

depth if the preliminary interview discloses some reason for it. Ottawa has decreed that in the jet age of giant passenger loads the interviews must take no longer than 35 seconds. During most of the hours of darkness there are no immigration officers on duty at Canada's international airports.

● (2110)

Our generosity toward draft dodgers and deserters from the United States has meant that we have acquired large numbers of activist yuppies who have been in the forefront of every insurrection and riot that has taken place, and who are dedicated to continued confrontations. Not too many years ago at the border crossing at Blaine, between Washington and British Columbia, 30 miles south of Vancouver, a group of these people damaged storefronts and cars and publicly burned the United States flag. All the yuppies who led the demonstration were Americans domiciled in Canada. The laconic comment by the Blaine police chief after the incident was, "If you want 'em, you keep 'em up there".

Canada has become a haven for the type of person who is not a good citizen in his homeland, never mind in this country. I recently came across a booklet which is circulated in Canada and is aimed at offering protection to these people, and which gives help on how to beat the Immigration Act so that they can stay here despite their difficulties. I will not bore hon. members with reading too much of this booklet, but I think it should be examined by the department when any restructuring of the act is considered. I will just quote a sentence from page 21:

The wording "admit to having committed" deserves comment. If you have committed, you do not have to admit to it, and this does not involve falsification.

The manual details the nitty gritty of how to beat the Immigration Act. It is issued by a group of lawyers representing these people in Canada.

I should like now to refer to sections 5(1) and 5(m) of the Immigration Act. On many occasions over the last two years people from the department have informed me that this section of the act is not enforced. I wondered why this is so. Have our lifestyle and philosophy in Canada changed to the point that this section no longer applies? Section 5 reads:

No person, other than a person referred to in subsection 7(2), shall be admitted to Canada if he is a member of any of the following classes of persons:

Subsection 5(1) reads:

(1) persons who are or have been, at any time before, on or after the 1st day of June, 1953, members of or associated with any organization group or body of any kind concerning which there are reasonable grounds for believing that it promotes or advocates or at the time of such membership or association promoted or advocated subversion by force or other means of democratic government, institutions or processes, as they are understood in Canada,

And subsection 5(m) reads:

(m) persons who have engaged in or advocated or concerning whom there are reasonable grounds for believing they are likely to engage in or advocate subversion by force or other means of democratic government, institutions or processes, as they are understood in Canada;

I grew up in an era when people who belonged to Technocracy Incorporated were not allowed to go into the United States, and vice-versa. Thank goodness we have

Immigration

progressed from that stage. But today we are in the situation that organizations are allowed in Canada and then they print material which advocates the forceful overthrow of our form of government by gun or by any other act of terror that they can devise. This is known to the police and to the department, yet the section of the act I have just read is not enforced for our protection. I should like to know why.

It is important that we have policies that will allow the proper assimilation of peoples coming into this country. In the last seven years we have brought in more non-whites than our total native population.

An hon. Member: What is wrong with that?

Mr. Huntington: There is nothing much wrong with it, except that it is happening too fast and is imposing a great social burden on the country. Our record of improving conditions for our native people has not been too good.

For 2,000 years man has been facing racial problems. The problems and pressures that we are facing today in Canada do not exist in China, however. China does not allow whites to immigrate, nor does Japan, nor does India.

An hon. Member: Racist!

Mr. Huntington: I am not a racist. Anyone who knows me knows well that I am not. I have never had any trouble pertaining to race, creed or religion in my life, and I would not belong to an organization that exercised discrimination. But I am a concerned Canadian who represents a community worried about the lack of enforcement of immigration laws.

An hon. Member: What is your riding?

Mr. Huntington: My riding is Capilano. The government has had many opportunities to solve the problems of our native people, but new ghettos are being created all the time. Chinese people and Indian people who cannot be absorbed properly into the country, and who cannot find jobs suitable to them, are being admitted under the act. So are people who advocate the forceful overthrow of the country. It is claimed that these people are being allowed in to do the menial labour of Canada.

Surely as intelligent people we can get together and solve this problem, but planning is needed. The ghetto problem should not be aggravated by setting East Indians down in places like Quesnel, and then finding there is a confrontation with the white residents because of lack of proper planning.

These people are coming in so rapidly that they are not fitting properly into the fabric of Canadian society. They are locating in ghettos, dozens to a house. Does this give them respect for the Canadian system and our government? It does not. It just creates cells for further reactionary groups to work against the fundamental principles for which this House is supposed to stand. Do not label me a racist. I am just saying that we have a problem and the government should recognize it as a problem. If you need help my colleagues will be pleased to render it.

If there is one thing we need in our immigration policy it is a planned assimilation of other races, of Asians and