

*Business of the House***BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE**

the legislation providing a punishment for those individuals who pollute rivers? Later on, I gave this government full credit in respect of legislation in connection with loans to municipalities for the building of sewage disposal plants.

**Mr. Jones:** Mr. Speaker, I did not understand the hon. member in that way.

**Mr. Stuart Fleming (Okanagan-Revelstoke):** Mr. Speaker, I regret there is so little time left for the debate in respect of this bill, because I feel it is important that I disagree with the purposes and intent of it. The purpose and intent of the bill have been eloquently described by the hon. member for Kootenay West. However, I do think it is possible to argue against the passage of this bill at this particular time because, as the hon. member has said, this is only one stage of a necessary comprehensive program embodying regulations and legislation attacking this whole problem in Canada.

When another bill, similar to this, was introduced at an earlier stage, there was not then any indication that the government of the day was interested in a comprehensive program covering every aspect of pollution control in respect of Canada's rivers and streams. There is evidence now that this is a major problem, which the government of the day is at present considering in full.

In October of this year the resources for tomorrow conference, to be held in Montreal, will deal with this problem, as well as with other related problems. As a result of those discussions, and hearings of the standing committee on mines, forests and waters of last year, as well as independent, non-governmental studies, which are taking place in every province of Canada at the present time, it should be possible to frame a completely comprehensive approach to the problem of pollution control in this country, at which time it may be found that the introduction of amendments to the Criminal Code will be required. It may, however, be found that because of the widespread interest, and steps that the government has taken to encourage wide study across this nation regarding the need for pollution control, the day is now past when a Criminal Code amendment forms a vital part of such a program.

For those reasons, I feel that there should be more and closer study proposed, with a greater opportunity for debate in this house, considering alternative measures which should be considered before amendments to the Criminal Code are proposed.

May I call it six o'clock?

**Mr. Pickersgill:** Mr. Speaker, before you leave the chair, perhaps the house leader could give us, as he indicated he would last night, the government's plans for next week?

**Mr. Churchill:** Mr. Speaker, tomorrow we will carry on with the estimates of the Secretary of State, followed by the estimates of the Department of Fisheries, in turn followed by the estimates of the Department of Public Works. In regard to next week, it is expected that Monday, Tuesday and possibly Wednesday, will be devoted to legislation, preceded by an interim supply motion, as the first item of business on Monday.

May I just say at this stage that in years gone by, interim supply motions were passed rather quickly. A few years ago the opposition of the day used motions for interim supply for the purposes of rather lengthy debates, on at least one or two occasions. The opposition of this day has paid back that old score, so perhaps we can now treat this interim supply motion as such motions were treated some years ago.

If the interim supply motion is completed in good time, we will carry on with the following legislation: Bill No. C-92, which is a bill dealing with amendments to the Criminal Code (capital murder); Bill No. C-97, which deals with an amendment to the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code; and third, a resolution in the name of the Minister of Justice, dealing with amendments to the Judges Act and the Exchequer Court Act. The fourth legislative item will be Bill No. C-99, which we almost completed a day or two ago, dealing with amendments to the Food and Drugs Act, followed by the fifth item, being Bill No. C-100, to provide for the control of narcotic drugs.

Other items which are available, not necessarily in this order, are as follows: Bill No. C-98, concerning the Canada Shipping Act; a resolution concerning export credit; a resolution concerning the Financial Administration Act; a resolution concerning the Farm Credit Act. Then, depending on the progress we make on Monday, we can determine the items which will come up on Tuesday, and perhaps on Wednesday.

I can, however, be quite definite with regard to the estimates to be dealt with during the early part of next week. We will start off on either Wednesday or Thursday with the estimates of the Department of National Defence, followed by the estimates of the Department of Defence Production.

At six o'clock the house adjourned, without question put, pursuant to standing order.