24 March, 1897.

Nova Scotia.]

LUNENBURG ELECTION CASE.

KAULBACH V. SPERRY.

Election petition—Preliminary objections—Affldavit of petitioner— Bona fides—Examination of deponent—Form of petition—R.S. C., c. 9—54 & 55 Vic., c. 20, s. 3.

By 54 & 55 V., c. 20, s. 3, amending The Controverted Elections Act (R.S.C., s. 9), an election petition must be accompanied by an affidavit of the petitioner "that he has good reason to believe and verily does believe that the several allegations contained in the said petition are true." The petitioner in this case used the exact words of the act in his affidavit.

Held, that the respondent to the petition was not entitled to examine him as to the grounds of his belief; that the act made the deponent the judge of the reasonableness of such grounds; and that the affidavit was not part of the proof to be passed upon at the trial of the petition.

It is not necessary that the petition should be identified in the affidavit as in case of an exhibit. The affidavit is presented merely to comply with the statute.

It is no objection to an election petition that it is too general, no form being prescribed by the act. Moreover, the inconvenience may be obviated by particulars.

W. A. B. Ritchie, Q.C., for appellant. Russell, Q.C., and Congdon, for respondent.

Prince Edward Island.]

24 March, 1897.

WEST PRINCE (P.E.I.) ELECTION CASE.

HACKETT V. LARKIN.

Controverted election—Corrupt treating—Agency—Trivial and unimportant act—54 & 56 Vic., c. 20, s. 19.

During an election for the House of Commons a candidate took C., a supporter, with him in driving out to canvass a particular locality. They stopped at a house where three voters lived, and C. took a bottle of liquor out of the wagon and went into the woods with two of the voters and remained some five