

columns of medical journals. My advice was unheeded. Why? Simply because it did not suit the peculiar mode of attack of those gentlemen on the Medical Council they were going to disrupt, disorganize, destroy. Have they succeeded? Where are they now? What have they gained? I reply, simply unenviable notoriety—nothing more, nothing less. It is true the Medical Defence have elected some representatives, but the good sense of the medical profession are in the lead. The *Farmers' Sun* shed its light—but to pale, fade, die. And Haycock! Ask at the doors of Parliament, Where is he? A tail-end of—what?

Mr. Editor, I ask now, as I did months ago, that, as a profession, we stand together. If we have dirty linen, let us wash it at home. The new Council are elected. Let us loyally support it; and, instead of personal bickering, let us, shoulder to shoulder, work in the common interest.

If the Medical Defence Association, as represented by Drs. Sangster, Armour, and Registrar McLaughlin, is still a live factor, why not in the Council, where principles may be advocated and strengthened by personal vote and influence, secure unity of elected members, and, in this way, override and control school influence? Why not see that moneys taken by older members of Council, by mistake, be returned? Why not work to make *all* members of the Council elective? The schools are represented. We do not question by what right or by what means. We do not question by what covert and ingenious arguments they secured power. Let the M.D.O. satisfy their professions and the deluded votes they received; let them correct anti-election abuses; let them be the power they promised; relieve the general profession from chicanery and fraud; and let them introduce an honest executive. All this they promised. Let them carry out the programme. And more than all, let these good men introduce such reforms as are in the best interests of the profession. First and foremost, "Introprovincial Registration." Why not a uniform examination wherever the British flag flies? Is that not a part and parcel of a British degree? Why, then, not throughout the British Province? Why a separate examination in Quebec, Ontario, British Columbia? Is it not merely and only to give to certain cliques a bonus on each applicant for license? Is it not really legalized robbery of our graduates? Why perpetuate this fraud? Abolish lodge, society and contract work as degrading. Adopt an *increased* and *uniform* rate of fees all over the Province, and a stated fee for expert evidence in any court. These and a number of other matters are of first interest. Let the new members inaugurate an era of reform and