

# HOUSE OF COMMONS

Thursday, April 1, 1976

The House met at 2 p.m.

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[Translation]

### HEALTH

REQUEST FOR DELAY OF BILL C-68—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Roch La Salle (Joliette):** Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 43, I ask the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion.

In view of the financial difficulties the provinces will encounter as a result of the passage of Bill C-68; in view of the danger of seeing the quality of medical services reduced; finally, in view of the forthcoming federal-provincial conference of health ministers, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Athabasca (Mr. Yewchuk):

That this House unanimously invite the responsible minister to delay the passage of Bill C-68 till after the proposed conference.

**Mr. Speaker:** The House has heard the motion of the hon. member. Pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 43, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** There is not unanimous consent; the motion therefore cannot be put.

\* \* \*

[English]

### FISCAL ARRANGEMENTS ACT

SUGGESTED RETENTION OF INFLATION FACTOR—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Stanley Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to ask the unanimous consent of the House to deal with a matter of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the strong possibility that the federal government will propose at its meetings this week with the provincial finance ministers that the inflation factor in the Fiscal Arrangements Act be removed, and in view of the disastrous effect this would have on the budgets of the provinces which are already faced with the necessity of increasing their taxes to meet their increased costs, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands (Mr. Douglas):

That the government be urged not to remove the inflation factor from the Fiscal Arrangements Act at this time.

**Mr. Speaker:** Standing Order 43 requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

\* \* \*

[Translation]

### CRIMINAL CODE

REQUEST FOR REFERENDUM ON CAPITAL PUNISHMENT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Armand Caouette (Villeneuve):** Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 43, I ask for the unanimous consent of the House to move a motion on a matter of pressing and urgent necessity.

Considering that the vast majority of Canadians favour upholding capital punishment in cases of premeditated deliberate murder, that the will of the majority must be respected in a democratic system, and that a referendum is the best way to let the majority express its views, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Rimouski (Mr. Allard):

That this House direct that a referendum on capital punishment be taken immediately across the country so that debates on the abolition of capital punishment take place in the light of the views clearly expressed by the Canadian people.

**Mr. Speaker:** The House has heard the terms of the motion of the hon. member. Under the provisions of Standing Order 43, the motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Yes.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** As there is not unanimous consent, the motion cannot be put.

\* \* \*

[English]

### INDUSTRY

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF AEROSPACE INDUSTRY IN VIEW OF LAY-OFFS AT DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Hon. Stanley Haidasz (Parkdale):** Mr. Speaker, I am sure that all hon. members of this House are saddened by an announcement made yesterday by the management of the Douglas Aircraft plant in Malton, Ontario, of the layoff of 150 workers. In view of this urgent and important matter, I seek unanimous consent under Standing Order 43