

(Cont'd) No re Examination.

RP 83 (b) Complied with.

The witness withdraws.

9th WITNESS:

W.R. 9257 Reginald Carpenter, Staines Police Department, having been duly sworn, states:-

iter to statute . CUST

I can identify the accused. On the 21 May 1942 at 4.10 A.M. I was on patrol at the High Street, Staines, when the wireless car stopped and gave me certain information. I then took up car stopped and may me certain information. I then took ag a position at the Market Square. At about 4.30 A.M. a lorry came over Staines Bridge. The fog lamp from the Police car was shone upon him and we identified the veh as a military lorry. Shone upon him and we identified the veh as a minitary horry. I waved my hand lamp at the same time as the fog lamp was shone on him and he stopped. I snatched a revolver from the breast of his jacket. The engine of the truck was still running so I ordered him to switch it off. At that time P.C. Grant leaned from the near-side of the truck and removed the S.M.G. The driver of the wireless car pulled away from the accused and told him to get-out of the truck. I then banded the accused is the mark. The out of the truck. I then handed the accused his gas mask. The drive of the wireless car at that time rendered his S.M.G. harmless. F.C. Salter then said to the accused: "I am placing you under arrest". I remained in charge of the military web and the decused was taken to Staines Police Station.

QUESTIONS BY THE COURT:

Q.22.Can you identify Exhibits 'H' & 'J'? A.22. They are similar to those weapons taken from the accused.

UROSS EXALIMATION:

Q.23. The driver of the vireless car ordered the accused to dis-mount.Who placed him under arrest? A.23. I believe P.C. Selter, made the formal arrest.

Q.24. Were you on foot when the wireless car first accosted the lorry?

A. 24. Yes.

Q.25. Had the lorry already stopped when you reached it? A.25. No.

q.26. Did the accused have any weapons in his hand? A.26. No. sir but they were handy.

2.27. Do you consider they were within reach? A.27. Tes.

Q.25. Was the accused in any upset state or any other unusual

A.28. No. sir he was not in any way under the effects of liquor or drugs.

Q.29. Could you give any further explanation of his condition?
A.29. He gave the appearance and actions of a man recovering from previously drinking.
No re transmission.

RP 83 (b) Complied with.

The witness withdraws.