the present Tories, who take to reading, find in Indeed, him many paradoxes equally amufing and con-**I** should vincing. He is, to fay the truth, a very agreeingland, able writer, and possesses a style not inferior to orioufly that of Father Orleans in French; and is, I supit is of pose, for that reason, so much recommended to the n, being perufal of young men of fashion that are entering dness of upon the world. He and the father both prove ck upon to the reader, that the first of the Stuarts had a rth Brigreat deal of good-nature and learning, wrote very with us well, loved peace discreetly, and followed the ver, from right politics for this nation. In short, that the riter has religion and constitution changed in this country reigner; by accident, and that fanaticism heated the brains may be of the inhabitants fo strangely, that they were ording to never in their right fenses (and therefore quar-English relled about forms of government and religion, heard of which are mere whims in themselves) and therea Loyal by became the causes of infinite distress and misdenomifortune afterwards. These very material facts and Patriots; positions are always supported by some ingenious English, example, or else by some quotations from private n, which pamphlets and enthusiastic religious publicao do into tions, hitherto unnoticed, genuine, but obscure itish Cofproductions of the time, which Mr. Hume has with our had the good fortune to meet with, and from He fays thence to deduce the true principles of the great persecutes actors in those days, as well as the sense of the the same nation, in opposition to the famous authors and ffersfrom remarkable memoirs, journals of parliament and State. It private letters of confiderable men, whereon taken the other historians have very mistakenly relied. It nfess, one is in reality a performance new, entertaining lume, the and fingular, and will reconcile any man to the of thinkreigns of the Stuarts, who reads it free from the genious of preju-

the