

## Order Paper Questions

1. The costs to the federal Government for the running of the five Wildlife Interpretation Centres during the fiscal year 1986-87 are the following:

|               | Federal  | Provincial      | Other            |
|---------------|--|-----------------|------------------|
| 1986-87       |  |                 |                  |
| Creston       | \$ 25,000 (operating funds)  | \$ 25,000       | None             |
| Webb          | \$ 75,000 (operating funds);<br>plus \$ 25,000 (Maintenance for<br>building and parking) | up to \$ 10,000 | None             |
| Wye           | \$ 125,000 (loan) plus<br>\$ 35,000 (maintenance)  | None            | Not<br>available |
| Cap Tourmente | \$ 12,000 (maintenance)  | None            | Not<br>available |
| Percé         | None   | Not available   | None             |

2. Yes. Creston—Province of British Columbia (through Creston Valley Wildlife Management Authority). Webb—Province of Saskatchewan and admission fees. Wye—Volunteer contributions and admission fees. Cap Tourmente—Admission fees. Percé—Province of Quebec.

3. The following figures include federal funds only.

| Financial Year | 1984-85    | 1985-86                         | 1986-87<br>(estimated)  |
|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Creston        | \$ 133,000 | \$ 25,000                       | \$ 25,000               |
| Webb           | \$ 217,000 | \$ 90,000                       | \$ 100,000              |
| Wye            | \$ 282,000 | \$ 423,000 <sup>1</sup>         | \$ 160,000 <sup>2</sup> |
| Cap Tourmente  | \$ 185,000 | up to<br>\$ 85,000 <sup>3</sup> | \$ 12,000               |
| Percé          | \$ 158,000 | \$ 3,000                        | None                    |

## Legend

1. Includes \$ 200,000 grant + \$ 175,000 loan
2. Includes \$ 125,000 loan
3. Includes up to \$ 73,000 loan

4. Federal employees employed in the Wildlife Interpretation Centres number as follows:

| Financial Year | 1984-85 | 1985-86 | 1986-87 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Creston        | 7       | None    | None    |
| Webb           | 12      | None    | None    |
| Wye            | 16      | 2 *     | 1 *     |
| Cap Tourmente  | 10      | None    | None    |
| Percé          | 9       | 3 *     | 3 *     |

\*The Department of the Environment has lent in 1985-86 and will lend in the 1986-87 these employees to provide expertise and knowledge but receives reimbursement of their salary for services rendered.

5. The Department of the Environment did not keep records of volunteer staff in 1984-85 and has no access to records for 1985-86 or predictions for 1986-87.

HON. JEAN MARCHAND

Question No. 532—**Mr. Blaikie:**

Were any benefits granted to the Hon. Jean Marchand on the termination of his tenure as Chairman of the Canadian Transport Commission and, if so, what was the (a) amount of any cash settlement (b) timing and amount of any subsequent cash compensation paid or payable as part of the arrangement (c) nature and value of any non-pecuniary compensation agreed to?

**Hon. Don Mazankowski (Minister of Transport):** The Canadian Transport Commission has been advised that it is not the practice of the Treasury Board to reveal details of separation settlements. It is the policy of the Treasury Board, however, that separation payments may not exceed two years' salary, with the precise amounts varying according to the circumstances of the separation, the age of the individual, length of service, and likely difficulty in securing re-employment. Each case is considered by the Treasury Board.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

### QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURN

**Mr. Doug Lewis (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker if questions Nos. 508 and 531 could be made orders for return, the returns would be tabled immediately.

**Mr. Speaker:** The questions enumerated by the Parliamentary Secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that questions Nos. 508 and 531 be deemed to have been made orders for return?

**Some Hon. Members:** Agreed.

[Text]

### FUNDING FOR POULTRY RESEARCH

Question No. 508—**Mr. Althouse:**

1. In (a) 1982-83 (b) 1983-84 (c) 1984-85 (d) 1985-86, what funding was supplied for poultry research at the (i) Kintwill, Nova Scotia (ii) Agassiz, British Columbia (iii) Ottawa, Ontario facilities of the Department of Agriculture?

2. In each case, how much funding (a) was supplied by (i) the federal Government (ii) provincial Governments (iii) private industry (b) is projected for projected for 1986-87 by each?

3. (1) In (a) 1982-83 (b) 1983-84 (c) 1984-85 (d) 1985-86, how many (i) professional (ii) non-professional person-years were involved in poultry research of each of the three facilities?

3. (2) What is the forecast (a) professional (b) non-professional person-years for 1986-87?

4. (1) In (a) 1982-83 (b) 1983-84 (c) 1984-85 (d) 1985-86, what poultry research projects were completed?

4. (2) What projects are (a) currently under way (b) forecast for each of the facilities in 1986-87?

5. Is it the Government's intention to maintain, for the next five years, the current levels of federal funding for the current number of both professional and non-professional person-years at each of the poultry research facilities in Kentville, N.S., at Agassiz, B.C. and at Ottawa, Ont.?

Return tabled.

### AGREEMENTS WITH CHARTERED ACCOUNTING FIRMS

Question No. 531—**Mr. Boudria:**

Between September 17, 1984 and December 31, 1985, how many contracts or agreements were entered into by the Government with chartered accounting firms and, in each case, what was the (a) name of the firm (b) length of the agreement (c) total cost?