By ALAN ARCHIBALD

Adapted from a study for Economics

The relative decline of the Maritime conomy since the wind and sail era has ong been the focal point of Atlantic policy-makers; only in recent years has it truly become a subject of extensive joint federal-provincial concern. The need for accentuated economic growth for the region is the cure-all advocated by almost every regional developmentalist; the particular means undertaken to achieve it has always been the main subject of controversy. Much recent debate, however, has been concerned with questioning the validity of sustained sconomic growth and whether it is desirable or even physically possible. Not only economists, but people of varied disciplines have voiced concern over the whole question of progress and growth and its place in the future of social evolution. As a conscientious Atlantic Canadian I feel such contentions warrant consideration when formulating appropriate development policies for the region. The question of what type, degree, and quality of economic growth is best suited for relieving our obvious disparities without sacrificing our particular quality of life will serve as the subject of the following Regional development-

The struggle to achieve qua with quantity growth

opportunities opened to the Canadian consumer are well-known, and it is only recently that the growing costs and disamenities of sustained growth have led to a serious questioning of the growth-progress ethic. Whether continued adherence to such principles will maximize social welfare in the years to come is a subject of considerable controversy.

The essential issues in the current debate concerning economic growth can be categorically divided into two areas.

In the first, one is concerned with pollution in all its manifestations, congestion and "uglification" of the natural environment stemming from the spread of industrialization and urbanization and whether these "diswelfare" effects have come to outweigh the welfare gains of economic growth.



Perhaps more than any other value, an unfounding belief in continuous progress and growth has shaped Western societal development in the twentieth century. "Growth and development are indeed the mystically potent ideas in the mind of the twentieth century man," asserts Canadian historian Donald Creighton before preceding to trace the trends of post-war growth in Canada in a recent MacLeans article.

Clearly, the idea of growth dominates the entire modern world. Growth is not only the aim of every business enterprise, but is deeply inculcated in the institutional structure of every Western nation. The expansive trends of post-secondary educational institutions in the 60's were founded on an unqualified belief that the demand for education would grow annually greater, creating structures not unlike modern business-industrial complexes were bigness is all too often equated with greatness.

The vast majority of Canadians have come to cultivate an insatiable desire for more material goods and services, thus generating unprecedented levels of economic growth and progress. The benefits and widened range of

In the second category are the remaining consequences of economic growth which are much less tangible and more complex than the familiar external diseconomies. With respect to this area of effects Creighton has concluded that "the greatest cost (of continuous growth), besides which all other charges in the account seemed trivial...was the cost in basic human needs and values." In effect, he is passing judgement on society which is continually and unquestioningly adapting its style and pace of life to technological and economic progress, whose pursuit of an ever greater assortment of material possessions seems founded on the creed that "enough does not suffice", and whose social structure features an ever-widening gulf between those who "heve" and those who "have-not."

If economic growth is viewed as encompassing not merely the growth of the material goods and services, but the growth also of all the social consequences, then British economist Dr. E. J. Mishan concludes that "there is little one can salvage from the...vision of sustained economic growth that is suggestive of net social advantage."

How, one might ask, is this

growth-antigrowth question relative to the regional situation in the Atlantic provinces? The importance of economic growth, per se, in a disparaged region such as Atlantic Canada would be generally conceded by even the most vehement anti-growth supporter. The practical benefits stemming from the current debate lie in its potential effectiveness for shaping and determining the type and degree of economic growth suitable for relieving the disparities without contributing to a net decline in social welfare.

In light of the particular task that lies ahead with respect to employment and per capita income levels, on over-concern with undesirable side effects of growth would not seem warranted. The Atlantic Development Council sees the need for the creation of 50,000 more manufacturing jobs in the Atlantic provinces by 1981. Basically, it would mean putting a little more than the industry of Kitchener, Ontario in a geographical area the size of Western Europe, prompting one prominent regional economist to conclude that "we'd have to be pretty stupid if we couldn't do that without polluting the area."

However, the present lagging state of our economy allows us to take precautionary measures in our policy formulation so as to avoid the social evils and disamenities of "growthmania" which could inevitably accompany the long-awaited take-off of the Maritime economy.

How best, then, should the DESIRE to limit the unpleasant social consequences of economic growth be incorporated in the development strategy for the Atlantic region?

Primarily, a desire to limit the costs of economic growth would consequently call for a clear delineation of the desired type and rate of economic growth best designed to serve our particular needs. Often economic growth targets framed in terms of GNP increases are set by policy makers. In regions featuring highly unequal distributions of income, as in many of the underdeveloped countries, the objective of overall growth in GNP could be satisfied with the average citizen experiencing little increase in personal welfare. Economists have too long concerned themselves with such purely quantitative measures of economic growth, which often prove indicative of the health of an economy but are of little use as a measure of social welfare.

Resultingly, then, economic growth should not be solely viewed in the context of per capita income, investment or employment targets, but should necessarily be framed in terms of a selective form of development designed to maximize

"If we...are concerned with social welfare in the ordinary sense," states Mishan, "the only legitimate procedure is to consider the consequences of each and every economic reorganization entailed by the growth process in the endeavour to determine which...are beneficial and

which are not." Clargely immeasurab task difficult, but on quantity with qua achievable.

achievable.

A desire to limit effects which often growth would seem development policy to the ethic of concept which is decultural, commercial of developed registrety, prevalent in urban Ontario he contributed to grow the life of material growing sector of the sector

For the most part have two cars, a television set, (the progress, in other largely concerned consumption, proteconserve some of qualitative aspects majority of Canadi just to make ends a quality of life pleoncern for surviverising prices and

However, many rapid population g of new industrial actively promoted new come to exerpotential growth a shortages so strength sequently, although raise the Atlanteconomically dispersion of "growthmania" extent that they areas" of Canada

Creighton sa

Canadians have s with many of the unrestrained grow have acted on continuous econo perity are the only the harsh real population growth of the world's res much-altered man not too distant fut unrestrained ed become painstak developed state opportunity to encountered by remains up to th exploit our posit order to attain growth.

With respect to to limit potential growth, it wou concentrate on oprone to generat environmental potential growth, it would be concentrate on oprone to generat environmental potential growth of yet, but on the concentration of yet, but on the yet of yet of yet, but on the yet of y