Sir Arthur Currie, LL.D. - 7 - June 20th, 1922. of the administration to it. The Federal Grant work has never been considered collectively. At the outset, in the conversation to which Dr. Harrison refers, the suggestion was made by Prof. Barton that a conference be held to decide a policy and plan for carrying on this work. Instead of this Dr. Harrison dealt with departments individually. He divided the grant and has done so since, not infrequently allotting an appropriation without estimate of expenditure from the department concerned. Further, we have reason to believe that the grant has been supplemented by the Department of Agriculture of Quebec to the extent of \$5,000 for travelling expenses, but no announcement to this effect has ever been made and no understanding as to the uses to which such supplement might be put has ever been given. Naturally there has been little co-ordination in this work by the departments concerned. 6. Regarding attendance at the College, we attach but little importance to the statistical comparison with the Ontario Agricultural College, not only because of the disturbed conditions of recent years but also because the question at issue is not how we compare with other colleges but how much more we might accomplish in our own field by well-directed, co-ordinated. persistent effort. In connection with the comparison which Dr. Harrison has seen fit to make, however, there are two points which