

guilty of grossly offensive or indecent behaviour but were not physically violent. In view of this, the practice of sentencing pedophiles and exhibitionists to years of incarceration can hardly be justified.<sup>13</sup>

The Report of the Canadian Committee on Corrections quotes Dr. George Scott, consulting psychiatrist at Kingston Penitentiary, as indicating, "that of the 20 persons presently confined at Kingston Penitentiary, who have been sentenced as dangerous sexual offenders, nine (45%) are not dangerous in terms of physical violence."<sup>14</sup>

Furthermore, a report on psychiatric services in federal penitentiaries, released by the Solicitor General Department in 1973, says that, "many Dangerous Sexual Offenders have been wrongly classified as such."<sup>15</sup>

We think these are rather serious mistakes in view of the fact that these people are indefinitely incarcerated. Yet Bill C-83 simply perpetuates the injustice.

The case of Klippert v. The Queen<sup>16</sup> is a perfect example of how the existing 'dangerous sexual offender' legislation has been used to oppress homosexuals, in this case a homosexual who was neither violent nor dangerous.