of the Journals of 1854-5, appertaining to an enquiry before a Committee of the House of Assembly, respecting certain charges preferred against members of Mr. Hincks' administration, which extracts referred to £50,000 of stock in the Grand Trunk Railway Company, allotted in the name of Mr. Hincks, and do you find in relation to that stock the following statements of Sir C. P. Roney, William Rhodes, James Bell Forsyth, George Carr Glynn, and Thomas Baring, Esquires?

*Friday, 13th October, 1854.*

PRESENT :

HON. MR. BELLEAU, (Chairman.)  
HON. MR. MOORE.  
HON. MR. FERRIER.

*Sir Cusack P. Roney examined :*

*Ques.* What is your name, occupation, and place of abode?—*Ans.* My name is Cusack P. Roney; I am Managing Director of the Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada; and I reside at Montreal.

*Ques.* This Committee is informed by public notoriety that shares in the Grand Trunk Railway Company to the amount of £50,000, stand, or appear to stand, in